11

MADURAI CROWN
RECORDS VOL NO: 71
YEAR 1889

கே. கிருஷ்ணகுமார்
செப்பனிடுபவர் பெயர்

செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக்
கொள்ளப்பட்ட நாள் April 2003

செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த நாள் Sep 2003

19/9/03
19.9.03
சரிபார்க்கும்
மு.நி.பா.எ.
கையொப்பம்
1/10/03

Court of Wards, 8th January 1889, No. 10.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE COURT OF WARDS

Resolution—dated 8th January 1889, No. 10.

Collectors are requested to address papers intended for the Court of Wards to "the Secretary to the Court of Wards," not to "the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue."

(True Extract.)

(Signed) M. HAMMICK,
Secretary.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) C. CLARK,
Registrar.

To all Collectors.

ert.

1889.

Court of Wards

MADRAS.

Printed January 18th.

Proceedings, 8th January 1889, No. 10.

11/2/89

Requesting Collectors to
tended for the Court of Wa
to the Court of Wards," no
to the Commissioners of La

2

Court of Wards

[...]uary 1889.

[...] F.L.S. F.Z.S.

[...] Esqre

[...] Collector of [...]dura

[...] 1888.

[...]rt agree with the
[...]al Munsiff's decision
[...]l be accepted

(Extract)
(Signed) M. Hammick
Secretary

[...] Madura

[...] Deputy Collector
[...] with copies of Judg
[...]ation.
[...]ich is favourable.

G. Ленин
Col

3 3

Proceedings of the Court of Wards.
Dated 14th January 1889.

No 66.

H. S. Thomas Esquire F.L.S F.Z.

Read. Letter from the Collector of Madura, dated 12th December 1888, No 368.

Resolution.

The estimate for Rs 265 is sanctione[d]

(True Extract)

(Signed) M. Ham[...]
Secreta[ry]

No 217
23/1/89 (True Copy)

Forwarded to the Deputy Collector Madu[ra] Division, with the sanctioned estimate.

[illegible]
Collector.

No 35
24-1-89

(True copy)

Communicated [illegible] thro
[illegible] Sapters
information & [illegible]
with ~~the returns~~ a copy to
estimate. He will
it after taking a copy for
use.

(Sd) [illegible] for

25-1-89
Madras

3

COURT OF WARDS, 15TH JANUARY 18[illegible] No. 80.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE COART O[F] WARDS

H. S. THOMAS, ESQ., F.L.S., F.Z.S.

READ—the following G.O., dated 13th December 1888, Mis. No. 7453, Revenue:—

Read—the following G.O., dated 23rd November 1888, No. 3706 W., Public Works:—

Read—the following G.O., dated 15th November 1888, No. 6857, Revenue:—

Read—the following papers:—

Proceedings of the Court of Wards, dated 8th November 1888, No. 2604.

Office-note from the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, dated Madras, 15th October 1888, No. 2411.

The omission to exhibit the grants for Court of Wards' estate works in the budget registers and monthly appropriation returns of this office having been found to be productive of inconvenience, the Accountant-General, Public Works Department, has consented to their being shown in the returns under a separate head in future.

2. In view to effecting this object in a satisfactory manner, I would propose the following modifications in the Madras Code, subject to the approval of the Court of Wards and of Government:—

"Para. 1423 A. Grants for works belonging to estates under the Court of Wards to be carried out by the Public Works Department will be assigned under the following rules:—

"I. The Executive Engineers, in communication with Collectors, will draw up a list of the works belonging to estates in his division proposed to be carried out by the department during the ensuing year and submit this list through the Superintending Engineer, to the Secretary to Governme[nt], Public Works Department, so as to reach the latter by the 1[illegible] September with lists of other public works—see Code para. [illegible]26, III.

"II. The Secretary to Government, [P]ublic Works Department, after scrutiny, and modification i[f n]ecessary, will forward the list through the Revenue Depart[men]t to the Court of Wards, under whose orders the necessary p[rov]ision is made in the Court of Wards' budgets for the work[s ap]proved of.

"III. The provision made in the Cour[t of] Wards' budgets will be communicated by the Secretary to [the] Examiner and Superintending Engineers concerned, and w[ill] be included in the Examiner's budget registers and returns [u]nder a distinct head—see para. 1916."

3. Para. 1916, *9th line, below the word* "con[trib]utions" *insert* Court of Wards' estate works.

P.W.D. form No. M. 104 *as revised by Stan[ding] Order No.* 118 *of* 1887, *Part* I, *after the head* "contributions" *insert* Court of W[ards'] estate works.

No. 3951 C.

Referred, through the Revenue Department[, for th]e rem[arks of the Court of] Wards. The proposals are approved in the Publ[ic Work]s [Department.]

(Signed)

[illegible] October 1888.

No. 6309, Revenue.

Forwarded.

FORT ST. GEORGE,
22nd October 1888.

(Signed) C. A. GALTON,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

Resolution—

The Court of Wards als[...] approve of the modifications proposed in the office-note of the Examiner of Public W[...]orks Accounts.

Order—dated 15th November [...]1888, No. 6857, Revenue.

Communicated to the Pu[...]lic Works Department with reference to the endorsement in that department, date[...] 18th October 1888, No. 3951 C.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. A. GALTON,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

Order—dated 23rd November 1888, No. 3706 W., Public Works.

The modifications of Co[...]e rules proposed by the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, are approved.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) H. R. MEAD, Colonel, R.E.,
Ag. Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Examiner, Public Works Ac[...]ounts.
To Superintending Engi[...]ers.
To the Chief Engineer fo[...] Irrigation[...]
" Revenue Department.

Order—dated 13th December 1[...]. 7453, Revenue.

Commu[...]e Court of Wards.

[...]xtract.)

(Signed) C. A. GALTON,
Secretary to Government.

To the Court of Wards.

RESOLUTION—dated 15th Janu[...]o. 80.

Communicated to the C[...] Ganjam, Godávari, Madura and Salem.

[...]y and Extract.)

(Signed) M. HAMMICK,
Secretary.

[...]e Copy.)

(Signed) C. CLARK,
Registrar.

[...]tors of Ganjam, G[...]ura and Salem.
[...]llectors i[...]ards' estates.

Proceedings of the Court of Wards.

Dated 4th February 1889.

No 314

The Honorable J. Grose M.A.

Read Progress report from the Collector of Madura. dated 22nd January 1889 No 12.

Resolution

Recorded.

2. The amount expended during the first half of the Fasli is very small. The Collector is requested to issue instructions for the utilization of the whole of the allotment before the end of the Fasli.

(True Extract)

(Signed) C. Hammick

Secretary

To, The Collector of Madura

No 594
20/2/89. (True Copy)

Forwarded to the Dy Collector Madura Division, who is requested to issue strict instructions to the Manager of the Saptur Estate to ~~have~~ leave no sum unspent at the end of the fasli.

[illegible signature]

Collector

[margin note: 21 2 89 [illegible]]

[margin note: [illegible]]

AC. [illegible]

(True Copy)

Communicated to
the Manager, Saltern
Estate who will see
that no time remains
unspent to abate and
of the fault; the
overseer may
undertake the
roads in [illegible]
and the repairs to
[illegible]

[illegible]

D. [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] :2.28[illegible]
[illegible]

b

DEPARTMENT.

RCULAR No. XIII.

Fort St. George,
Accountant-General's Office,
18*th October* 1883.

UNTANT-GENERAL
THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

OF OFFICES IN THE
CIVIL DEPARTMENT.

or to invite attention to Rule 6, Section 9 of th
(6th Edition), regarding the manner in which
utions due from Officers transferred to Foreign
vied.

of an Officer transferred to Foreign Service within
e salary in respect of his new appointment is not t
British Government Treasury upon a bill subject to
l Accountant-General, the Contribution due should
use (5) of the Rule above referred to, be remitted b
British Treasury accompanied by a Chalan or lette
, or to the like effect; and the Treasury Receip
ld *immediately* on its receipt by the Officer b
the Accountant-General with an endorsement in

a copy of this Circular to every Officer now o
l I send herewith spare copies, one of which you
ver to any member of your Establishment who ma
d to enter Foreign Service.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

H. F. CLOGSTOUN,
Accountant-General

Form A.

PLEASE receive to the credit of Governm
m of Rupees as per p
being pensionary contribution payable by me.

Contribution for the quarter ended . .
Balance of contribution for the quarter end
Interest on Rs. for da
from to at 4 p
a day per 100 Rs. . . . . . .

Total Rs.

tion
e

The Treasury Officer,
Station.

Signat
Designation

Form B.

ORWARDED to the Accountant-General, Fo

Signa
Desig
n

7

rded to all Collectors and Treasury Officers for inform-
quest that arrangements may be made for the prompt
for sums paid into Treasuries. These should show in
particulars contained in the Chalans (*vide* Form A
ne and designation of the Officer, the name of th
he date of receipt and credit of the contribution i
In lieu of the particulars at present given at page 2 c
the Treasury Accounts, the original Chalans receive
es with the remittances should be forwarded to th
Treasury Accounts.

H. F. CLOGSTOUN,
Accountant-Gen

Dated :

...ue on C. R. Dep. No 185 of 1889.

Court of Wards - Madras.

from Honorable - J. Grose - M. A.

Cere... endorsement from the Collector of Madura the 22. January 1889 - No 13 -

Reference of 29-1-89

...Collector will report whether such grants [funds] Devastanams in aid of the funeral ...onies of trustees is customary. If so, for sum recommended may be paid.

(True Extract)

to him...

(Sd) M. Hammick - Secretary.

4/5
4/2/89. (True Copy)

Forwarded to the Dy Collector, Madura Dn. [Gees] for endorsement at an early date, with reference for ... letter No 20/15-1-89.

M. Srinivasa Row
for Collector

... for di...
... wrigi...
to suc...
Sandy...

(True copy)

Forwarded to ... manager Saptur ... report at an early date with reference to his nos 4/7-1-89 & 12/24-1-89. He will further report of the ... such costs from devastanams ... & c. ... Collector

9

Proceedings of the Court of Wa

dated 24th January 1889.

No. 175

Read letter from the Collector of

Madura dated 20th Decr. 88 No. 379

Resolution

Voucher No. 1 and its enclosu...

are herewith returned, as requested.

10

Proceedings of the Court of Wards.

Dated 29th January 1889.

No 220.

The Hon: J. Grose: M.A.

Read: Endorsement from the Collector of Madura. dated 22d January 1889 No 10.

Resolution.

Sanctioned Rs 50 — " — "

(True Extract)

(Signed) M. Hammick
Secretary

No 393.
2/2/89 (True Copy)

Forwarded to the Dy. Collector, Madura Division for information and guidance, with reference to his letter No 14 dated 14th ultimo

[signature]
Collector.

Abs. 47
4-2-87

(True copy)

Communicated to the
Manager, [illegible] for
information & guidance
with reference this
[illegible] No. 2 / 2-1-87 [illegible]

returned.

6-2-87
[illegible]

(A) 10

11

M 2847 / F9

Proceedings of the Court of Wards
dated 30th January 1889. No. 254.
The Hon. J. Grose M. A.

Read endorsement from the Collector of Madura of 16th January 1889. No. 1.

Resolution.

The Collector's proposal is sanctioned.

(True Extract)

(Sd) M. Hammick
Secy.

No. 471 / 11/2/89 (True Copy)

Forwarded to the Treasury Dy. Collector, Madura for information & guidance with copy of the Madura Dn. Dy. Coll's letter No. 2 of 5th Feb & endorsement of this office thereon granted with

(Sd) E. Turner
Collr.

No. 472 / 11/2/89 (True Copy)

Communicated to the Dy. Collr. Madura Dn. for information & guidance.

2 The charge will be met from Devastanam funds.

[signature]
for Collr.

Gm

No. 56 / 14.2.89 (True copy)

Communicated to the Manager, Sap... for information & guidance ... No. 3566 dated 15-12-88 ...
14-2-89

Proceedings of the Court of Wards.

dated 30th January, 1889.

No 271.

The Hon: J. Grose M.A.

Read — Endorsement from the Collector of Madura dated 20th January 1889, No 6.

Resolution

The scale of Establishment proposed by the Colle[ctor] is sanctioned.

(True extract)

(Signed). M. Hammick
Secretary

To
The Collector of Madura.

(True copy)

C/o No 6/9/2/88. Forwarded to the Deputy Collector, Madura Div[ision] with copy of endorsement quoted within, for inform[ation] and guidance with reference to his letter No 273 dated 18th December last.

2. In revising the establishment, such of th[e] servants as acted against the interests of the Estate shoul[d] be replaced by others.

[signature]
Collector

[illegible]:

No 53
9.2.89

(True copy)

Forwarded to the
Manager Saptur for
information & guidance

11. 2-89 (sd) [illegible]
Kadiakam.

Ward 12.2.89 Communicated Hukum

Proceedings of the Court of Wards 13

dated 31st January 1889 – No 274 –

The Hon: J. Grose – M.A.

Read endorsement from the Collector of Madura

of 20th December 1888 – No 378 –

Resolution :—

Sanctioned Rs 100 – – –

(True Extract)

(Sd) M Hammick

Secretary

No 487 (True Copy)

Communicated to the Dy Collr. Madura Dn.

for information & guidance with reference

to his letter No 267 of 15–12–88.

[signature]

for Collr.

W. 13/2/89.

Copies No 57 / 14.2.89 (True copy)

Communicated to the Manager

Saptur for information & guidance

with reference to his reply No. of Saptur dated

7.12.88 herewith returned.

(Sd) [illegible]

Dy Collector

14.2.89 }

Saptur }

14

B 5289

Proceedings of the Court of Wards
Dated 31st January 1889
No. 273.

The Hon. J. Grose M.A.

Read – Endorsement from the Collector of Madura dated 21st December 1888 No. 380.

Resolution

The writing off of Rs 20-13-2 is sanctioned.

(True Extract)

(Signed) M. Hammick
Secretary.

No. 525 / 14/2/89 (True Copy)

Communicated to the Deputy Collector Madura Division for information and guidance with reference to his letter dated 15th December 1888, No. 268 –

2. Rs 20-13-2 may be written off the accounts

[illegible]

[signature]
for Collector

No. 61 / 15-2-89

(True copy)

Communicated to the [illegible] for information & guidance with reference to his wrig no 472 / 6-12-88.

16-2-89

[illegible]

[signature]
[illegible] Collector

No. 72 D/
4/3/89

No. 75
2/3/89

Communicated to the Deputy
Collector, Madura Division for
information.

Collector

Recorded

(True extract)
Forwarded to manager
for information.

8/3/89 Collector
Madura

1889.

Court of Wa

MADRAS.

Printed February 19th.

Proceedings, 29th January 1889

Communicating to the Collectors
the abstract statement showing the
and disbursements by the Court
during the half-year ending 31st
1888.

SECTION

Office Magistrate of the

Court of Wards Office
Madras

16

Memorandum

Dated 14th February 18

No 413

Rupees 6000 (Six thousand) having been transferred to Ramnad from the funds of the Saptur Estate with the Court of Wards, the Collector of Madura is requested to make the necessary adjustments in his office and report the fact.

(Signed) M. Hammick
Secretary

No 631
22/2/89. (True copy)

Forwarded to the Treasury Dy Collector Madura with a request to make the adjustment and report his having done so to the undersigned

(Signed) E. Irvine
Collector

No 632
22/2/89 (True copy)

Forwarded to the Dy. Collector Madura Division

[signature]
Collector

No 69
25.2.59

(True copy)

Forwarded to the Manager Dafter for information.

Sd. W
Dy Collr

25.2.59
Madras

17

To, The [illegible]

Proceedings of the Court of Wards

Dated 16th February 1889

No 464.

The Honorable [illegible] M.A.

Read letter from the Collector of Madura, dated 21st January 1889 No. 9.

Resolution.

The estimate for Rs 300 is sanctioned

(True ~~copy~~ Extract)

(Signed) A. [illegible]
Secretary

Collector of Madura
with the estimate

No 679 / 24-2-89 True Copy

Forwarded to the Deputy Collector Madura Division for information & guidance with the estimate received with his No 1 / 7-1-89 returned.

G. [illegible]
Collector

to written
Current ...
O.C. ...
Dy ...

(Tra

the Curtiss
manager
for informa
should see
is Comple
end of the

25-2-69
McAdam

PROCEEDINGS OF THE COURT OF WARDS.

MEMORANDUM—dated 29th January 1889, No. 221.

Ganjam—
Párlakimedi.
Chinna Kimedi.
Urlám.
Jarada.
Bodogodo.
Kurla.
Aska.
Vizagapatam—
Merangi.
Chípurupalle.
Sripuram.
Chidikada.
Appikonda.
Mádugula.
Godávari—
Kapileswarapuram.
Lakkavaram.
Nadavapalle.
Meduru.
Kistna—
Kapileswarapuram.
Mailavaram.
Cuddapah—
Chitticherla.
North Arcot—
Kangundi.
Trichinopoly—
Peramur.
Madura—
Ramnad.
Gandamanayakanúr.
Sáptúr.
Vadagarai.
Tinnevelly—
M. D. Kumarasami Mudaliar's estate.
Salem—
Erumaipati.
South Canara—
B. Sarvottama Row's estate.
Puttamma's estate.
Malabar—
Kavalpara.
Mankara.

An abstract statement showing the receipts and disbursements by the Court of Wards on account of the marginally-noted estates during the half-year ending 31st December 1888, and also the balance to the credit of each estate on that date, is forwarded for information to the Collectors concerned.

APPENDIX.

ABSTRACT statement showing receipts and disbursements by the Court of Wards on behalf of certain estates during the half-year ending 31st December 1888.

PARLAKIMEDI.

Cr.	PRO. NOTES.	RS. A. P.
Balance brought forward	26,92,000	62,220 5 5
Interest on 4 per cent. loan deducting commission and income-tax.	..	35,806 0 2
Do. on 4½ per cent dc. do. do.	..	22,536 4 1
Recoveries from certain estates of audit charges of the Court of Wards' establishment	..	2,461 0 0
Amounts recovered from the following estates:—		
Chinna Kimedi — RS. 163 A. 11 P. 3		
Jarada — 163 11 3		
Urlám — 163 11 3		
Bodogodo — 163 14 7		
Aska — 0 1 9		
Kurla — 0 1 9	..	655 3 10
Total ..	26,92,000	1,23,678 13 6

Dr.	PRO. NOTES.	RS. A. P.
Printing charges for 1887-88	..	57 4 1
Cost of stationery	..	1 1 4
Cost of a horse including freight, &c.	..	604 4 0
Amount paid to the Accountant-General for auditing Court of Wards' accounts for the two half-years of 1887	..	150 0 0
Cost of a Court fee stamp attached to a copy of a Government Order granted to the young zemindar	..	0 8 0
Cost of three slips of corrections to the Civil Account Code	..	0 6 0
Cost of 100 stamped cheques	..	6 4 0
Salary of the Court of Wards' establishment for seven months from June to December 1888	..	2,567 10 6
Amount paid on account of the following estates:—		
Kallikót and Atagadá — RS. 3,997 A. 6 P. 0		
Shulagiri — 52 8 0	..	4,049 14 0
Balance on 31st December 1888	26,92,000	1,16,241 9 7
Total ..	26,92,000	1,23,678 13 6

CHINNA KIMEDI.

Cr.	PRO. NOTES.	RS. A. P.
Balance brought forward	67,100	2,522 11 6
Interest on 4 per cent. loan deducting commission and income-tax.	..	1,029 11 11
Total ..	67,100	3,552 7 5

Dr.	PRO. NOTES.	RS. A. P.
Cost of an iron safe	..	163 9 6
Cost of stationery	..	0 1 9
Audit charges	..	106 5 9
Printing charges for 1887-88	..	29 1 5
Balance on 31st December 1888	67,100	3,253 5 0
Total ..	67,100	3,552 7 5

URLAM.

Cr.	PRO. NOTES.	RS. A. P.
Balance brought forward	2,78,000	6,857 15 5
Interest on 4 per cent. loan deducting commission and income-tax.	..	4,799 0 1
Do. on 4½ per cent. do. do. do.	..	677 9 8
Total ..	2,78,000	12,334 9 2

Dr.	PRO. NOTES.	RS. A. P.
Cost of an iron safe	..	163 9 6
Cost of stationery	..	0 1 9
Audit charges	..	74 10 2
Printing charges for 1887-88	..	32 12 9
Balance on 31st December 1888	2,78,000	12,063 7 0
Total ..	2,78,000	12,334 9 2

JARADA.

Cr.	PRO. NOTES.	RS. A. P.
Balance brought forward	..	1,460 15 9
Total ..	..	1,460 15 9

Dr.	PRO. NOTES.	RS. A. P.
Cost of an iron safe	..	163 9 6
Cost of stationery	..	0 1 9
Audit charges	..	32 6 5
Printing charges for 1887-88	..	30 7 10
Cost of a pony including freight, &c.	..	767 11 4
Balance on 31st December 1888	..	466 10 11
Total ..	..	1,460 15 9

BODOGODO.					
Balance brought forward	10,000	530 3 3	Cost of an iron safe	..	163 9 6
Interest on 4 per cent. loan deducting commission and income-tax.	..	195 5 4	Cost of stationery	..	0 5 1
			Audit charges	..	27 9 8
			Printing charges for 1887-88	..	28 6 11
			Balance on 31st December 1888	10,000	505 9 5
Total	10,000	725 8 7	Total	10,000	725 8 7
KURLA.					
Balance brought forward	34,600	49 6 0	Cost of stationery	..	0 1 9
Interest deducting commission and income-tax	..	2,669 11 3	Printing charges for 1887-88	..	23 14 5
			Balance paid to the Superintendent, Public Works Workshops, on account of a measuring chain and brass scales	..	3 4 2
			Balance on 31st December 1888	34,600	2,691 12 11
Total	34,600	2,719 1 3	Total	34,600	2,719 1 3
ASKA.					
Balance brought forward	28,300	52 2 1	Cost of stationery	..	0 1 9
Amount of promissory notes received from the Collector	16,500	..	Audit charges	..	47 0 11
			Balance paid to the Superintendent, Public Works Workshops, on account of a measuring chain and brass scales	..	3 4 0
			Balance on 31st December 1888	44,800	1 11 5
Total	44,800	52 2 1	Total	44,800	52 2 1
MERANGI.					
Balance brought forward	1,13,900	3,993 1 4	Audit charges	..	75 10 6
Interest on 4 per cent. loan deducting commission and income-tax.	..	1,894 5 6	Printing charges for 1887-88	..	28 0 4
Do. on 4½ per cent. do. do. do.	..	374 1 3	Fees to Pleaders	..	2,125 0 0
			Promissory notes and cash deposited in the High Court in connection with the Privy Council Appeal	4,000	500 0 0
			Balance on 31st December 1888	1,09,900	3,532 13 3
Total	1,13,900	6,261 8 1	Total	1,13,900	6,261 8 1
CHIPURUPALLE.					
Balance brought forward	..	590 1 2	Audit charges	..	13 12 6
			Printing charges for 1887-88	..	24 2 11
			Balance on 31st December 1888	..	552 1 9
Total	..	590 1 2	Total	..	590 1 2
SRIPURAM.					
Balance brought forward	8,000	848 0 11	Audit charges	..	29 1 0
Interest deducting commission	..	159 9 7	Printing charges for 1887-88	..	25 0 7
			Balance on 31st December 1888	8,000	953 8 11
Total	8,000	1,007 10 6	Total	8,000	1,007 10 6

Abstract statement showing receipts and disbursements by the Court of Wards, on behalf of certain estates during the half-year ending 31st December 1888—*cont.*

Cr.	PRO. NOTES.	RS. A. P.	*Dr.*	PRO. NOTES.	RS. A. P.
CHIDIKADA.					
Balance brought forward	30,000	2,121 15 6	Audit charges	..	36 0 0
Interest deducting commission and income-tax	..	586 0 0	Printing charges for 1887-88	..	20 15 1
			Balance on 31st December 1888 ..	30,000	2,651 0 5
Total ..	30,000	2,707 15 6	Total ..	30,000	2,707 15 6
APPIKONDA.					
Balance brought forward	..	1,349 12 10	Audit charges	..	40 5 11
			Printing charges for 1887-88	..	24 13 11
			Balance on 31st December 1888 ..	..	1,284 9 0
Total ..	..	1,349 12 10	Total ..	..	1,349 12 10
KAPILESWARAPURAM—(GODAVARI DISTRICT).					
Balance brought forward	..	876 3 0	Printing charges	..	1 13 11
			Amount transferred to Lakkavaram, the Collector having been ordered to pay the amount to the proprietrix from the funds of the Lakkavaram estate	..	874 5 1
			Balance on 31st December 1888 ..	..	..
Tota ..	..	876 3 0	Total ..	..	876 3 0
LAKKAVARAM.					
Balance brought forward	43,500	2,625 5 11	Audit charges	..	25 2 5
Interest on 4 per cent. loan deducting commission and income-tax.	..	812 8 7	Printing charges for 1887-88	..	35 4 6
Amount transferred from Kapileswarapuram (Godávari)	..	874 5 1	Amount paid on account of the Meduru estate	..	80 0 0
			Balance on 31st December 1888 ..	43,500	4,171 12 8
Total ..	43,500	4,312 3 7	Total ..	43,500	4,312 3 7
NADAVAPALLE.					
Balance brought forward	..	20 14 11	Audit charges	..	15 9 3
			Balance on 31st December 1888 ..	..	5 5 8
Total ..	..	20 14 11	Total ..	..	20 14 11
CHITTICHERLA.					
Balance brought forward	11,000	594 4 10	Audit charges	..	6 10 7
Interest on 4 per cent. loan deducting commission and income-tax.	..	58 4 7	Printing charges	..	22 12 4
Do. on 4½ per cent. do. do. do.	..	174 13 10	Balance on 31st December 1888 ..	11,000	798 0 4
Total ..	11,000	827 7 3	Total ..	11,000	827 7 3

KANGUNDI.

Balance brought forward	31,500	3,526	12	2
Amount received from the Collector of North Arcot	..	10,000	0	0
Interest on 4 per cent. loan deducting commission and income-tax.	..	612	0	4
Total ..	31,500	14,138	12	6

Audit charges	..	140	3	5
Printing charges for 1887-88	..	41	12	5
Cost of clothes, &c., of the minors	..	297	0	0
Cost of four horses, inclusive of fees for examining them	..	2,595	0	0
Cost of saddles	..	220	8	0
Fees to the Veterinary Surgeon	..	24	0	0
Fees to the Government Pleader	..	1,620	8	0
Cost of erecting a fountain in Landons Gardens	..	91	2	0
Cost of altering and preparing dining room in Landons Gardens	..	53	13	0
Rent of house at Kodaikánal	..	107	0	0
Mahalayam expenses	..	35	0	0
Dipavali expenses	..	70	0	0
Annual ceremony of the late wife of senior minor	..	65	0	0
Educational and maintenance charges from June to December 1888	..	6,406	10	1
Balance on 31st December 1888	31,500	2,371	3	7
Total ..	31,500	14,138	12	6

PERAMUR.

Balance brought forward	66,000	2,823	13	4
Interest on 4 per cent. loan deducting commission and income-tax	..	1,008	6	0
Interest on 4½ per cent. loan deducting commission and income-tax	..	308	3	3
Total ..	66,000	4,140	6	7

Audit charges	..	23	7	2
Printing charges for 1887-88	..	24	12	1
Balance on 31st December 1888	66,000	4,092	3	4
Total ..	66,000	4,140	6	7

RAMNAD.

Balance brought forward	..	4,425	8	11
Amount received from the Collector of Madura	..	10,000	0	0

Audit charges	..	1,205	10	1
Printing charges for 1887-88	..	118	5	6
Cost of clothes, &c.	..	143	8	0
Medical charges	..	20	0	0
Fees to the Veterinary Surgeon	..	24	0	0
Cost of books	..	185	9	0
Cost of spectacles	..	60	5	0
Cost of two horses, including fees for examining them	..	1,330	0	0
Cost of a riding whip	..	8	4	0
Cost of erecting a fountain, &c., in Landons Gardens	..	147	14	0
Balance of the cost of well-boring tools	..	31	6	5
Rent of house at Kodaikánal	..	107	0	0
Travelling expenses of the elder minor during Michaelmas holidays	..	99	1	0
Dipavali expenses	..	100	0	0
Expenses during certain festivals	..	30	0	3
Fees to the Government Pleader	..	2,140	11	0

Abstract statement showing receipts and disbursements by the Court of Wards on behalf of certain estates during the half-year ending 31st December 1888—*cont.*

Cr.	PRO. NOTES.	RS.	A.	P.	*Dr.*	PRO. NOTES.	RS.	A.	P.
					Fees paid to Messrs. Barclay and Morgan for drawing up an agreement for the repair of a temple	..	123	12	0
					Amount paid on account of Gandamanáyakanúr estate	..	252	5	0
					Educational and maintenance charges from June to December 1888.	..	6,406	10	2
					Balance on 31st December 1888 ..	..	1,891	3	6
Total ..	..	14,425	8	11	Total ..	..	14,425	8	11
GANDAMANAYAKANUR.									
Balance brought forward	..	120	10	3	Audit charges	..	91	14	1
					Printing charges for 1887-88	..	23	15	0
					Balance on 31st December 1888 ..	..	4	13	2
Total ..	..	120	10	3	Total ..	..	120	10	3
SAPTUR.									
Balance brought forward	1,47,500	3,602	12	9	Audit charges	..	97	6	1
Interest on 4 per cent. loan deducting commission and income-tax.	..	874	5	0	Printing charges	..	52	11	8
Interest on 4½ per cent. loan deducting commission and income-tax	..	2,196	11	6	Cost of stationery	..	8	4	1
Sale-proceeds of a horse	..	246	0	0	Fees to the Government Pleader	..	52	8	0
					Fees to the Veterinary Surgeon	..	6	0	0
					Balance on 31st December 1888 ..	1,47,500	6,702	15	5
Total ..	1,47,500	6,919	13	3	Total ..	1,47,500	6,919	13	3
VADAGARAI.									
Balance brought forward	5,000	327	4	6	Audit charges	..	10	1	11
Interest on 4 per cent. loan deducting commission and income-tax	..	97	2	4	Printing charges for 1887-88	..	23	10	6
					Balance on 31st December 1888 ..	5,000	390	10	5
Total ..	5,000	424	6	10	Total ..	5,000	424	6	10
M. D. KUMARASAMI MUDALIAR'S ESTATE.									
Balance brought forward	..	30	6	11	Printing charges for 1887-88	..	19	2	7
					Balance on 31st December 1888 ..	..	11	4	4
Total ..	..	30	6	11	Total ..	..	30	6	11

Receipts	Securities	Cash	Charges	Securities	Cash
ERUMAIPATI.					
Balance brought forward	..	11 8 8	Balance on 31st December 1888	..	11 8 8
Total	..	11 8 8	Total	..	11 8 8
B. SARVOTHAMA ROW'S ESTATE.					
Balance brought forward	5,000	288 13 9	Audit charges	..	8 12 11
Interest on 4 per cent. loan deducting commission	..	89 12 5	Printing charges for 1887-88	..	21 12 1
Do. on 4½ do. do.	..	11 3 7	Balance on 31st December 1888	5,000	359 4 9
Total	5,000	389 13 9	Total	5,000	389 13 9
PUTTAMMA'S ESTATE.					
Balance brought forward	..	400 12 2	Audit charges	..	8 13 9
			Printing charges for 1887-88	..	22 10 6
			Amount paid to the Advocate-General for the cost of printing records connected with Appeal Suit No. 80 of 1887	..	133 1 0
			Balance on 31st December 1888	..	236 2 11
Total	..	400 12 2	Total	..	400 12 2
KAVALPARA.					
Balance brought forward	76,000	3,480 4 10	Audit charges	..	41 13 6
Interest on 4 per cent. loan deducting commission and income-tax.	..	1,029 11 11	Printing charges for 1887-88	..	25 12 4
Do. on 4½ do. do.	..	502 11 11	Balance of the cost of well-boring tools	..	25 2 5
Amount transferred from Mankara estate, the amount having been paid by the Collector to Mankara from the funds of the Kavalpara estate	..	600 0 0	Cost of an iron safe	..	215 0 0
			Balance on 31st December 1888	76,000	5,305 0 5
Total	76,000	5,612 12 8	Total	76,000	5,612 12 8
MANKARA.					
Balance brought forward	11,200	683 7 4	Audit charges	..	6 10 2
Interest on 4½ per cent. loan deducting commission	..	11 3 7	Printing charges for 1887-88	..	27 4 7
			Amount transferred to Kavalpara	..	600 0 0
			Balance on 31st December 1888	11,200	60 12 2
Total	11,200	694 10 11	Total	11,200	694 10 11
MAILAVARAM.					
Amount of promissory notes purchased	24,800	..	Balance on 31st December 1888	24,800	..
Total	24,800	..	Total	24,800	..

21

Abstract statement showing receipts and disbursements by the Court of Wards on behalf of certain estates during the half-year ending 31st December 1888—*cont.*

KAPILESWARAPURAM—(KISTNA DISTRICT). *Cr.*	PRO. NOTES.	RS. A. P.	*Dr.*	PRO. NOTES.	RS. A. P.
Amount of promissory notes received from the Collector	41,000	..	Boat hire for conveying the well-boring tools	..	3 0 0
Interest deducting commission and income-tax	..	560 0 7	Balance on 31st December 1888 ..	41,000	575 15 5
Amount returned by the Superintendent, Public Works Workshops, being the unexpended balance of Rs. 185 sent to him for the supply of a set of well-boring tools.	..	18 14 10			
Total ..	41,000	578 15 5	Total ..	41,000	578 15 5
MADUGULA.					
Amount received from the Collector of Vizagapatam	..	630 1 8	Balance due to Government in connection with Privy Council appeal	..	583 15 9
			Fees to the Government Pleader	..	36 0 0
			Balance on 31st December 1888 ..	..	10 1 11
Total ..	..	630 1 8	Total ..	..	630 1 8
MEDURU.					
Amount received from the Collector of Godávari	77,000	2,000 0 0	Amount transferred to Lakkavaram, being the amount paid to the Government Pleader by that estate on account of the Meduru estate	..	250 0 0
			Balance on 31st December 1888 ..	77,000	1,750 0 0
Total ..	77,000	2,000 0 0	Total ..	77,000	2,000 0 0

(A true Extract.)

(Signed) M. HAMMICK,
Secretary.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) C. CLARK,
Registrar.

To the Collector of Ganjam
,, ,, of Vizagapatam.
,, ,, of Godávari.
,, ,, of Kistna.
,, ,, of Cuddapah.
,, ,, of North Arcot.
,, ,, of Trichinopoly.
,, ,, of Madura.
,, ,, of Tinnevelly.
,, ,, of Salem.
,, ,, of South Canara.
,, ,, of Malabar.

Exd. A. Aubert.

Proceedings of the Court of Ward

Dated 9th April 1889

[illegible]

No. 928.

The Hon. J. Grose M.A.

Read endorsement from the Collector

of Madura dated 22nd Jany 1889 No.

and reference thereon dated 29-1-8

also letter from the same officer date

22nd March 1889 No. 75.

x requesting sanction for Rs 50 for performing the funeral ceremonies of Peria Sami Paradesi, the trustee of Sadhura Giri Mahalingam Temple.

Resolution

Sanctioned accordingly.

(True Extract)

(Signed) M. Hammick
Secretary.

No. 1199
10/4/89 (True Copy)

Communicated to the Deputy Colle
:tor, Madura Division, for informa
:tion and guidance with reference

his letter No. 20, C.W. dated the
th January 1889.

M. [illegible]
for Collector.

Cat. F.R.

No. 126 / 19-4-89

(True Copy)

Communicated to the [illegible] for information & guidance

19.4.89
Madras

[illegible] Collector

23

Proceedings of the Court of wards

Dated 21st February 1889.

No 520.

The Honorable J. Grose M. A

Read letter from the Collector of Madura, 17 January 1889, No 3.

Resolution

Sanctioned.

(True Extract)
(Sd) M. Hammick
Secretary)

No 77 A (True copy)

Forwarded to the Deputy Collector, Madura Dn. for information and guidance with reference to his letter No 264 dated 21st December last.

5/3/89.

[signature]
Collector

No. 84

(True copy)

Forwarded
the manager of Soft
for information a
guidance with reference
to his ... No. $\frac{443}{...}$ 88 ...

[illegible]

9/3/89
[illegible]

Court of Wards, 18th February 1889, No. 482.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE COURT OF WARDS.

W. S. Whiteside, Esq.
The Hon. J. Grose, M.A.

Read—the following letter from H. LE FANU, Esq., Collector of North Arcot, to the Secretary to the Court of Wards, dated Chittoor, 23rd January 1889, Reference on Bd. No. 60 of 89:—

With reference to the Court's Proceedings, dated 14th instant, No. 70, sanctioning the appointment of Mathava Rau as manager of Kangundi and Timmaji Ammal's minor estates, I have the honor to state that the late manager, Narayanasawmi Mudali, furnished a *personal* security of Rs. 100 for Kangundi and a cash security of Rs. 100 for minor Timmaji Ammal's estate. The security is very small. At present, the ascertained embezzlements in the Kangundi estate are believed to be at least Rs. 6,000. The manager's bond makes him liable for all embezzlements, but when we try to enforce this we shall probably find it impossible to recover anything from him except by a civil suit.

2. As the manager's position is one of great responsibility, I think Mathava Rau should be called on to furnish a cash security of at least Rs. 2,000, the security given by the Deputy Tahsildar of Kangundi. I therefore request that the Court of Wards will be pleased to favor me with their instructions as to the amount of security to be taken from Mathava Rau for each of the estates.

Resolution—dated 18th February 1889, No. 482.

The Court observe that the security furnished by the late manager was apparently for personal appearance before the Collector.

2. Hitherto apparently no security at all adequate to meet the case has been taken from managers of estates; but the Court consider that it might prove very useful if security were in future to be taken from these officers. They have very great opportunities in many cases of misusing their powers and often no doubt have large sums of money at their command. If, however, security is taken from them, a proper security bond must be drawn out to suit the case, and the Court therefore resolve to ask those Collectors who have estates under their control to report what security, if any, they would recommend, and what they would suggest should be the conditions of the bond. Then, if necessary, the Government Solicitor will be asked to draw up a suitable form of bond for use. In the meanwhile, the Collector of North Arcot will be directed to go on as before for the present.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) M. HAMMICK,
Secretary.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) C. CLARK,
Registrar.

To the Collector of North Arcot.
„ all other Collectors in charge of Wards' estates.

Exd. A. Aubert.

1889.

Court of Wards,

MADRAS.

Printed February 28th.

Proceedings, 18*th Feb*. 1889, *No*. 482.

16/3/89

Requesting all Collectors in charge of Wards' estates to report regarding the security to be taken from managers of estates.

25

Proceedings of the Court of Wards.

Dated 4th March 1889.

No 605

WD
26 3 89
See of the
appointment
of a guardian
to the minor
with allowance

The Honorable J. Grose. M.A.

Read letter from the Collector of Madura, dated

23rd February 1889, No 48.

Resolution

The Collector's recommendations are

approved and sanctioned.

(True Extract)

(Sd) M. Hammick
Secretary

No 934
2/3/89. (True copy)

Communicated with copy of letter read in the

to the Dy Collector, Madura Dn for information

& guidance with reference to his letter No 46/16-2-89.

Necessary security bond &c should be taken

from the guardian on the receipt of which usual

Commission will be issued by the Collector

as required by Regulation V of 1804.

wh

[illegible]
For Collector

[illegible] Encl Mr

No. 98
24-3-89

(True copy)

Communicated to the manager Saptur for information and guidance with copies of this office letter & the Collector's [illegible] thereon. The increase of pay to the Tutor will take effect from the next Fasly for which provision ~~must~~ will be made in that Fasly's budget.

(Sd.) [illegible]
Dy Coll.

24-3-89
Mathampatti[illegible]

Proceedings of the Court of Wards
Dated 13th March 1889
No. 677

26

273 89 Read the following

Revenue Department

Proceedings of the Madras Government

Read Proceedings of the Court of Wards dated 15th February 1889 No. 448 requesting sanction for the transfer of K. Seshaghiri Row Ver Clerk Collector's Office to service under Court of Wards as Saptur Estate Accountant.

Order thereon 4th March 1889 No. 1349

Sanctioned

(True extract)
(Signed) C. A. Galton
Secy. to Govt.

Communicated to the Collector of Madura
(True copy & extract)
(Signed) M. Hammick
Secretary

No. 976 (True copy)

Communicated to the Deputy Collector Madura division for information & guidance

Madura Collector's Office
Camp Chuttrapatty
28th March 1889

[illegible]
Collector.

Copied by [illegible]
[illegible]

(True copy)

No. 100 Communicated to the Manager Saptur for information & guidance.

[illegible]

27

Proceedings of the Court of Wards
Dated 14th March 1889
No 182

B 18/4/89 Vellumma Ref

The Hon. J. Grose M.A.

Read — letter from the Collector of Madura dated 23rd February 1889 No 50

Resolution.

The Collector's proposals are approved and sanctioned.

(True extract)
(Signed) M. Hammick
Secretary

No. 1105 / 17/4/89 (True Copy)

Communicated to the Dy. Collector Madura Dn. with reference to his letters No 8 / 10.2.89 & 18 / 5.3.89 for information and guidance.

[signature]
for Collector

Copd. F.V.
E.S.R.

(True Copy)

Forwarded to the Overseer Saptur for information. This sanction refers to his own appointment as well as to that of [illegible] small detail of Surveyor [illegible] temporary sanction.

[illegible]

Submitted to Dy Collr Manager for information.

He is allowed a pay of Rs 60 a month & Rs 20 a month this additional allowance [illegible] allowance pay of Rs 16 a month. [illegible] [illegible] his salary bills quoting this sanction.

[illegible]

Proceedings of the Court of Wards
Dated 18th March 1889.
No 722.

28

Dy 13-4-89 order [illegible] 25 No 12

The Hon: J Grose. M. A.

Read Endorsement from the Collector of Madura dated 25th February 1889. No 51 (requesting sanction for the reduction in the pay of the clerk Saptur Manager's office and the entertainment of a clerk on Rs 7 for doing the duty under Mr. French &c).

10 [illegible]

Resolution

The Collector's proposals are sanctioned.

2. He will report how long the services of the clerk proposed to be employed under Mr. French will be required

(True Extract)
(Sd) M. Hammick
Secy

No. 1124 / 12/4/89 (True copy)

Communicated to the Treasury Dy Collector, Deputy Collector, Madura Dn and Govt. Pleader for information & guidance.

2. The Deputy Collector, Madura Dn will submit the report called for and entertain a clerk on Rs 7 after consulting the Govt. Pleader and intimate the name of the clerk appointed to this office and also to the Try Deputy Collector.

[illegible]
Dy Collector

To
The Dy Collector
Madura Dn.

No/12 3.4.89

(True Copy)

Communicated to the Manager Sapther for information & guidance. The pay of the clerk appointed in place of Krishnasamy Iyer will be reduced to Rs 12 - The name of the person to be appointed for the Clerk's vacancy place on Rs 7 will be communicated in due course.

W Francis [illegible]
Collector

17.4.87
Madura

29

Proceedings of the Court of Wards.

Dated 20th March 1889.

[illegible] No 745.

Read — Progress Report from the Collector of Madura dated 12th March 1889. No 61.

Resolution

Recorded.

2. The Collector's attention is drawn to para 2 of C. P. dated 4th February last No 314

(True extract)

(Signed) Mr. Hammick
Secretary.

No 1102 / 17/4/89. (True Copy)

Communicated to the Dy. Collector Madura D. [illegible] for information and guidance.

[illegible]

For Collector

[illegible]

Cpd [illegible]

No. [illegible]

18.4.89

(True copy)

Communicated to the Overseer Sapter for information & guidance. His attention is drawn to this office footnote No 10 / 21.2.89 to the Proceedings of the Court of Wards quoted above.

Sd/

19.4.89 Asst. Collector

Madura

Refce on C.W. Ref: No 820 of 1889.

30 30

The Honorable J. Grose, M.A.

Read endorsement from the Collector of Madura dated 22nd March 1889, No 73.

Reference dated 17th April 1889.

The Collector is requested to report whether the half of the contribution could not be collected from the people of Saptur, i.e. Rs 25.

(True extract).

(Sd) M. Hammick
Secretary

No 1246

(True copy.)

Forwarded to the Deputy Collector, Madura Dn, for report with reference to his letter No C.W. 56 / 5-3-89.

[illegible]
Collector

4/5/89.

No. 1[illegible] / 6.5.89

(True copy)

Forwarded to the Manager Sapter f[illegible] information & guidance [illegible] will report whether the ryots of Sapter are willing to contribute 1325 a year for meeting the cost of the Sanitary [illegible] estts. to be entertained for sweeping the streets, roads &c of the village[illegible]

8/5/89 (Sd) W. J. D[illegible]
Kalpathi.

[illegible]

31

Proceedings of the Court of Wards
Dated 2nd April 1889
No 859.

The Hon. J. Grose M.A.

Read — letter from the Collector of Madura dated 11th March 1889, No 6[illegible]

Resolution

In the circumstances reported the payment of Rs 177-2-4 is sanctioned

(True extract)

(Signed) W. Hammick
Secretary

No 117
10/4/89. (True copy)
Communicated to the Treasury Deputy Collector for information & guidance
2. He is requested to place at the disposal of the Dy. Collector Madura

D.n

Dt., the amount sanctioned in these Proceedings from the funds of the Saptur Estate for payment to the Cowldar of Pethangolam village

(Signed) E. Turner
Collector

No. 117/16/4/89. (True copy)
Communicated to the Deputy Collr Madura Dt. with reference to his letter dated 17th Jany 1889, No. 25 and in continuation of this office No. 824/11.3.89 –

2. As the Cowldar resides in Madura Town, the payment may be made here.

E. Turner
Collector.

Copd by D.K.V.
Exd ar

W.J. 12 ap 89 / R.J.

Proceedings of the Court of Wards.

Dated 5th April 1889.

No 902

The Honorable J. Grose M.A.

Read letter from the Collector of Madura, d

18th March 1889, No 63.

Resolution

Sanctioned Rs 7-6-9.

(True Extract)

(Sd) M. Hammick
Secretary

No 1119 / 10/4/89

(True copy)

Communicated to the Deputy Collector, Madura Division for information and guidance with reference to his letter No 58 C.W. / 6-3-89.

[signature]
Collector

Endt.

(True copy)

Communicated to the Manager Sapther for information & guidance with reference to his wage No 106 / 28/8

This is the sum wanted for the Kumbabishegam of Raidasapuram temple.

W.J.

(Sd) Collr

17-4-89
Madura

Proceedings of the Court of Wards.

Dated 5th April 1889.

No 903. 33

12 WS

The Honorable J. Grose. M. A.

Read — letter from the Collector of Madura

dated 18th March 1889. No. 64

<u>Resolution</u>

Sanctioned Rs 72-8-0

(True extract)

(Sd) M. Hammick
Secretary

No 1120 / 10/4/89. (True copy)

Communicated to the Deputy Collector

Madura Dn. for information and guidance

with reference to his letter No $\frac{17 \text{ C.W.}}{5\text{-}3\text{-}89}$

[illegible]

Collector

(True copy)

Endsd Communicated to the Sanitary

... for information & guidance

with reference to his ... No. 94 / 25.2...

This is a most of the cost for sinking a well

The President Taluq Board [illegible] has been requested to place the other moiety at his disposal ~~at your disposal~~ ~~the moiety payable from Local Fund~~. He will receive the amounts from him & sink a well in a better place, complete ~~carry out the necessary repairs~~ ~~and dates~~ the same & report with a completion certificate. He will pay the amount from the Local Funds.

Coly & Collector

17.4.09
[illegible]

12-4-07

Proceedings of the Court of Wards.
Dated 13th April 1889.
No 969.
The Hon. J. Grose M.A.

Read — Endorsement from the Collector of Madura dated 22nd March 1889 No. 71.

Resolution

Sanctioned Rs 42-2-0.

2. The form of Cowle for private topes in B.P. $\frac{2438}{22.10.81}$ may be adopted with the necessary modifications.

(True extract)

(Signed) M. Hammick
Secretary

$\frac{1170}{27/4/09}$ (True Copy)

Communicated with copy of endorsement to the Dy. Collector Madura Division for information and guidance with reference to his letter No $\frac{57}{5.3.89}$

[illegible]
For Collector

Copd [illegible]
[illegible]

30·4 (

(True copy)

Forwarded to
the [illegible] of [illegible]
for information [illegible]
This is the cost of [illegible]
of tapes in [illegible]
[illegible] lands at Pala[illegible]
[illegible] above [illegible]
will be sent after [illegible]

[illegible]

2-5-09
Palayur.

Proceedings of the Court of Wards.

Dated 26 April 1889

No. 1022.

The Hon: J Grose M.A

15 5 89 Communicated to the Surveyor Forward

35

Read letter from the Collector of Madura dated 28 March 1889 No. 80.

Resolution.

The entertainment of a Surveyor on Rs 50 with an Additional allowance of Rs 15 per mensem is sanctioned.

(True extract)

(Signed) M. Hammick
Secretary

No. 1495 / 15.5.89. (True Copy)

Communicated with Copy of letter read within to the Deputy Collector Madura Dn for information & guidance with reference to his letter No. 21 / 11.3.89

2. The Court of Wards have been addressed for sanction to retain the Surveyor for 1 year as requested by the Deputy Collector in his letter No. 36 / 24.4.89. The Deputy Collector will see from the above that the pay of

of the Surveyor to Rs 50 + 15 per mensem.

Thompson
for Collector

Copied by [illegible]
Exd [illegible]

No. 31/a
17.5.59

(True copy)

Forwarded to the Overseer Saftur for information and guidance.

[illegible]
Dy Coll.

Similar to the Surveyor.

Proceedings of the Court of Wards
Dated 30th April 1889.
No. 1059.
The Honorable J Grose M.A.

Read Endorsement from the Collector of Madura dated 8th April 1889 No. 86.

Resolution

The expenditure of the grain already in hand which is worth Rs 326.8.3. is sanctioned. Apparently it is proposed to continue "the levy" of one Marakal per Ambaram. The Collector will report whether the "levy" is really voluntary and if not, how it can be justified.

(True extract)

(Signed) M. Hammick
Secretary

No. 1496 / 15/5/89 (True Copy)

Communicated with copy of Endorsement to the Deputy Collector Madura Division with reference to his letter No. 67 / 24.3.89. He is requested to submit the report called for by the Board

[illegible]
for Collector

Copied by [illegible]

[Margin note:] [illegible] 15.8.89 [illegible]

No. 138
15/5/89

(True copy)

Forwarded
Manager of Safe..
for report. He was
the Lamentation
to be performed. It is
presumed that the
contribution is ..
luntary.

O.C. ...
Dy Coll

37

Proceedings of the Court of Wards.

Dated 2d May, 1889

No. 1079.

Read — letter from the Collector of Madura, dated 12th April 1889 No 90.

Resolution.

The Collectors action is approved and the Supplemental Estimate sanctioned.

(True Extract)

(Signed) A. Hammick
Secretary

To The Collector of Madura.
with the enclosures returned.

(True Copy)

No 1529
10/5/89

Forwarded to the Dy Collector Madura Division, with enclosures in original for information with reference to his letter No 23 dated 12th March 1889.

[signature]
Dy Collector

Proceedings of the Court of Wards
Dated 7th May 1889
No. 1101

Read letter from the Collector of Madura Dy 24.4.89 No. 99.

Resolution

Recorded. The Collector should see that the works are pushed on

(True extract)

(signed) M. Hammick
Secretary

No. 1579
17/5/89. (True Copy)

Communicated with copy of letter read within to the Deputy Collector Madura Division for information and guidance with reference to the Progress Report of Public Works in the Sapthur Eestate for March 1889.

[illegible]
for Collector

[illegible]

Copied by [illegible]

Exd [illegible]

Proceedings of the Court of Wards.

Dated 10th May 1889

No 1113

W. S. Whiteside Esquire

The Hon. J. Grose M.A.

Read - Endorsement from the Collector of Madura dated 6th April 1889 No 85.

Resolution

The remission of arrears is sanctioned.

(True extract)

(Signed) M. Hammick

Secretary

No 1594 / 31/5 Rg. True Copy

Communicated to the Deputy Collector, Madura Division, for information and guidance with reference to his letter C.W. No 62 of 11th March 89.

J. A. Cummins

For Collector

Cop'd D.K.V

Read ...

(True Copy)
Forwarded
to the manager of the
Depot for information
and guidance. The
arrears referred to
the arrears due
the Coleman Ze

(Sd)
3.6.89 — Dy Colle[illegible]
Madras.

Proceedings of the Court of Wards
Dated 11th May 1889.
No. 1121

The Honorable J. Grose M.A.

Read Endorsement from the Collector of Madura dated 23rd April 1889 No 97.

Resolution

The payment of Rs 16-5-7 is Sanctioned

(True extract)

(Signed) M. Hammick
Secretary.

No. 1622 / 26/5/89 (True Copy).

Communicated with copy of Endorsement read within to the Deputy Collector Madura Division, for information and guidance with reference to his No 74 of 2nd April 1889.

[signature]
for Collector

Exd

Proceedings of the Court of Wards
Dated 14th May 1889 No. (13)
The Honorable J. Grose M.A.

A1

Read Endorsement from the Collector of Madura dated 22nd April 1889 No. 95

Resolution

The Collector's action is approved and the revised estimate for Rs. 940 sanctioned.

(True extract)

(Signed) M. Hammick
Secretary

No. 1704 / 31/5/89 (True Copy)

Communicated to the Deputy Collector Madura division for information and guidance with reference to his letter No. 29 C.W. of 9th April 1889. The revised estimate is herewith returned.

M. Srinivasa Rao
for Collector

already see vide footnote 1245 / 23.4.89

Estimate for repairing the offices of Sapthu......

Entd.

No. 39/5/6/84

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
Recd. No. 771
1 JUL 84
P.W.D.
MADURA

(True copy)

Communicated to the Overseer & the Manag~~[illegible]~~ Captain for information.

Sd/

7-6-89
Madras

[illegible signature]

Proceedings of the Court of Wards

W/5 689 Dated 21st May 1889

A.2

No. 1187.

The Hon. J. Grose M. A.

Read- Endorsement from the Collector of Madura, dat 23rd. April 1889 No. 96.

Resolution.

Sanctioned Rs 120.

(True extract)

(Signed) M. Hammick
Secretary

Rc 1757 / 5/6/89. (True copy)

Communicated with copy of endorsement read within, to the Deputy Collector Madura D.n with reference to his letter C.W. No 77 of the 10th April 1889.

[illegible]

for Collector

Copd & R.V. [illegible]

5-6-89.

(True copy)

Communicated to
the Manager Saptur
for information an[d]
guidance. This i[s]
for the performance
of ceremonies in the 4
temples ~~of~~ at Madura, &c
on the days on which
the moon passes the li[ne]
day star of the Mutto
Zemindar of Saptur.

6/6/89 W. Dillon [?]
Madura D. Collector

43

PROCEEDINGS OF THE COURT OF WARDS.

W. S. Whiteside, Esq.
The Hon. J. Grose, M.A.
J. Sturrock, Esq.

Read—the following G.O., dated 15th January 1889, No. 18, Revenue :—

Read—Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), dated 1st December 1888, No. 990 :—

Read—the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), dated 28th December 1888, Mis. No. 7571 :—

The Hon. W. S. Whiteside.
The Hon. J. Grose, M.A.

Read—again the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), dated 28th August 1888, Mis. No. 5146 :—

Read—the following letter from C. H. MOUNSEY, Esq., Acting Sub-Collector of Madura, to the Collector of Madura, dated 8th August 1888, No. 325 :—

I have the honor to report that Kumara Kathiraya Nayaker, zemindar of Mambarai, Palni taluk, died on the 3rd instant, at 5 P.M., from the effects of paralysis, leaving three widows and three sons and two daughters. The first widow has no issue. The second has a son aged 14, and a young daughter. The third has two young sons and one daughter. The second widow's son, Venkatrama Nayaker, is nominated to succeed the deceased zemindar.

2. The tahsildar in his arzi, dated 6th instant, states that he will proceed at once to the village and submit a final report after the necessary inquiry. If I see any reason for it, I will myself go off at once to the zemindari. I have so many pending cases fixed for hearing here and at Palni (up to the end of the month) that it would be most inconvenient to go anywhere else now.

Endorsement by E. TURNER, Esq., Collector of Madura, dated 16th August 1888, No. 339.

Submitted to the Commissioners of Land Revenue.

2. Further report will be submitted in due course.

3. Mambarai is a small estate paying a peishcush of Rs. 1,500 to Government.

Resolution—dated 28th August 1888, Mis. No. 5146.

Recorded.

2. The further report promised is awaited.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) H. A. SIM,
Acting Secretary.

To the Collector of Madura.

Read—also the following letter from E. TURNER, Esq., Collector of Madura, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, dated Kodaikánal, 22nd September 1888, No. 398 :—

In reply to Board's Proceedings, dated 28th August 1888, Mis. No. 5146, I have the honor to submit copy of a detailed report from the tahsildar of Palni on

the affairs of the Mambarai zemindari, which I propose may be taken under the management of the Court of Wards on behalf of Venkatrama Naick, the eldest son of the deceased proprietor, aged 16 years.

2. The estate consists of three villages having an ayakut of 7,426 acres, of which 6,420 acres are assessed and 1,006 acres poramboke. Deducting from the former 1,470 acres waste, 304 acres inam, 76 acres unauthorized inams, granted subsequent to the permanent settlement, and 240 acres the pannai or private farm lands of the zemindar, the net extent under actual occupation during fasli 1297 was 4,330 acres, assessed at Rs. 4,813. The rent on the private farm lands amounts to Rs. 367 and that on the unauthorized inam to Rs. 146. There are no wet lands in the zemindari. The lands under holding are classed as either dry or garden and the assessment thereon is payable in money and not in kind. There are no irrigation works in the estate nor any buildings or other works of public utility. There are no forests, but there are low jungles scattered about, which, however, do not contain any valuable trees. The only source of revenue from these jungles is the Avaram bark, the right to the collection of which produce has been leased out by the late zemindar for a period of five years, ending March 1889, for a lump sum of Rs. 500.

3. The annual income of the estate, excluding poramboke, waste and inam lands, may be estimated at Rs. 5,000, which, together with the land-cess payable to the zemindar, rent on pannai lands and revenue from Avaram bark, may amount to Rs. 5,500.

4. I shall now refer to the items of expenditure. The peishcush is Rs. 1,500 and the land-cess Rs. 170. The estate may be managed by the tahsildar of Palni who should be granted a monthly allowance of Rs. 15 as in the case of Vadagarai estate. He should be allowed a small establishment consisting of a clerk on Rs. 10 and a peon on Rs. 5. Rs. 70 should be provided annually for all contingent charges. No establishment is at present required in the villages. The monthly allowance for the maintenance of the zemindar's family may be fixed at Rs. 50, which the ladies themselves consider to be fair and sufficient. The total charges thus come to Rs. 2,700 :—

	RS.
(1) Peishcush ...	1,500
(2) Land-cess ...	170
(3) Establishment and contingencies ...	430
(4) Maintenance ...	600
Total ...	2,700

5. The annual surplus will then be (Rs. 5,500 — Rs. 2,700) Rs. 2,800.

6. The arrears of rent due to the estate by the ryots amount to Rs. 3,644 and the requisite steps have been and will be taken for the realization of the same.

7. The debts due to the zemindari are reported to be about Rs. 6,000 and those payable by the estate Rs. 19,900. Detailed proposals will be submitted in due course for the recovery of the former and liquidation of the latter.

8. The movable properties left by the zemindar are :—

	RS.
(*a*) Jewellery ...	5,736
(*b*) Silver ornaments of the horse ...	98
(*c*) Furniture and vessels ...	272
(*d*) Carts and palanquins ...	160
(*e*) Live-stock ...	360
(*f*) Grains for current use.	

Proposals for the disposal of the above will be submitted later on.

9. The zemindar has left two widows, three sons, aged 16, 6 and $3\frac{1}{2}$ years, respectively, and two daughters, 4 and $2\frac{1}{2}$ years old. The paternal uncle of the late zemindar, one Muthuvelu Naick, an elderly man living in the same family with the widows and children, may be appointed guardian to the minors. The widows wish to have the boys with them in the village for about six months, after which period the minors may be sent to either Dindigul or Madura for their education.

44

ENCLOSURE.

From M. R. Ry. M. SUNDARAM AIYAR, Tahsildar of Palni, to the Acting Sub-Collector of Madura, dated Palni, 24th August 1888.

With reference to my report No. --, of the 6th instant, intimating the death, on the 3rd idem, of Kumara Kathiraya Nayaker, the zemindar of Mambarai, I beg to submit the following report on the state of the deceased's family and the circumstances connected with the zemindari, after a personal inquiry and examination of the accounts, &c.

2. The deceased was at the time of his death aged 46. It is said he had an attack of paralysis some 2 or 3 years ago which was troubling him occasionally. On the 3rd instant no symptoms of any malady were perceptible till about 12 o'clock in the noon. On taking his meals as usual, he sat on his pial and all at once he had a fit which laid him unconscious and he breathed his last at 5 P.M. on that day, without, it is said, a word of instructions or information to anybody.

3. The deceased had three wives. The eldest or senior lady is named Akilanda Nachiyar Ammal, aged about 42. She had an only daughter named Venkatammal who had been married to the zemindar of Kadavúr in Trichinopoly district and died, leaving a female issue who is said to be at Kadavúr.

4. The second wife was named Muthurengammal; she died some three years ago, leaving behind her a son named Venkatrama Naick and a daughter named Sinnakammal. The son is, according to the copy of horoscope which was in the zemindar's record, aged 8 years 8 months and 8 days.* The daughter is aged 4.

* 28th Arpisi Piramathi.

5. The third is Muthukathirammaul, said to be about 30 years old. She is now living and has got two sons and a daughter. The eldest son is aged 6 and his name is Parasurama Naick. The younger, Malla Bomiya Naick, is a little boy 3½ years old, and the last child is the daughter whose name is Colondi Ammal, aged about 2½ years. Muthukathirammaul is said to be now in the eighth or ninth month of her pregnancy.

6. The above are the members of the zemindar's family under his protection.

7. The zemindar has got further a relative and three distant dayadis or co-parceners. The former goes by the name of Muthuvelu Naicker who stands in relationship to the deceased as paternal uncle. He has no wife or children and moves as a member of the zemindar's family, messing in the palace. The other three dayadis are Landa Bommi Naick, Venkatrama Naick and Ramasami Naick who live separately at Mambarai.

8. *Buildings.*—The deceased owned as buildings his only house termed palace.

9. The other personal or movable properties belonging to the zemindar are detailed below.

10. *Jewellery and other ornaments, rubies, gold and silver.*—A list of the jewels, marked A, belonging to the deceased and the members of his family, has been prepared. Their estimated value comes to Rs. 5,736. Jewels valued at Rs. 4,345 have been pledged to one Syed Ahmed Ravuthen of Ediacottai as security for the repayment of a debt of Rs. 3,289 borrowed by the deceased zemindar. These jewels, which were shown to me and taken back by the money-lender, are fine and important ones and some of them are indeed of excellent make. The other jewels in the possession of the widows are those numbered 48 to 65 in the list. Among these, numbers 37 to 47 belong to the deceased. These are not required for the use of any of the members and they have therefore been preserved in a sealed box locked up in a sealed room and entrusted to the charge of the widows and village officers. The other jewels are worn by the widows and the children who require them for their daily use. They have accordingly been left in charge of the widows.

11. *Silver ornaments of horse.*—List B shows the silver articles which are ornaments worn on the person of the zemindar's horse. Their estimated value is Rs. 98. All these ornaments have also been hypothecated to one Shaik Mohomudmira Levvai of Markamputty village and they are in his possession.

12. *Furniture, boxes and other sundry articles and vessels.*—In list C is entered the furniture, including the boxes and sundry articles. Their estimated value comes to Rs. 272.

13. *Cloths.*—All but a marriage silk gown which belonged to the deceased being required for the daily use of the surviving members of the family, have been left with them. The gown is kept in the sealed box.

14. *Conveyances.*—There are four carts and one palanquin worth in all Rs. 160. The palanquin is in possession of the zemindar of Andiputty who has promised to send it back.

15. *Cattle, &c.*—The number of horses are two. One is an old animal which cannot fetch more than a few rupees, while the other, which is a young pony, is valued at Rs. 30. The heads of cattle, consisting of cows, buffaloes, bulls and calves, amount to 35 in number, besides 28 sheep and goats. The aggregate estimated value of all the above comes to Rs. 360. These and carriages are entered in list E.

16. *Grains.*—There was but very little paddy in the palace measuring six columns. This is necessarily required for the family consumption and has therefore been left with the widows. There was no other grain of any kind.

17. *Ready-money.*—There was no ready-money left by the deceased zemindar.

18. The debt due to the zemindar is due by one and one person alone, and that is the zemindar of Andiputty in Karúr taluk, Coimbatore district. The zemindar, Kumara Periathambi Naicker, is related to the deceased zemindar as brother-in-law, viz , the brother of the deceased's second wife, Muthurengammal, the mother of the minor Venkatrama Naick. The above debt, which, according to some communications in the record of the zemindari office came up in 1881 with interest to Rs. 6,000, appears to have been borrowed about the year 1869 from the deceased zemindar by Landa Bommi Naick and Balakrishna Naick, father and paternal uncle, respectively, of the above Kumara Periathambi Naick, who are both dead. The only legal documentary record evidencing the loan, which was being kept by the deceased zemindar, is a promissory note which is dated so far back as 29th November 1872 though private communications appear to have passed between the parties till 1881. The zemindari of Andiputty remains from 1881, and probably some time before that period, under Government management though the zemindar is now a major. The deceased, Kumara Kathiraya Naick of Mambarai, put in an application in the beginning of 1881 to the then Collector of Coimbatore requesting repayment to him of the above loan and it is now by the Andiputty zemindar that the repayment was refused by the Collector for want of a bond. The zemindar has stated in his deposition given before me that he possesses a good intention of returning the loan on his assuming the management of his estate notwithstanding that the claim is barred by limitation. I doubt, under the present circumstances, whether the above debt could now be legally realized or not.

19. The debts due by the deceased zemindar amount, according to the accounts and bonds, to Rs. 16,192 besides interest which comes approximately to Rs. 3,708, thus aggregating Rs. 19,900. The particulars with regard to each item of debt are given in detail in statement F. It is observed that compound interest has been charged in the case of the debt borrowed from one of the creditors named Syed Ahmed Ravuthen of Ediacottai who has in fact received in the shape of interest alone much more than the principal alleged to have been lent by him; and yet the deceased zemindar stands indebted to the above Ravuthen in a sum of more than Rs. 10,000, of which Rs. 3,289 have been borrowed on the security of the jewels as stated in paragraph 10 *supra.*

20. The debts contracted originally by the deceased zemindar do not appear to have been contracted for any justifiable purposes. The widows do not acknowledge that they were spent for the purposes stated by Syed Ahmed Ravuthen whose dealings with the zemindar show throughout that he has been making enormous profits therefrom at the ruin of the zemindar. Considering the amount of revenue which the zemindar had derived every year, there was no necessity for him to obtain loans from Syed Ahmed.

21. Some other miscellaneous items of debt will have to be rejected for want of proper deeds, &c.

22. The zemindari of Mambarai is situated on the north-eastern corner of this taluk. It is bounded on the south by Ediacottai zemindari and on the other three sides by the Dhárápuram and Karúr taluks of the Coimbatore district. It is surrounded on almost three sides by barren hillocks or rocks and on the south-west, ridges of loose sand have been formed by the strong westerly wind and a portion of the zemindari has consequently become unfit for cultivation. To prevent further damages, a small low jungle has been reared up by the deceased zemindar, and this jungle contains nothing more than ordinary shrubs and young trees, and it has to be properly maintained. The soil is in some parts rocky, and in others sandy and gravelly.

23. *Brief memoir.*—It is an ancient little estate held successively by the ancestors of the deceased zemindar. The Sannad-i-milkiat istimirar or deed of permanent sannad was granted to the deceased zemindar on the 30th April 1872.

24. The area of the zemin is 12 square miles. It consists of three villages named Athappenputty, Markamputty and Ellaputty. There is no village of the name of Mambarai. A statement (G) showing the names of the above villages and of the hamlets, is enclosed. In this are shown particulars as regards ayakut holding, inams, &c., of each village.

25. From the above, it will be seen that the revenue of the zemin according to fasli 1297 is Rs. 5,326 exclusive of service and personal inams and inclusive of rent payable on the zemindar's pannai lands and on those inams granted by the zemindar to their distant co-parceners and dependents subsequent to the permanent settlement. The rent on them is shown in the margin. The unauthorized inams referred to above are said by the widows to have been granted for the maintenance of the above relations, and they say that they may be continued. Deducting the above rent, the ayan beriz comes to Rs. 4,813, and as an extent of 1,478 acres, assessed at Rs. 1,322, lies fallow, there is prospect of increase of revenue.

	RS.
Pannai lands	367
Unauthorized inams	146
Total ..	513

45

26. A map showing the roads and communications is herein enclosed. In fact, there are no roads at all, and want of them is much felt.

27. As to buildings and miscellaneous works of public utility, there are none.

28. Irrigational works there are none, and the only tank is the private property of the zemindar, and, as such, should be kept up from the private resources of the zemindar.

29. The rent is payable in money only and not in kind. There are two classes of land, namely, dry and garden, and the assessment on each class is different. The dry assessment varies from 1 to 5 fanams per guli (of 59 cents.) and of garden from 10 fanams and upwards.

30. There are no thick forests in the zemin, except low jungle around the hillocks and about the south-west of the zemin, and they do not contain trees of any value. No system of conservancy is kept up nor needed. They do not produce any sort of revenue at present. The only revenue derived is from the lease of Avaram bark both in the hillocks and in waste, poramboke and zemin pannai lands. The lease of the above bark has been granted for five years to Syed Ahmed Ravuthen of Ediacottai for a lump sum of Rs. 500, and it expires in March 1889.

31. The widows of the deceased zemindar, as well as the zemindar of Andiputty, the maternal uncle of the minor Venkatrama Naick, request that the estate be taken under the management of the Court of Wards and suggest that the estate being too poor to maintain a separate manager, it must be managed by the tahsildar himself as is done in the case of Vadagarai estate in Periyakulam taluk. I think that this may be done and a clerk and some menial servants entertained similar to the above estate. The widows are willing to entrust to the management of the Court of Wards the zemin pannai lands, reserving to themselves the enjoyment of a garden, some punjah lands and pasture ground.

32. The widows suggest that the Andiputty zemindar may be appointed as guardian for Venkatrama Naick and Parasurama Naick and the zemindar is willing to be such. The sister of the eldest minor being motherless, the senior widow is willing to have charge of her, and the other two minors, Malla Bommi Nayudu and Velandi Ammal, may be entrusted to the care of the third widow, their mother.

33. *Education.*—With regard to the education of minors, I may say that Venkatrama Naick and Parasurama Naick are of school-going age, and they are now under the tuition of a Tamil-knowing teacher. It is the wish of the surviving widows that they should be put to school at Dindigul and educated. This proposal may be adopted.

34. *Allowance.*—The widows state that they do not require separate allowances, as they were living in union and wish to be so for the future. They require a joint allowance of not less than Rs. 40, and Rs. 50, they state, would be decent. The latter sum is quite needed, as the family consists of three adults and five minors. It exceeds the 10 per cent. of the jammah of the zemin by a small sum and the deficit may be amply compensated by the receipts from the zemin pannai lands.

35. *Present financial condition and prospect of the zemin.*—The beriz of the zemin, deducting the revenue from pannai and inams subsequent to the permanent settlement, amounts to Rs. 4,813 according to fasli 1297, and the beriz may be fairly estimated to yield about Rs. 5,000 with miscellaneous receipts from the lease of Avaram bark, tree-tax, &c. The pannai lands, if properly looked after, will yield an income of Rs. 500.

36. I have given below particulars of receipts and expenditure and the probable balance that may be left every year :—

Receipts.

	RS.
Beriz and miscellaneous	5,000
From pannai lands	500
Total ...	5,500

Expenditure.

	RS.
Peishcush	1,500
Land-cess (zemin)	170
Establishment and contingencies	430
Allowance to zemindar's family	600
Total ...	2,700
Balance ...	2,800

From this sum, the cost of the education of the minors has to be met with.

37. In case all the debts said to be due by the deceased zemindar are considered valid and binding on the minor, then the interest payable thereon will be Rs. 1,390, and, on paying this, there will be a surplus of more than Rs. 1,000 per annum in favor of the estate and the debts may be expected to be cleared off when the minor attains his age.

38. The jewels and silver ornaments, which have been pledged to Syed Ahmed and Shaik Mohomudmira Levvai and also cattle and sundry articles, may also be sold and the debts partly liquidated.

39. The arrears of rent due to the estate by the ryots are detailed below :—

	RS.	A.	P.
(*a*) For fasli 1294	746	10	1
(*b*) Do. 1295	25	0	0
(*c*) Do. 1296	923	5	2
(*d*) Do. 1297	1,949	4	2
Total ...	3,644	3	5

(*a*) In the case of arrears of fasli 1294, civil suits appear to have been filed and are pending.

(*b*) Steps have to be taken for the execution of the decree obtained by the zemindar for the arrears of fasli 1295.

(*c*) For the realization of the amount of fasli 1296, coercive measures have been adopted, except for a sum of Rs. 160 and odd, for which legal steps have hereafter to be taken.

(*d*) Legal measures have also to be adopted for the collection of arrears of fasli 1297.

40. If the above arrears are realized in full, as they will be, they and the sale-proceeds of jewels, &c., can be paid towards the debt which will be reduced by far, thus enabling the Court of Wards to liquidate the whole amount which may be settled as payable in the shortest possible time.

41. The zemindari records, with the exception of some which were taken out for purposes of this report, have been deposited in a sealed room in the palace.

42. The depositions taken from the widows, &c., and some other connected papers, are submitted herewith as per list.

Reference on the above, dated 12th October 1888.

It is stated in paragraph 7 of the tahsildar's report, accompanying the Collector's letter, that the minor zemindar has "a relative and three distant dayadis or co-parceners." The Collector is requested to state more clearly how these are related to the minor and to report whether the zemindari is impartible or partible, and, in the latter case, whether the relative and the dayadis are divided from the minor. If they are true co-parceners, *i.e.*, members of an undivided family, the interference of the Court of Wards would appear to be unnecessary, even if it were legal, as the minor's interests may be expected to be looked after by the adult members of the undivided family.

(Signed) H. A. SIM,
Acting Secretary.

To the Collector of Madura.

Read—also the following letter from E. TURNER, Esq., Collector of Madura, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, dated 13th November 1888, No. 473 :—

In reply to the Board's reference, dated 12th October 1888, on General Register No. 3299 of 1888, I have the honor to state that, from the statements recorded by the tahsildar, Muthuvelu Naick is the deceased zemindar's father's younger brother. He informed the tahsildar that he was a member of the zemindar's family eating at same table. This is, however, contradicted by the deceased's first widow, according to whose statement Muthuvelu Naick lives separately, enjoying some inam land. The second widow supports this statement.

2. The three dayadis are grandsons of the deceased zemindar's great grand-father's brothers and they live separately in Mambarai on the produce of certain inams granted to them by a late zemindar.

3. The zemindari is stated to be impartible. At any rate, the question has never been raised and the elder's son always succeeded to the exclusion of others. Following this practice, the eldest of the three sons has been recognized as the zemindar.

4. Under the circumstances, I think we are bound to take the estate under management.

Resolution—dated 1st December 1888, No. 990.

Submitted for the orders of Government.

2. One Kumara Kathiraya Naick, Zemindar of Mambarai, a small impartible estate in the Madura district, died in August last, leaving two widows, three minor sons and two daughters. The eldest of the sons, one Venkatrama Naick, is 8 years old. The Collector reports his age as 16, but this is apparently a mistake.

3. The annual income of the estate is estimated at Rs. 5,500. The debts due to the estate, inclusive of arrears of rent, amount to about Rs. 10,000. The value of jewels and other movable property belonging to the estate is about Rs. 6,600. The debts due by the estate are about Rs. 20,000, and the estimated annual expenditure, inclusive of charges on account of interest, comes to about Rs. 4,100.

4. The widows of the deceased zemindar and the maternal uncle of the eldest minor desire the interference of the Court of Wards.

5. In these circumstances, the Board request that the Court of Wards may be authorized to exercise superintendence over the person and property of the minor Zemindar, Venkatrama Naick.

6. Should Government decide to place the estate under the Court's management, the Board further request sanction under Chapter 2, Rule 6, note 2, of the Civil Account Code, Volume I, to the Collector's proposal that the tahsildar of the Palni taluk may be allowed to manage the estate with an allowance of Rs. 15 a month. A similar arrangement was sanctioned in G.O., dated 11th July 1882, No. 726.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) H. A. SIM,
Acting Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

Copy to the Collector of Madura.

Read—the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), dated 28th December 1888, Mis. No. 7571 :—

Read—the following letter from C. H. MOUNSEY, Esq., Sub-Collector of Madura, to the Collector of Madura, dated 10th December 1888, No. 610 :—

I have the honor to state that the first widow of the late zemindar of Mambarai reports that Chinnapa Rowther, who was hitherto lending money for the expenses of zemindar's family, now hesitates to pay as a large amount is already due to him by the estate and as no arrangements have yet been made for its repayment, and requests that some arrangements may be made for the payment of the money required for her family expenses. There are hardly any funds to the credit of the estate in the taluk treasury at Palni. I request, therefore, the favor of your instructions in the matter. I suppose the fund in the treasury to the credit of the estate can be used. Rs. 400 of it has been spent over the funeral ceremonies and Rs. 400 for the ear-boring ceremony; so there is very little left.

Endorsement by E. TURNER, Esq., Collector of Madura, dated 19th December 1888, No. 518.

Submitted to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, for information, with reference to the correspondence ending with this office letter, dated 13th November 1888, No. 473.

2. There is only a sum of Rs. 4-10-2 in deposit and it is requested that early orders may be passed on this office letter above quoted.

Resolution—dated 28th December 1888, Mis. No. 7571.

The early orders of Government will be requested on Board's Proceedings, dated 1st December 1888, No. 990.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) H. A. SIM,
Acting Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with letter, in original, to be returned.

Copy to the Collector of Madura.

Order—dated 15th January 1889, No. 18, Revenue.

Miscellaneous.

The recommendations in paragraphs 5 and 6 of the first of the papers read above are approved and sanctioned.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. A. GALTON,
Secretary to Government.

To the Commissioners of Land Revenue.
„ Accountant-General.

RESOLUTION—dated 4th February 1889, No. 312.

Communicated to the Collector of Madura, who will issue instructions to the Tahsildar of Palni to take charge of the estate.

2. The Collector's proposals in paragraph 4 of his letter, dated 22nd September 1888, No. 398, are sanctioned.

3. The proposals promised in paragraphs 7 and 8 are awaited.

4. The Collector's nomination of Periathambi Bommi Naik as guardian to the minors (his endorsement, dated 18th October 1888, No. 436) is approved and sanctioned.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) M. HAMMICK,
Secretary.

To the Collector of Madura.

Exd. W. J. Lewes.

47

1889.

Court of Wards,

MADRAS.

Printed February 15th.

Proceedings, 4th February 1889, No. 312.

Communicating to the Collector of Madura, with instructions, Government Order approving and sanctioning the recommendation of the Board of Revenue authorizing the Court of Wards to take the *Mambarai* zemindari under their management.

48

Proceedings of the Court of Wards.
Dated 24th May 1889.
No. 1259.

The Honorable J. Grose. M. A.

Read — Endorsement from the Collector of Madura, dated 12th April 1889 No. 41

Estimate for completing the repairs of the tank ... tank Rs 40-

Resolution

The Collector's action is approved & the supplemental estimate sanctioned -

(True Extract)

To The Collector of Madura, with estimate returned -

(Signed) M. Hammick
Secretary

No 1061/N.[illegible] X (True Copy)

Forwarded to the Dy Collector Madura Division, with reference to his letter No C 424 / 15. 3. 89

~~dated~~

x with file.

Hor Collector

KBJ

No 4/4 41
9-6-89

(True copy)

Communicated to the Overseer & the Manager Sapthu for information & guidance. The work should be completed at ~~an~~ a very early date.

Sd/
D. Collector
(OC)

10-6-89.
Madras

Proceedings of the Court of Wards.

Dated 4th June 1889. A9

No. 1305

The Honorable J. Grose M. A.

Read Endorsement from the Collector of Madura dated 12th April 1889 No 88.

Resolution

Recorded with reference to C.P. dated 14th March 1889 No. 682 sanctioning the entertainment of a 2nd lascar for the Overseer.

(True extract)

(Signed) M. Hammick
Secretary.

No 1937 (True copy)

Communicated to the Deputy Collector Madura Division for information and guidance with reference to his letter No 16 C.W of the 5th March 1889. The Court have sanctioned the entertainment of a 2nd lascar in C. P. No 682 of the 14th March 1889 as will be seen from this office No $\frac{50}{23\text{-}2\text{-}89}$ communicated to the Deputy Collector with this office No. $\frac{1674}{21\text{-}5\text{-}89}$

M. Srinivasa Rao
for Collector

Madura
5. 6. 89

50

16/6/89 Rd

Proceedings of the Court of Wards

Dated 4th June 1889.
No. 1307.
The Honorable J. Grose P.A.

Read endorsement from the Collector of Madura dated 3rd May 1889 No. 117.

Resolution

Sanctioned.

(True Extract)

(Signed) M. Hammick
Secretary

To The Collector of Madura.

(True Copy)

Dis 1936

Forwarded with copy of endorsement read within to the D. Collector, Madura Division, for information & guidance with reference to his letter C. Dis. No. 36 of the 24th April last.

Madura }
15-6-89 }

M. Srinivasa [illegible]
for Collector

Exd [illegible]

5|

PROCEEDINGS OF THE COURT OF WARDS.

THE HON. J. GROSE, M.A.

READ—the following letter from H. LE FANU, Esq., Collector of North Arcot, to the Secretary to the Court of Wards, dated Palmanér, 11th May 1889, Ref. on Genl. Ver. No. 1253 :—

Venkatapathi Naidu, Sheristadar of the Kangundi minor estate, has contracted debts and half of his salary has been attached and remitted to the District Munsif's Court every month. The Government have ruled that where half the salary of a Government official is constantly being attached for debt, or has been continuously under attachment for more than two years, he will be dealt with in the same way as if he had taken advantage of the insolvency court (*vide* Board's Proceedings, No. 80, dated 5th February 1889). This order refers only to Government servants; but I think it should be applicable also to the servants of the Court of Wards.

2. I have the honor to solicit the Court's instructions on the point.

RESOLUTION—dated 7th June 1889, No. 1345.

The rules in question will apply to servants in the employ of the Court of Wards.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) M. HAMMICK,
Secretary.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) C. CLARK,
Registrar.

To the Collector of North Arcot.
Copy to all other Collectors in charge of Wards' estates.

Exd. A. Aubert.

Gl No 168 / 9.7.89.

1239 / 8.7.89.

1889.

Court of Wards,

MADRAS.

Printed June 24th.

Proceedings, 7th June 1889, No. 1345.

Informing the Collector of North Arcot that the rules embodied in Board's Proceedings, dated 5th February 1889, No. 80, regarding insolvency of public servants, will apply to servants in the employ of the Court of Wards.

Communicated to the Collector Madura Division in charge of Sapthur Estate for information & guidance.

2 The Boards Standing Order No. 252 with the additions made to it by Board's Proceedings No 80 of the 5th February 1889 applies to servants of the Court of Wards.

[signature]

Pl Collector

(True copy)

Forwarded to the Manager Sapthur for information & guidance.

17.7.89

Madura

(R) Sd [signature]

No 60

From 52

The Collec

To

The Secret

Th

Sir,

I have the hono
for Court's sanction
Estimates together w
reports as revised by
Engineer, Madura D
amount of Rs 1525 for
the marginally noted
works in Saptur Est
allotments for the cur
having run short
~~not~~ being not available
cannot be carried ou
I solicit Court's San
the amount now, s
repairs may be put
at the beginning of it
fusli, provision being at
therefor in the next

(True Copy)

Colle
Dated

gs of the Co
ed 7th May 18
No 1113
tter from the
ted 21

tree estimates
thousand five h
are sanctione
(True Extract)
(Sd) M. K
Se

ly)
d with Copy of
the Proceedin
Collector, Ma
reference to h
d 17th March
Collector is req
the works are
the beginnin
fasli & Compl
I ha
(Sd) E possibl. O
re are
Sh

I Rs 580 ... Dam ...
II Rs 420 for repairing the weir of the head sluice Rs 420
III Rs 525 for constructing a surplus weir ... Rs 525
... to the supply channel at ... Rs 1525

53

Ex. Proceedings of the Court of Wards
Dated 18th June 1889.
No.- 1448.
The Hon. J. Grose. M.A.

Read endorsement from the Collector of Madura, dated 19th May 1889. No. 126; requesting sanction for the payment of Rs: 15-5-2. to the Mohanti and the grass-cutter from the Saptur Estate Funds on account of their arrears of pay.

Resolution:

Sanctioned - Rs. 15-5-2: (Fifteen, annas five and Pies two;)

(True Extract)

(Signed) M. Hammick
Secretary.

2196/5.7.89

(True Copy)

Communicated with copy of endorsement read above to the Deputy Collector Madura Division for information and guidance with reference to his letter dated 6th May 1889. No. 87. C.W:-

[signature]
for Collector

2161 1643 [illegible]

No 162
7/7/1889.

(True copy)

Forwarded to the manager of Estate for information and guidance with reference to his arzi No $\frac{128}{5-3-89}$.

[illegible]
Dy Collr

10-7-89

Proceedings of the Court of Wards

M.D
7. 7. 89
Communicated to the President, Labour Settlement

18th June 1889.

No. 1449.

The Hon. J. Grose M.A.

Read endorsement from the Collector of Madura, dated 22nd March 1889. No. 73, and also letter from the Collector of Madura, dated 31st May 1889. No. 139, requesting sanction of a contribution of Rs 50. a year, for the Scavengering establishment to be maintained at Sáptur. —

Resolution

Sanctioned Rs (Fifty) 50 ...

(True Extract)

(Signed) M. Hammick
Secretary.

2195 / 5. 7. 89. (True Copy)

Communicated to the Deputy Collector Madura Division with copy of letters read above for information

information and guidance
with reference to his letters No.
56. C.W / 5-3-89 and 95. C.W / 18-5-89 :—

No. 161 / 1889

[signature]

For Collector.

[initials]

[initials]

No. 161 / 1889 (True copy)
Forwarded
to the President of
Board Tirumangalam

[initials]
of. Dy. Collr.
8/7/89
Madura

Similar to
Manager of
Estates for
information.

Proceedings of the Court of Wards.
Dated 21st June 1889.
No 1483. 55

Read the following:-

Proceedings of the Madras Government Revenue Department

Read. Proceedings of the Court of Wards d/ 17th May 1889 No 1152.

Order thereon dated 4th June/89 No 3441

Sanctioned

(True extract)

(signed) C. A. Galton.
Secretary to Govt.

To the Court of Wards
with 2 encls
" " Accountant General.

Resolution

Communicated to the Collector of Madura.

(True copy & extract)

(signed) M. Hammick
Secretary

(True copy)

(True copy). Lr 130 dated 16.7.89

Communicated to the General
Duty Deputy Collector, Madura
Division.

H. C. Gair.
Dy Forest officer
Camp. Chinnamanur.

No 180
19.7.89

1889

Court of Wards.
Madras.

56

To
the Collector of
Madura.

Recorded
[illegible]
Collector
20.7.89
Madura

Proceedings of 24th June 89
No. 183.

Subject.
Communicating to the
Collector G. O. sanctioning
the transfer of Mr Hammond
Grade Forester Salem to
service in the Saptur
Estate under the Court
of Wards.

[illegible]
19 [illegible]

57

Proceedings of the Court of Wards Dated 25th June 1889 No. 1501.

The Hon. J. Grose M.A.

Read endorsement from the Collector of Madura dated 7th June 1889 No. 147 requesting to sanction the payment of Rs. 8-10-7 to the Toties of Kodicolum village in the Sáptúr Estate

---

Resolution

Sanctioned Rs. 8-10-7.

(True Extract)

(Signed) M. Hammick
Secretary.

2255/8.7.89. (True Copy)

Communicated to the Deputy Collector Madura Division for information and guidance with reference to his letter C.W. No. 100 of the 1st June 1889.

[signature]
Collector.

Exd. ...
W.B.L.

No 166
9/7/87

Endorsement on the
Manager Wazir has
been sent to him with
a copy of the Prof.

O.C. P.

Dz Leoler
11.7.87

58

Proceedings of the Court of Wards.

Dated 26th June 1889

No. 1522.

The Honorable J Grose M.A.

Read letters from the Collector of Madura dated 4th & 7th June 1889 Nos 142 & 145.

Resolution.

Road from Kodicalam to Koomapatti 1.
Do from Koomapatti to Koottiyapal 2
Vadagaraipatti road &c. 3

The estimates for Rupees 3075 are sanctioned.

The Collector should have stated briefly why the roads are considered necessary.

True Extract,
(Signed) M. Hammick
Secretary.

2283
10.7.89.

True Extract
Communicated to the Dy Collector Madura Division for information & guidance. The estimates are returned herewith. Omissions pointed out in para 3 of the Proceedings should be guarded against when similar applications are made from his Office in future.

[illegible]
Collector

No. 55
11.7.89

(True copy)

Communicated to the
Overseer Sapher for
information with the
return of the plans. p'd

17.7.89 (Sd) J. Rob...
Madras

59

Proceedings of the Court of Wards.

Dated 5th July 1889.

No 1566.

The Honorable J. Grose. M.A.

Read letter from the Collector of Madura dated 22nd June 1889, No 159 (requesting sanction for Rs 26-8-0 from the funds of the Saptur Estate being the estimated cost for making two locks for the use of the Estate).

Resolution

sanctioned Rs 26-8-0

(True Extract)

(Sd) M. Hammick
Secretary

2329
11.7.89

(True copy)

Communicated to the Deputy Collector, Madura Dn, for information.

[signature]
Collector

[initials]

Ent. Reg.

C W Ho 1/13/.

(True Copy)

Forwarded to the Manager of Saplus for information & guidance ...

[illegible] Deputy Seale.

13/7/89

[illegible]

C.N. [illegible] 13-7-89

PROCEEDINGS OF THE COURT OF WARDS.

The Full Board.

Resolution—dated 16th May 1889, No. 1151.

The Court of Wards resolve to submit to Government their report on the administration of the estates under their charge for fasli 1297 (ending June 1888).

2. *Number of estates.*—There were 48 estates under management at the beginning of the year, of which four, viz., Alangudicheri and Pápanad in Tanjore, Gandamanáyakanúr in Madura and Mankara in Malabar, were handed over to their respective proprietors on their attaining majority and the six marginally-noted estates were taken up during the year, so that there were fifty estates at the end of the year, or two more than at the beginning.

1. Kallikót and Atagadá in Ganjam.
2. Meduru in Kistna, but under the control of the Collector of Godávari.
3. Mailavaram } in Kistna.
4. Kapileswarapuram } in Kistna.
5. Pulicherla in North Arcot.
6. Marungápuri in Trichinopoly.

3. *Estates handed over.*—The subjoined statement shows in what condition the four estates referred to in the preceding paragraph were when they were made over to their proprietors as compared with their condition when they came under the management of the Court:—

Districts.	Estates.	Annual revenue. When the estate came under management. Current demand.	Annual revenue. When the estate came under management. Current collection.	Annual revenue. When the estate was restored. Current demand.	Annual revenue. When the estate was restored. Current collection.	Balance on hand. When the estate came under management.	Balance on hand. When the estate was restored.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
		RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Tanjore ..	Alangudicheri ..	11,121	3,516	5,138	4,820	84	147
	Papanad	15,692	6,304	19,375	13,872	(b) 1,380	(c) 5,532
Madura ..	Gandamanáyakanúr	36,368	29,920	57,126	53,059	7,579	2,25,588
Malabar ..	Mankara	4,928	3,443	4,513	2,500	..	19,340

Districts.	Estates.	Debts recovered during the period the estate was under management.	Debts paid off during the period.	Amount expended on irrigation works.	Amount expended on other permanent improvements.	Age of the proprietor. When the estate came under management.	Age of the proprietor. When the estate was restored.	Period for which the estate was under management.
		9	10	11	12	13	14	15
		RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	YRS. M.	YRS. M.	YRS. M.
Tanjore ..	Alangudicheri ..	(a) 19,544	6,799	55	608	14 11	21 0	6 1
	Papanad	7,871	31,481	108	361	15 7	21 0	5 5
Madura	Gandamanáyakanúr.	..	78,066	76,721	(d) 67,282	0 9	21 0	20 3
Malabar ..	Mankara	384	9,360	..	885	10 11	21 6	10 7

(a) Includes Rs. 5,224 remitted.
(b) Value of grain.
(c) Includes Rs. 5,421, value of grain on hand.
(d) Includes Rs. 13,824, value of lands purchased and Rs. 33,403, cost of demarcation and survey.

4. The Alangudicheri estate was under management for about six years; during this period debts to the extent of Rs. 19,544 were collected or written off, and debts to the extent of Rs. 6,799 were paid off. The current demand of this estate fell from Rs. 11,121 to Rs. 5,138 in the six years, but the current collections rose from Rs. 3,516 to Rs. 4,820. The probable cause for the decrease in the demand is that mentioned in paragraph 13 of Court of Wards' Proceedings, dated 16th July 1884, No. 2,435, viz.,

the inclusion in the demand, when the estate first came under management, of the value of a large quantity of grain on hand. The wards made considerable progress in their studies and are reported to have behaved well.

5. The Papanad estate was under the Court's management for five years and five months, during which period its annual income was more than doubled, debts to the extent of Rs. 31,481 were paid off, and debts amounting to Rs. 7,871 were recovered. The Court received the estate with a surplus balance in its treasury of Rs. 1,380 and handed it to the proprietor with a balance of Rs. 5,532 in cash and grain. The minor was fit for the third standard when the estate first came under management, and was studying the subjects prescribed for the Middle School examination when it was handed over to him.

6. The Gandamanáyakanúr estate was under management for more than twenty years. Its current demand rose during this period from Rs. 36,368 to Rs. 57,126, an increase of 57 per cent. The balance to the credit of the estate, when the estate first came under management, was Rs. 7,579 and when restored Rs. 2,25,588, after paying off debts amounting to Rs. 78,066, investing Rs. 13,824 in the purchase of lands and spending Rs. 76,721 on irrigation works, Rs. 33,403 on survey and demarcation and Rs. 20,055 on other permanent improvements. The minor, the Court regret to say, never made the progress in his studies that might have been expected of him. He was of late years brought up at the Christian College, Madras, and lived under the immediate supervision of the tutor engaged by the Court for his education and that of the Ramnad minors. He made a tour throughout the North of India and visited also Ceylon. He was a good rider and always showed considerable intelligence, but was never as industrious in regard to school work as his school-fellows, the Ramnad boys.

7. The Mankara estate remained ten years and seven months under the Court's management. There was no balance in favor of the estate when it was taken up; when it was restored, the balance on hand amounted to Rs. 19,340, after paying off debts amounting to Rs. 9,360 and spending Rs. 885 on permanent improvements to the estate. In this case both the current demand and the current collection fell, the latter very considerably. From the Collector's reports it appears that the demand on the estate was reduced owing to the original demand having been very excessive. The estate had no correct rent-roll, and during the time that the estate was under the Court's management a field register and correct rent-roll were prepared. The ward made fair progress in his studies and had reached the upper fourth class when his estate was handed over to him.

8. Meduru estate having been taken under management late in the year, no report was received from the Collector with regard to it. As in previous years, the Vadimittah estate in Tinnevelly remained leased out and therefore statements II-A to V and the corresponding portion of the report have been omitted with regard to it.

9. Statement No. I shows the names of the estates, the sex of the wards, their disabilities, the periods during which the estates have been under the Court's management, the age of the wards at the end of the year, and the dates on which such of them as are minors will attain their majority. Of the fifty wards, forty are minors, two among them being females; four are incapacitated by sex only and the remaining six, of whom two are females, are either imbecile or lunatic.

10. *Season.*—The season was generally favorable, except in a few estates in Ganjam, Tanjore and Madura. In Parlákimedi (Ganjam) the total rainfall registered was *only* 39·16 inches against 62·97 inches in the previous year, and in this estate and that of Jarada the crops were partially destroyed. In Ramnad (Madura) the season was in the beginning fairly good; but the failure of the north-east monsoon during the latter part of the year damaged the standing crops. In Mathur and Gandharvakóta (Tanjore) the crops suffered from the failure of rain in the early part of the year and owing to excessive rains later on.

11. *Number of villages.*—Statement II-A shows the number and tenure of the villages in proprietary estates, and the extent of holdings and cultivation in all the estates as compared with the preceding fasli. Thirty-two estates were held on fixed tenure and the rest consisted of ryotwari lands. The total number of villages in the permanently-settled estates was 5,395, of which 858 belong to estates not shown in the previous year's return. There were thus 4,537 villages in the remaining estates against 4,498 in the previous year. The increase is the result of an increase of 36 villages

in the Bodogodo estate and six in the Singavanam estate and a decrease of three villages in the Aska estate. The increase in Bodogodo is due to the inclusion of deserted villages which were omitted in the returns of previous years and that in the Singavanam estate to the inclusion of villages which had been leased out by the late proprietor and which reverted to the estate on the expiry of the term of the lease. The decrease in the Aska estate is due to a mistake in the previous year's return. Of the 5,395 villages above referred to, 1,367 were held on individual or joint pattas, 807 on money-rent, 331 on cowle or favorable assessment for a term of years, and 1,849 on favorable assessment or no assessment at all, and the remaining 1,041 on the system of sharing the produce. The changes in the tenures of the villages were chiefly from money-rent to ryotwar or joint-rent.

12. *Holdings.*—The total area of holdings in all the estates except Ramnad, in which the extent of holdings is not known, was 398,587 acres, of which 366,388 were cultivated. The Collector has given up entering the area of the holdings in the Ramnad estate as the only area known is that of cultivation and the figures for the holdings would be in consequence misleading. Deducting the area of holdings in estates not shown in the last year's return, the net area comes to 274,505 acres against 264,489, the figures for the same estates in the previous year. The principal variations are noted on the margin. The increase in Dhárákót, Bodogodo and Aska is merely nominal, being due to the transfer of rented villages to ryotwar or joint patta tenure. The increase in Kavalpara and the decrease in the Ramasethuswamiar's estate are due to the adoption of the correct extent as ascertained by actual measurement, the figures of the preceding year having been based on accounts which were inaccurate. The variation in the Shulagiri estate has not been explained.

—	Holdings.		Difference.
	Fasli 1296.	Fasli 1297.	
	ACS.	ACS.	ACS.
Dhárákót	6,508	9,801	+ 3,293
Bodogodo	1,876	3,270	+ 1,394
Aska	655	1,060	+ 405
Ramasethuswamiar's estate	8,391	7,975	— 416
Shulagiri	5,309	5,756	+ 447
Kavalpara	11,462	16,626	+ 5,164

13. *Cultivation.*—The total area under cultivation excluding Ramnad was 366, 388 acres or 91·9 per cent. of the holdings against 292,000 acres or 93·5 in the preceding year. Including Ramnad, the area cultivated was 687,231 acres against 600,771 acres in the preceding year. The percentage of cultivation to holdings is very low in the Chitticherla (59·6), Singavanam (50·6) and Neliyalam (33·9) estates; as regards the first two estates, the Collector of Tanjore reported last year that in Singavanam the holdings consist to a large extent of immemorial waste, and the same reason no doubt exists in Chitticherla. The Collector of the Nílgiris is requested to report to the Court the explanation for the very small proportion of cultivation to holdings in the Neliyalam estate. As compared with the previous year, there was a falling off in the proportion of cultivation to occupation in Jarada, Gandharvakóta, Mathur, Peramur, Metráthi and Kavalpara. The decrease in Metráthi is due to some of the lands being left waste for grazing purposes; and that in the other estates to the unfavorable character of the season.

14. *Statement II-B.*—The gross demand of the year amounted to Rs. 27,13,952, of which Rs. 85,516 were remitted, leaving a net demand of Rs. 26,28,436. The following abstract compares the gross demand, the remissions granted, and the net demand of those estates which were under the Court's management in faslis 1296 and 1297:—

Fasli.	Total gross demand.	Remissions.	Net demand.
	RS.	RS.	RS.
1296	20,80,067	63,032	20,17,035
1297	21,76,628	71,589	21,05,039
Excess ..	96,561	8,557	88,004

15. Remissions were chiefly granted in the marginally-noted estates; the bulk of the remissions in Ramnad consists of deductions on account of the emoluments of village servants. Those in Erumaipati consist mainly of cowle remissions. In Marungápuri the assessment of lands bought in on behalf of the estate in sales for arrears of rent is shown as "remissions." In Panaiyur the amount is made up of Rs. 336 erroneously included in the demand and of Rs. 748 remitted for waste and shavi. The remissions granted in the Kangundi estate relate to rent (erroneously included in the demand) of lands taken up for public purposes or in the zemindar's own occupation, &c. In Mailavaram the remissions consist of sums usually remitted in return for services rendered to the estate. In Shulagiri the amount includes cowle remissions and remission of the difference between wet and dry assessment on wet lands under damaged tanks. The remissions in the other estates have been granted for waste and loss of crops owing to excess or deficiency of water.

	RS.
Parlákimedi	2,439
Jarada	1,250
Kallikót	1,449
Mailavaram	1,678
Kapileswarapuram	1,727
Kangundi	1,489
Panaiyur	1,084
Marungápuri	7,082
Ramnad	57,633
Sáptúr	2,513
Erumaipati	3,418
Shulagiri	1,193

16. The net demand shows an increase of Rs. 88,004, which is the net result of an increase of Rs. 1,08,106 in seventeen estates and a decrease of Rs. 20,102 in twenty estates. The principal variations are explained below:—

—	Fasli 1296.	Fasli 1297.	Difference.	Explanation of increase or decrease.
	RS.	RS.	RS.	
Jarada	23,898	22,658	— 1,240	Decrease due to the large amount of remissions.
Urlám	45,963	44,713	— 1,250	Decrease due to fall in prices, the rent of wet lands being collected in paddy.
Dhárákót	80,665	85,412	+ 4,747	Increase due mainly to sale-proceeds of the paddy kept in stock.
Nadavapalli	12,480	14,292	+ 1,812	Increase due to extension of cultivation on account of the favorable character of the season.
Kangundi	75,950	74,294	— 1,656	Decrease due mainly to the grant of remissions necessitated by unfavorable season.
Ukkadai	25,794	17,820	— 7,974	Decrease due to low prices.
Vadapathimangalam ..	57,727	55,320	— 2,407	
Mathur	6,523	5,303	— 1,220	Decrease due to decrease in cultivation owing to unfavorable season.
Singavanam	8,921	12,277	+ 3,356	Increase due to the recovery, on the expiry of the lease, of six villages which had been leased out by the late proprietor, to increased outturn, to extension of cultivation and to the adoption—following the market—of a higher rate of price for grain received in payment of rent.
Nangavaram	18,438	19,832	+ 1,394	Increase due to increased rental derived from lands leased out for plantain cultivation.
Ramnad	8,04,778	8,84,121	+ 79,343	Increase due to increased outturn which was the result of the extension of cultivation and to proper supervision of harvest.
Sáptúr	38,571	48,753	+ 10,182	Increase due to increase in cultivation consequent on the favorable character of the season.
Puttamma's estate ..	5,630	10,079	+ 4,449	Increase due to the fact that in the previous year the estate was under management only for a few months.

17. The net demand was more than $8\frac{3}{4}$ lakhs in Ramnad and $3\frac{3}{4}$ in Parlákimedi. It was nearly $2\frac{1}{2}$ lakhs in Kallikót. It exceeded 1 lakh in Kapileswarapuram, $\frac{3}{4}$ lakh in Dhárákót, $\frac{1}{2}$ a lakh in Kangundi, Chinna Kimedi, Marungápuri and Vadapathimangalam, $\frac{1}{4}$ of a lakh in Urlám, Merangi, Gandharvakóta, Ramasethuswamiar's estate, Sáptúr and Kavalpara; and Rs. 10,000 in twenty other estates.

18. *Demand, Collection and Balance.*—Statement No. III shows the demand, collection and balance of the estates during the year. The difference between the figures entered in column 3 of this statement and the balance at the end of fasli 1296 as shown in the Court's last report in regard to Vadapathimangalam, Singavanam and Ramasethuswamiar's estate is due to the adoption of different values for the balance of the grain rent in the two years. In the Nadavapalle and Pattamma's estates the difference is due to an error in the previous year's statement. In the Metrothi estate, the difference is due to rounding of figures. The arrears at the beginning of the year amounted to Rs. 12,13,272, of which Rs. 3,83,484 were collected and Rs. 37,347 remitted, leaving a balance of Rs. 7,92,441 or 65·3 per cent. of the demand

against 44·9 per cent. in the previous year. In four estates the balance was practically *nil.* It was less than 25 per cent. in ten, more than 25 and less than 50 per cent. in nineteen and more than 50 per cent. in the fifteen estates noted on the margin. The bulk of the balance in Dhárákót and more than half the balance in Jarada are reported to be irrecoverable. In Kallikót, the greater portion of the arrears is irrecoverable; the estate having only recently been taken under management, the exact amount has not yet been ascertained. In Kapileswarapuram, the recovery of nearly the whole of the arrears is barred by the law of limitation and a small portion is involved in dispute. In Gandharvakóta, as reported in last year, the greater portion of the arrears is due from "Kallars," a troublesome class of people from whom collection is difficult. In Ramasethuswamiar's estate, the interest of the tenants in some of the lands held by them has been conveyed to the estate in satisfaction of a part of the arrears, which will have to be written off. The Marungápuri estate having been taken under management late in the year, there was not sufficient time left for the collection of the arrears. In the Neliyalam estate things were in confusion, and the manager, who was appointed late in the year, was not able to set them right before the close of the year, and had, therefore, no time to clear off the arrears. In the Sáptúr estate more than half the arrears is irrecoverable. In the other estates the necessary steps have been taken to clear off the balances as early as possible.

1. Kallikót	96·2
2. Neliyalam	93.5
3. Vadapathimangalam	85·1
4. Dhárákót	84·1
5. Gandharvakóta	83·6
6. Kapileswarapuram	78·1
7. Marungápuri	67·4
8. Kurla	64·9
9. Aska	63·2
10. Jarada	60·6
11. Kangundi	56·6
12. Mailavaram	55·8
13. Sáptúr	54·6
14. Puttamma's estate	53·1
15. Ramasethuswamiar's	52·1

19. The remarks made above have reference to the arrear balance as it stood at the end of the year. The subjoined table shows the percentage of the arrear balance to the arrear demand in some of the estates after deducting the collections made subsequent to the close of the year:—

—	Percentage to the demand		
	Of balance after deducting subsequent collections.	Of amount recommended for remission.	Of the balance.
Jarada	51·40	36·90	14·50
Dhárákót	83·81	72·40	11·41
Kurla	58·57	14·30	44·27
Aska	59·20	15·00	44·20
Kallikót	55·96	...	55·96
Sripuram	37·52	...	37·52
Chípurupalle	32·12	·2	32·10
Nadavapalli	28·49	4·1	24·39
Kapileswarapuram	78·20	..	78·20
Kangundi	55·38	7·2	48·18
Pulicherla	40·02	...	40·02
Panaiyur	28·32	...	28·32
Ukkadai	36·94	...	36·94
Gandharvakóta	83·42	...	83·42
Vadapathimangalam	85·12	...	85·12
Ramasethuswamiar's	50·99	...	50·99
Marungápuri	74·42	...	74·42
Ramnad	85·74	28·9	56·84
Neliyalam	67·80	...	67·80
Erumaipati	35·18	...	35·18
Shulagiri	45·48	1·0	44·48
Pattamma's estate	94·16	...	94·16
Kavalpara	31·95	...	31·95

It will be seen that the percentage is very heavy in almost all these estates. The Collectors concerned are requested to see that steps are taken to collect as much of the arrears as can be recovered without undue hardship to the ryots, and to recommend the remainder to be written off the accounts. In paragraph 6 of the Government Order on the Court's last report, it is suggested that arrears appertaining to the years prior to fasli 1286 might be written off at once. In the statements received from Collectors, no fasliwar particulars of arrears are furnished, except for Ramnad and Shulagiri. The Court are therefore unable to issue instructions on the subject. All Collectors in charge of Wards' estates are requested to scrutinize the arrear lists and to submit statements showing the arrears appertaining to years prior to fasli 1286 and their particulars under "irrecoverable," "doubtful" and "recoverable."

The total current collections amounted to Rs. 21,50,705 out of a demand of Rupees 26,28,453,* leaving a balance of Rs. 4,77,748 or 18·2 per cent. against 15·6 per cent. in fasli 1296. There was no balance in one estate; in 28 estates it was less than 25 per cent., in 5 others between 25 and 30 per cent., and it exceeded 30 per cent. in the 14 estates noted in the margin.† Nearly half the balance in Jarada is irrecoverable. The Collector will explain the cause of this in detail. In Timmajiammal's estate, the main portion of the balance has to be recovered from the former holder of the estate, who collected the rents in advance. In the Pulicherla estate, processes have been issued for the recovery of the arrears. In Panaiyur, the heavy balance is said to be due to the late distribution of the pattas. The Collector is requested to explain who was responsible for this. In Mathur, the greater portion of the balance has been either collected or written off subsequent to the fasli. The greater portion of the arrears in Tiruvalanjuli represents arrears due from the lessees of one village and the collection is delayed on account of litigation. If the result is favorable, steps will be taken to realize the outstandings at once. In Palayavanam, a portion has been since collected and the rest is in course of collection. In Neliyalam, the arrears are due to the confusion in which the estate was until recently. In other cases, measures have been adopted for the early collection or remission of the balances.

* *Note.*—The difference between this and that in paragraph 14 *supra* is due to the inclusion in statement No. III of the tasdik allowance paid by Government to Sarvothama Row's estate which is excluded from statement II-B.

†1. Palayavanam	95·4	8. Timmajiammal's estate.	49·0
2. Neliyalam	68 8	9. Ramasethuswamiar's ...	43·8
3. Singavanam	66.5	10. Bodogodo	39·1
4. Tyagasamudram ...	54·9	11. Jarada	36·4
5. Mathur	50·9	12. Panaiyur	30·9
6. Ukkadai	49·9	13. Kurla	30·8
7. Pulicherla	49·1	14. Tiruvalanjuli	30·3

Irrecoverable arrears.—Of the total balance (current and arrears) at the close of the year, viz., Rupees 12,70,189, Rs. 10,808‡ have since been ordered by the Court to be written off, and sanction is now accorded as requested by Collectors for writing off Rs. 1,01,827-13-9 reported to be irrecoverable as shown below:—

	RS.		RS.
‡Kurla	353	Nangavaram	176
Aska	205	Yelagiri	541
Morangi	782	Kavalpara	998
Mathur	1,258		
Ukkadai	5,573	Total	10,808
Tiruvalanjuli	866		
Peramur	56		

Estates.	Erroneous demand.	Barred by limitation.	Rent of land taken for public purposes.	Poverty, death or desertion.	Unfavorable season.
1	2	3	4	5	6
	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.
Jarada	..	..	..	* 8,998 0 8	..
Urlám	32 14 3	..	..	..	..
Dhárákót	15,621 3 10	4,973 9 11	273 9 9	650 0 10	..
Kurla	36 2 4	..	..	1,314 1 8	..
Aska	12 12 6	7 4 4	..	672 11 5	..
Chidikada	..	..	..	292 7 9	..
Chípurupalle	8 4 0	..	..	..	..
Nadavapalle	82 1 6	49 7 11	..	113 13 4	..
Kangundi	126 10 6	63 2 9	..	1,134 15 8	383 9 5
Ramnad	1,800 13 10	969 11 8	..	54,184 13 3	..
Yelagiri	..	582 15 1	..	..	..
Shulagiri	..	..	..	18 12 1	..
Total ..	17,720 14 9	6,646 3 8	273 9 9	67,379 12 8	383 9 5

Estates.	Rent of land washed by river, &c., or in the zemindar's occupation.	Rent disallowed by Court.	Rent paid to the zemindar.	Other causes.	Total.
	7	8	9	10	11
	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.
Jarada	..	..	..	..	8,998 0 8
Urlám	..	..	..	..	32 14 3
Dhárákót	27 11 6	..	556 4 11	1,100 9 10	23,203 2 7
Kurla	..	..	..	80 0 0	1,430 4 0
Aska	26 12 0	..	3 13 6	70 8 5	793 14 2
Chidikada	..	..	..	..	292 7 9
Chipurupalle	..	..	..	..	8 4 0
Nadavapalle	77 7 11	..	..	..	322 14 8
Kangundi	38 0 2	1,016 2 4	..	151 7 6	2,914 0 4
Ramnad	..	..	..	6,241 12 11	63,197 3 8
Yelagiri	..	..	..	..	582 15 1
Shulagiri	..	4 3 8	..	28 12 10	51 12 7
Total ..	168 15 7	1,020 6 0	560 2 5	7,673 3 6	1,01,827 13 9

	RS.
* Currrent	3,100
Arrears	5,898
Total ..	8,998

The amount shown under column 10 (other causes) against Dhárákót consists mainly of sums for which no particulars are given in the accounts or for which no pattas and muchilkas had been exchanged; that against Ramnad, of the value of damaged paddy and of the difference between the estimated and the actual value of the paddy sold; and those against Aska and Kurla, of sums the payment of which has been withheld by the defaulters owing to work done by them in the estate. The amount shown against Shulagiri represents the difference between the amount for which a certain village was leased by the late zemindar and the amount actually collected, the lease having been relinquished. In the Sáptúr estate, a further sum of Rs. 15,677-7-11 is recommended to be written off; but the particulars of the amount are not given. The Collector is requested to report the reasons for recommending such a large sum for remission.

Statement No. IV shows the financial transactions of the estates. In Kurla, the differences between the opening balance of fasli 1297 and the closing balance of fasli 1296 as given in the previous year's report is due to the omission to include in the previous report the value of the promissory notes left by the late proprietor, and in Erumaipati, to an error in the last year's statement. The difference between the closing balances in grain of fasli 1296 and the opening balance of fasli 1297 is due to the adoption of different commutation values for the two faslis. In some cases, the cash receipts shown in column 7 of the statement No. 4 are less than the collections shown in column 8 of statement No. III, because the latter includes certain items which are

not included in the former, *e.g.*, the estimated value of grain on hand, &c. The amounts shown under " other items " against Urlám, Dhárákót and Kallikót consist chiefly of deposits of renters, and that against Mádugula of the arrears of maintenance due to the senior rani from the Maharajah of Jeypore. In the estates in the Tanjore District, this item is mainly made up of deposits; and the sale-proceeds of lands are also included in the cases of the Singavanam and Ramasethuswamiar's estates. In Sáptúr, the amount is made up of sale-proceeds of trees, forest produce, &c., and of the deposits of renters. In Chidikada, it includes contribution collected for the repair of irrigation works, recoveries of over-payments and recoveries of advances; in Appikonda it includes rents of buildings and refunds; in Chitticherla, it is made up of Government pension and contribution for repair of irrigation works; and in Kangundi of interest on arrears of rent, sale-proceeds of ponies, forest revenue, recovery of law charges, fishery rent, refund, &c. The amount shown against Pulicherla includes sale-proceeds of cattle, and jewels, besides interest on arrears of rent, process fees, deposits, &c. In Panaiyur, the item consists mainly of sale-proceeds of grain. The particulars of the sums shown under " other items " against Kapileswarapuram, Mylavaram, Ramnad and Vadagarai have not been furnished by the Collectors concerned.

The total cash receipts of the year amounted to Rs. 32,00,512. Adding to this, the cash balance at the commencement of the year, the total available assets were Rs. 41,11,056, out of which Rs. 27,16,150 were expended and Rs. 4,29,009 invested in promissory notes of the nominal value of Rs. 4,18,000, leaving a cash balance of Rs. 9,65,897 at the end of the year. Adding to this the value of the grain on hand and of the Government securities, the balance to the credit of the estates was Rs. 50,70,534. The charges entered in column 23 of the statement include the following unusual items:—

Parlákimedi.

	RS.
Karnavali feast of the daughter of the young zemindar	3,000
Subscription to the Jubilee hospital	10,000
Survey maps	2,914
Repairs of temple house at Puri	5,000
Value of chandeliers for the palace	14,506
Charges for the purchase of promissory notes	3,200
Total ...	38,620

Chinna Kimedi.

Loan to ryots	345
Purchase of a carriage	1,524
Minor's trips	483
Jubilee hospital contribution	200
Charges for purchase of Government promissory notes	810
Total ...	3,362

Urlám.

Casuarina plantation	1,034
Jubilee hospital contribution	200
Pilgrimage of the minor	800
Total ...	2,034

Dhárákót.

Minor's trip	1,015
Jubilee hospital contribution	200
Repayment of deposits	505
Total ...	1,720

64

Bodogodo.

	RS.
Subscription to Berhampore Native College	100
Presents to Mahadevi's daughter	300
Marriage of do.	450
Amount paid to Mahadevi	455
Jubilee hospital contribution	200
Total ...	1,505

Merangi.

Law charges	4,144
Naming ceremony of the minor's third daughter	400
Total ...	4,544

Mádugula.

Law charges	5,681
Minor's pilgrimage	1,000
Harness, &c.	305
Utensils, &c.	206
Total ...	7,192

Chidikada.

Pilgrimage charges	2,028

Sripuram.

Law charges	3,656

Appikonda.

Lent to Pusurla family on mortgage of their lands	16,750
Minor's Upanayanam ceremony	1,500
Refunds	580
Law charges	1,550
Total ...	20,380

Nadavapalle.

Benares pilgrimage	200
Refunds	240
Law charges	1,364
Total ...	1,804

Kangundi.

Minor's Seemantham marriage	450
Medical charges for his wife's confinement	491
Funeral ceremonies of wife	800
Bullocks	300
Total ...	2,041

Gandharvakóta.

Refund of deposits	2,375
Law charges	3,769
Total ...	6,144

Ramnad.

Marriage of elder minor and arrears of allowance due to Muthu Dorasami Tevar and other charges	91,690

Yelagiri.

Marriage of Gouriammal	440

The total charges of the year are compared with those of fasli 1297 in the following abstract :—

Estates.	Fasli 1296.	Fasli 1297.	Remarks.
	RS.	RS.	
Parlákimedi	4,86,097	5,22,919	Increase due mainly to purchase of Government paper for Rs. 1,55,000.
Chinna Kimedi	75,992	86,388	Increase due mainly to purchase of Government paper for Rs. 17,100.
Jarada	22,208	25,435	Increase due mainly to payment of arrears of maintenance allowance, &c., and to larger outlay on public works.
Urlam	55,116	85,342	Increase due mainly to purchase of promissory notes for Rs. 38,000.
Dhárákót	1,05,442	77,154	Decrease due to absence of arrear payments as in the previous year.
Bodogodo	13,761	37,580	Increase due to payment of debts, larger outlay on public works and purchase of Government promissory notes for Rs. 10,000.
Kurla	13,368	26,558	Increase due mainly to purchase of promissory notes for Rs. 14,100.
Aska	13,432	41,877	Increase due mainly to purchase of promissory notes for Rs. 28,300.
Meranghi	51,319	54,003	Increase due mainly to purchase of promissory notes for Rs. 3,500.
Mádugula	11,801	15,777	Increase due mainly to larger expenditure under "Law charges."
Chidikada	13,504	62,115	Increase due mainly to purchase of promissory notes for Rs. 30,000, to increased outlay on public works, to payments of arrears and to charges incurred by the proprietrix for her pilgrimage.
Srípuram	23,771	18,640	Decrease due mainly to absence of certain extraordinary charges incurred in the previous year.
Chípurupalle	8,330	12,294	Increase due to repairs of buildings and payment of arrears of Government dues.
Appikondah	47,734	57,724	Increase due to payment of a large debt due to the Madras Bank and to a loan made to the Pasurla family and reduced purchases in Government paper.
Lakkavaram	15,129	22,038	Increase due to larger outlay on public works and to purchase of Government promissory notes for Rs. 3,500.
Naduvapalle	13,866	15,016	Increase due to payment of larger debts than in the previous year and of the arrears of the pay of the establishment.
Chitticherla	3,458	6,912	Increase due to purchase of promissory notes for Rs. 3,000 and to larger outlay on public works.
Kangundi	98,280	89,994	Decrease due to purchase of Government paper for a smaller sum than in the previous year.
Ukkadai	26,377	45,594	Increase due to repayment of deposits and debts and increased outlay on public works.
Gandharvakóta	72,963	70,266	Decrease due to absence of arrear payments on account of Government demand as in the previous fasli.
Vadapathimangalam	62,413	1,26,266	Increase due mainly to payment of a larger sum for arrears of Government dues, for adjustment of deposits and for advances to cultivators.
Mathur	10,287	8,437	Decrease due to absence of arrear payments on account of Government demand as in the previous fasli.
Singavanam	19,358	18,405	Decrease due to smaller sum expended for refund of deposits and law charges.
Tiruvalanjuli	9,280	7,511	Decrease due to absence of payment of arrears of Government demand.
Tyagasamudram	31,235	10,424	Decrease due to absence of such charges as arrear payments on account of Government demand.
Ramasetuswamiar's Estate ..	9,344	43,107	Increase due to payment of arrears and refund of deposits.
Peramur	10,527	17,687	Increase due to purchase of promissory notes for Rs. 8,500.
Nangavaram	19,120	18,789	Decrease due to absence of such charges as maramut expenditure.
Ramnad	8,72,541	8,86,447	Increase due to payment of a portion of the amount sanctioned for the marriage of the elder minor and of arrears of allowance due to one Muttu Dorasami Tevar.
Sáptúr	67,053	1,23,326	Increase due to purchase of promissory notes for Rs. 67,000.
Vadagarai	4,517	9,989	Increase due to purchase of promissory notes for Rs. 5,000.
Metrothi	7,974	4,771	Decrease due to absence of expenditure under "payment of debts" on which Rs. 3,636 were spent in the previous year.
Erumaipatti	16,168	14,472	Decrease due to smaller payments on account of Government assessment and Government commission.
Shulagiri	15,427	16,978	Increase due to large expenditure under "Law charges" and to purchase of lands.
Sarvothama Row's Estate ..	6,329	3,755	Decrease due to absence of such extraordinary charges as the minor's marriage expenses, expenses incurred by the minor's grand-mother for certain religious ceremonies and purchase of promissory notes which were incurred in the previous year.
Kavalpara	28,744	67,806	Increase due to purchase of promissory notes for Rs. 32,000, to the Netyar's pilgrimage expenses, to larger outlay on public works and to purchase of an elephant, a water-pump and a well-boring apparatus.
Bimbalan	4,701	483	Decrease due to absence of such charges as payment of debts and of a moiety of the sale-proceeds of trees in the forest belonging to a cousin of the ward which were incurred in the previous year.

Public Works.—Enclosure A to statement No. IV shows the amounts sanctioned for and expended on public works in the several estates. The total allotment was Rs. 3,53,276 or 11·2 of total charges, and the total expenditure Rs. 2,19,562 or 62·1 per cent. of the allotment compared with an expenditure of Rs. 1,51,833 in the previous year. The expenditure .. classified below:—

	Fasli 1296.	Fasli 1297.
	RS.	RS.
Irrigation works	1,02,925	1,60,463
Buildings	18,772	33,914
Communications	10,652	2,640
Total ...	1,32,349	1,97,017
Add cost of supervision	19,484	17,175
Total ..	1,51,833	2,14,192
Add expenditure in the Kapileswaram Estate for which no classification has been furnished ...	...	5,370
Total ...	1,51,833	2,19,562

The expenditure on public works (Rs. 2,19,562) amounted to 6·9 per cent. of the total expenditure of the estates. This is a small proportion, but it must be remembered that many of the estates are small and some of them heavily encumbered and that therefore they cannot afford to spend any very large sums of money on public works. Another difficulty has been to secure the necessary agency to execute the works. The results of the year compare, however, favorably with the results of the previous fasli, and the Court hope that during the current fasli the result will be still better. In all the estates in Ganjam and in the Lakkavaram, Ramnad and Erumaipatti estates the maintenance of public works has been entrusted to the Public Works Department; the table below shows the amount allotted for expenditure and that which was actually spent by that department inclusive of the allowance of 23 per cent. for establishment, &c.:—

Estates.	Allotment.	Expenditure.	Percentage of expenditure to allotment.
	RS.	RS.	
Parlákimedi	1,00,368	45,780	45·6
Chinna Kimedi	14,760	3,866	26·1
Jarada	2,460	1,663	67·6
Urlam	6,650	7,628	114·7
Dhárákót	2,460	1,419	57·6
Bodogodo	1,599	1,061	66·3
Chidikada	14,000	13,306	95·0
Lakkavaram	4,359	6,242	143·2
Ramnad	90,434	63,480	70·2
Erumaipatti	615	294	47·8

It will be seen that in Parlákimedi only 45·6 per cent. of the allotment was expended and that in Chinna Kimedi the percentage was still smaller. The expenditure by the Revenue Department in all these estates, except Ramnad, was satisfactory, about three fourths of the allotment having been utilized in Parlákimedi and Lakkavaram. In Ramnad there were no public works in charge of the Revenue Department.

The maintenance of public works in the Kangundi and Sáptúr estates is entrusted to the District Board's Engineer. Only 55·4 per cent. of the allotment was spent in Kangundi, and the short outlay was due to slack supervision on the part of the Engineer.

Debts due to the Estates.—Statement V-A shows the debts due to each estate. The difference in regard to some of the estates between the balance at the beginning of the fasli as shown in this statement and that at the end of the previous fasli as given in the report for that year is due to the adoption of different values for the grain

debts in the two years. The total debts due to the estates at the beginning of the year amounted to Rs. 7,39,974, and to this was added a sum of Rs. 2,70,584 consisting of interest and loans brought to account during the year, as well as of debts belonging to estates not shown in the previous year's report, thus making a total of Rs. 10,10,558. Of this, Rs. 1,87,464 were collected and Rs. 17,309 written off, leaving a balance of Rs. 8,05,785 distributed among thirty estates. Of this, Rs. 12,868 * have been ordered to be written off subsequently to the close of the fasli. The balance is heavy in the estates noted on the margin. In Appikonda the debts are payable by instalments and some of them have not yet become due. In Kapileswarapuram the decrees obtained for some of the debts are under execution and suits have to be filed for the balance as it becomes due. In Kangundi more than half the amount is due on usufructuary mortgage bonds; decrees have been obtained for Rs. 13,000; suits have been filed for Rs. 2,000 and will be filed for another Rs. 2,000. In Vadapathimangalam the debts are mainly due from Porakudis or tenants who have very little property, and they can only be recovered gradually. The balance in Tyagasamudram is very large and no satisfactory explanation is given. The Collector will report in detail what steps have been taken for the collection of the amount that is recoverable and submit proposals for writing off the irrecoverable debts. In Tiruvalanjuli the major portion of the balance is due from one individual, and the necessary steps have been taken for the recovery of the amount. As regards Puttamma's estate, the Collector promises to submit a separate report as soon as particulars are furnished by the manager. The report should be expedited. The following debts are reported to be irrecoverable for the reasons given below :—

	RS.
* Mathur	3,214
Ukkadai	9,654

	RS.
Appikonda	35,407
Kapileswarapuram	77,797
Kangundi	43,759
Vadapathimangalam	1,01,613
Tiruvalanjuli	51,913
Tyagasamudram	62,983
Neliyalam	44,418
Puttamma's	2,07,730

	RS.	A.	P.	
Singavanam	910	12	3	Barred by limitation.
Gandharvakóta ...	11	3	1	Poverty of the debtors.
Vadapathimangalam.	1,834	0	0	Amount due as per estate account and decreed to the estate by the Court of first instance, but disallowed by the Appellate Court.
	384	0	0	Amount advanced to a lessee who is said to be a pauper and whose lease was subsequently cancelled, the lands having been brought under Amani.
Total ...	2,218	0	0	

The amounts may be written off the accounts.

Debts due by Estates.—Statement V-B shows the liabilities of the estates under management. At the beginning of the year they amounted to Rs. 9,41,549; the interest which accrued on this amount during the year together with the debts contracted or newly brought to account and those relating to estates not shown in the last year's report amounted to Rs. 5,36,786, thus making a total of Rs. 14,78,335. Out of this amount Rs. 4,08,455 were paid off, and Rs. 2,750 struck off the accounts in the Pulicherla estate, the repayment thereof having become barred by foreclosure of mortgage; thus leaving a balance of Rs. 10,67,130 at the end of the year, due by 21 estates. The amount due by the Madugula estate represents mainly the debts contracted by the senior Rani before the minor was taken under the protection of the Court of Wards. No funds were available for the payment of the debts due by the Pulicherla estate, and the greater portion of the Palayavanam estate has been attached by the Civil Court in satisfaction of decrees. The debts due by the Pannaiyur estate to the several individuals will soon be cleared off, with the exception of two large sums due to Mr. G. Mahadeva Chetti, who has advanced sums on promissory notes for the liquidation of the other claims. The Collector of Tanjore requests sanction to write

off a sum of Rs. 105 being the interest on the amount already ordered to be struck off in the Gandharvakóta estate on the ground of the claim having become barred by limitation. The amount may be written off as recommended. Of the remainder, the payment of Rs. 1,02,938 may be deferred for the present, the creditors being in enjoyment of the estate villages in satisfaction of interest, but there are pressing claims for Rs. 1,62,001 which should be paid off as funds accrue. In Ramasetuswamiar's estate the Collector is negotiating with the creditors to remit a portion of the balance in favor of the estate, and as soon as they come to an understanding the debts will be paid off out of the value of the lands sold for the purpose. Arrangements are being made to clear off the debts of the Palayavanam estate by disposing of three of the estate villages, there being no funds otherwise available for the purpose. In the Nangavaram estate the whole amount is found to be either not due or barred by limitation. The amount will therefore be struck off the accounts. The debts due by the Marungapuri estate were definitely ascertained only lately and the Collector promises to pay off about Rs. 40,000 in the current year. It is satisfactory to note that the Government loan due by the Ramnad estate has been paid off completely with interest and the estate rendered perfectly solvent, chiefly by the exertions of the present Deputy Collector and Manager Mr. Raja Rama Row. In 1876 the Government advanced Rs. 12,72,830 and a further sum of Rs. 1,50,000 in 1878, making a total of Rs. 14,22,830. Including interest the sum of Rs. 23,29,892 has been paid to Government, and when the minor takes charge a balance of not less than 3 lakhs will probably be handed over to him. The amount due by the Erumaipatti estate represents the balance of the amount borrowed under the Land Improvement Loans Act and will be paid off shortly. The debt due by the Shulagiri estate is the balance of the loan taken from Government. The major portion of the balance due by the Yelagiri estate has been paid off subsequent to the close of the year. The debt outstanding against Puttamma's estate is due to one Babu Row, and the Collector promises to pay it off in the current year out of the surplus balance to the credit of the estate.

The subjoined statement shows the demand, collection and balance of the religious and charitable institutions attached to the Peramur, Ramnad and Kavalpara estates:—

District.	Estate.	Endowment.	DEMAND.			COLLECTIONS.			BALANCE.			Percentage of balance to demand.
			Arrears.	Current.	Total.	Arrears.	Current.	Total.	Arrears.	Current.	Total.	
			RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Trichinopoly.	Peramur ..	Musiri chattram ..	274	413	687	..	..	‖ 434	..	..	253	48·1
	Marungapuri ..	Devastanam ..	1,719	10,349	12,068	429	5,830	6,259	1,290	4,519	5,809	48·1
	Do. ..	Uranis ..	196	616	812	28	236	264	168	380	548	67·5
Madura.	Ramnad ..	Chattram ..	26,794	41,337	68,131	†12,213	30,024	42,237	14,581	11,313	25,894	38·0
	Do. ..	Devastanam ..	54,344	1,38,041	1,92,385	‡24,683	1,13,413	1,38,096	29,661	24,628	54,289	28·2
	Do. ..	Dharmamagimai ..	17,200	19,955	37,155	§ 8,602	11,529	20,131	8,598	8,426	17,024	45·8
	Sáptur ..	Chattram ..	..	437	437	..	437	437	..	..	..	..
	Do. ..	Devastanam ..	1,081	1,818	2,899	205	1,507	1,712	876	311	1,187	40·9
Malabar.	Kavalpara ..	Tiruchingód Devastanam.	*2,318	1,026	3,344	236	633	869	2,082	393	2,475	74·0

* The figure shown in the report for the previous fasli is erroneous.
† Includes Rs. 271 remitted.
‡ Do. ,, 840 do.
§ Do. ,, 454 do.
‖ Do. ,, 141 do.

The high percentage of the balance in Marungapuri is due to want of sufficient time to examine the accounts and to put things in order, the estate having been taken up late in the year. The large balance in the Ramnad chattram, devastanam and dharmamagimai is due to delays and difficulties in the collection of decreed debts from defaulters, who conceal their property or resort to litigation to evade payment. Part of the balance in Sáptur devastanam has been since ordered to be written off as irrecoverable, and steps have been taken to collect the recoverable

portion. The arrears in Tiruchengód Devastanam remain uncollected owing to disputes regarding the title of the estate to the management of the trust property. Of the balances shown against the Ramnad devastanam, chattram and dharmamagimai and the Sáptúr devastanam, the following sums are reported to be irrecoverable :—

Item.	RAMNAD.			SAPTUR.
	Devastanam.	Chattram.	Dharmamagimai.	Devastanam.
	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.
Value of damaged paddy in store ..	524 10 3	122 6 10	102 6 2	..
Barred by limitation	477 13 1	700 12 3	14 6 7	..
Erroneous demand	216 2 1	56 9 0	127 11 4	76 0 0
Due from persons who have become paupers or are dead	..	1,897 5 3	..	48 0 0
Irrecoverable for want of documents and the non-exchange of putta and muchilika	..	..	..	92 0 0
Difference between estimated value of paddy and the amount actually realised	..	..	2,817 7 9	..
Total ..	1,218 9 5	2,777 1 4	3,061 15 10	216 0 0

Sanction is accorded for writing the above sums off the accounts.

The financial transactions of the above endowments are shown below :—

Districts.	Estates.	Endowments.	Opening balance.	Receipts.	Total.	Charges.	Closing balance.
			RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Trichinopoly	Peramur	Musiri chattram ..	374	293	667	493	174
	Marungapuri ..	Devastanam	..	4,574	4,574	1,644	2,930
		Uranis	..	227	227	130	97
Madura ..	Ramnad	Devastanams	2,53,318	1,51,279	4,04,597	1,37,455	2,67,142
		Chattrams	58,040	43,377	1,01,417	62,990	38,427
		Dharmamagimai ..	7,333	19,701	27,034	21,680	5,354
	Sáptúr	Chattram	..	257	257	257	..
		Devastanam	1,611	2,924	4,535	2,161	2,374
Malabar ..	Kavalpara ..	Tiruchengód devasam	* 102	926	1,028	958	70

* Exclusive of value of grain Rs. 135.

The transactions of the Devadi choultry, which, as usual, have been incorporated with the accounts of the Urlam estate to which it is attached, are shown on the margin.

	RS.
Opening balance	10,664
Receipts	3,011
Total ...	13,675
Charges	1,705
Closing balance	† 11,970

† Besides 31 and odd garces of paddy.

Education of the Wards.—Statement No. VI shows the educational progress made by the wards and their relations during the year under report. They were 61 in number, of whom 58 were male and 3 female wards. The eldest son of the Parlákimedi Zemindar was 25 years of age and continued to manage the nineteen villages entrusted to him as in former years. He is well-spoken of by Mr. Taylor. The second and third sons of the Parlákimedi Zemindar and the minors of Chinna Kimedi, Jarada, Dhárákót and Kallikót were under the tuition of Mr. Taylor. The two former are reported to have made good progress both in their studies and in physical exercise. The Chinna Kimedi minor is reported to be very backward for his age and for the attention he has received. He is a delicate lad and incapable of making much progress, though his general behaviour is satisfactory. The Jarada minor is reported to be lazy, but he has made fair progress in his studies. The Dhárákót minor has been but a short time under instruction and is favorably reported on by Mr. Taylor. The Merangi and Mádugula minors were for a portion of the year under the tuition of Mr. Baird who left the service of the Court in April 1888, but they made very little progress.

The conduct of the Merangi minor is reported to have been very unsatisfactory. Both the boys have since been released from wardship. The minor proprietor of Mathur passed the Matriculation examination and joined the junior F.A. class in the Kumbakónam College and is well-spoken of by the Principal. The Ramnad minors were, as in last year, under the charge of Mr. Creighton in Madras and continued their studies in the Christian College. The elder minor was studying in the B.A. class and the younger in the F.A. class. The former has made good progress in music and the latter in drawing, in addition to their regular scholastic work. They have both shown diligence and made creditable progress. The two Kangundi minors were also placed under Mr. Creighton's supervision in Madras. They are commencing their education and are reported to be well-behaved and diligent.

The wards of the estates noted on the margin were placed under the supervision of native private tutors, and of these the eldest minor of Ramasetuswamiar's estate was taking religious instruction to qualify him for his office as the hereditary priest of the Tanjore Royal Family. The eldest boy of Tyagasamudram was studying Sanscrit. The minor of Metráthi was under the instruction of a matriculate, who is also the guardian. The elder minor of Vadapathimangalam was studying in the Matriculation class of the Local Fund High School, Tiruválúr. Of the remaining minors, fourteen boys were reading in middle school classes and the rest in primary classes. Of these, the minors of Ukkadai, Gandharvakóta and Nadavapalli were very backward and their attendance was irregular. Arrangements have been made to ensure better results. The progress made by the other minors was on the whole satisfactory.

Estates.	No. of wards.
Pulicherla	1
Kurla	1
Nadavapalli	1
Mailavaram	2
Tyagasamudram	1
Ramasetuswamiar	1
Metráthi	1
Sáptúr	1
Vadimittah	1
Nangavaram	1
Palayavanam	1

Of the three female wards, the proprietrix of Asha was instructed by a private tutor paid by herself out of her own allowance; the Urlam ward had no governess during the year. Timmajiammal of North Arcot was receiving rudimentary instruction in Telugu under a native private tutor; the Collector speaks well of her natural gifts.

Law Suits.—Under G.O., dated 2nd December 1887, No. 1123, the eldest son of the Zemindar of Parlákimedi was advised to obtain a deed of transfer from his father, relinquishing all his rights in favor of his son. He obtained the deed and sued the Court of Wards for the possession of the estate in the District Court of Ganjam which decided in his favor. At the wish of the Government the Court have filed an appeal against the District Court's judgment. The suit relating to the Merangi estate was under appeal before the Privy Council during the year. It has not yet been decided. The suit regarding the adoption of the Mádugula minor was decided against him by the Privy Council and he has ceased to be a zemindar and ward of the Court. The appeal filed by Lingam Lakshmaji in the High Court against the same estate has been decided against him. Several summary suits filed by the late proprietor of the Kapileswarapuram estate before it was taken under the Court's management had to be withdrawn as unsustainable. The zemindari of Nidadavól, to which the minor proprietor of Medur estate is entitled by the adoption of his father by the widow of a former zemindar, is now in the possession of the widow; a summary suit under Act XIX of 1841 has been filed in the District Court of Godávari on behalf of the minor to recover possession and is still pending. Another suit has also been filed by Venkatadri Appa Row, a brother of the widow's late husband, in the Sub-Court, Ellore, to set aside the adoption of the minor's father and this suit is also pending. The appeal preferred by the adverse claimant of Timmajiammal's estate has been decided in favor of the estate. In the Ukkadai estate one important suit was filed for recovery of arrears of rent, but it was not disposed of before the close of the year. In Tiruvalanjuli two suits filed against the estate for claims which were not considered

to be genuine were pending judgment. A suit was filed for a fourth share of the Vadapathimangalam estate by one Suppa Mudali, who claimed to be an undivided member of the family; the Sub-Court found that he was undivided and decreed in his favor; an appeal has been preferred to the High Court. The appeal preferred in the High Court by the third widow of the late Zemindar of Ramnad for the recovery of certain villages from the estate was pending at the close of the year. The daughter by the second widow of the late zemindar has also appealed to the High Court for certain houses and villages, her case in the lower court having been decided against her. Another suit brought by one Veerasami Naick for the recovery of one village has been decided against the estate, but an appeal has been preferred to the High Court and is still pending. Two other suits have been filed by the estate for the recovery of sums due to Kolanda Nachiyar and are pending. Another suit has recently been filed in the Sub-Court, Madura, by one Kadambavana Sundarasami Tevar, who claims the whole of the Ramnad estate under an alleged adoption of his father by a former zemindarni. The necessary steps are being taken to conduct the defence on behalf of the estate. In Sáptúr a claim for Rs. 10,000 was brought by a Chetti, but was dismissed by the Sub-Court on the ground that the pro-note sued on was not genuine. In one village in the Yelagiri estate, the occupancy right which belonged to the zemindar was denied by the ryots and the District Court having decided adversely, the claim of the estate has been given up. The suit for the recovery of the estate belonging to Puttamma from persons claiming under the will of the last manager of the family was under appeal before the High Court, and proceedings in connection with two other suits filed by the Court for the recovery of her house and her person were ordered to be stayed pending the disposal of the above appeal. Three suits were filed for the recovery of debts due to the same estate, but they were not disposed of before the close of the year. One important suit relating to the management of the Tiruchengód devasam attached to the Kavalpara estate was decided against the estate. Some of the Collectors have omitted to report on the subject of suits though expressly desired to do so in Court's Proceedings, dated 4th June 1888, No. 1130; while others have furnished very meagre information and have not quoted the correspondence relating to the several suits referred to. The attention of all Collectors will again be called to this subject.

Condition of Ryots.—On this subject the Court called for special reports from Collectors in their Proceedings, dated 4th June 1888, No. 1131; such of the reports as have been received have been submitted to Government as received. In Ganjam and in the northern districts generally the condition of the ryots depends to a great extent on the character of the zemindar under whom they hold their land; this is not so much the case in the southern districts where the tenants are more litigious and contest any attempts to deprive them of any of their legal rights. In the Parlákimedi estate the tenants are reported to be generally in good circumstances the rates of assessment are low, being Rs. 1-4-0 for dry and Rs. 4-5-1 for wet land per acre. The rents are collected without difficulty in good years. In Jarada estate the condition of the ryots is not so good, and in Kallikót estate they are reported to be poor compared with the ryots in the adjacent Government taluks.

Godávari District—Meduru estate.—The rates of rent are not exorbitant and the arrears outstanding are not due to over-assessment but to disputes regarding payment of the water-tax on dry lands converted into wet.

Kistna.—The rates of rent in Kapileswaram are excessive, the landlords' share being in some cases half the gross produce. Arrears of rent are due from almost every ryot and are never remitted, but are allowed to accumulate for years with interest. Rents are enhanced when lands are improved at the cost of tenants. The rates for garden land amount to as much as Rs. 29-10-7 per acre. Now the estate is under the Court's management, the former charges, which were imposed for the use of the Kistna water, have been reduced and in all cases relief is given as far as is compatible with the interests of the estate. The periodical revision of rent once in five years which is said to have always taken place will cease. The tenants are reported to have no security of tenure. In the Mailavaram estate, the condition of the ryots does not differ from that of Government ryots.

Cuddapah—Chitticherla.—Rents are reasonable, if indeed they be not too low. There are no arrears and no complaints of over-assessment.

North Arcot.—The assessment is moderate in most cases. Where rates are high they are made up for by the fertility of the soil and the facilities for irrigation. In many cases too, the actual extent of the holdings is greater than the recorded extent owing to want of a regular survey. There have been no complaints of over-assessment and no arrears of rent to be written off.

Madura District.—As regards the Ramnad estate in this district, the manager has furnished some interesting information. The payment of dues in kind has been an obstacle to improving the condition of the ryots. It involves the necessity of the ryots obtaining permission to cut their crops before the harvest can be begun, and the manager reports that this used to mean in some cases a journey of as much as thirty miles for the tenant. This has been altered by the division of taluks into much smaller circles than used to exist. The incidence of the rent is on the whole light. Agricultural wages have risen very much in the last 25 years. The general condition of the ryots, though not so good as that of the ryots in the neighbouring Government lands, is probably as good as that of other ryots in zemindari estates in the south. The sharing system which prevails in the estate is a disadvantage and the introduction of fixed money assessments is to be desired.

Sáptúr estate.—The rent is reported to be moderate, being generally less than $\frac{1}{4}$th of the outturn.

Tinnevelly District—Vadimitta estate.—The rates of rent for lands cultivated with special crops, such as sugar-cane, &c., are very high. If they are reduced, cultivation will increase. The estate is in the hands of a lessee, and nothing can be done at present.

Coimbatore District—Metráthi estate.—The rates of rent are apparently not excessive as there are no arrears.

Nilgiris—Neliyalam estate.—The rates of janmabhogam are reasonable in all cases and less than Government rates.

Salem District.—The rates of rent are in some cases slightly higher than the assessment on the adjoining Government lands, but they are by no means unduly high and are easily collected. The estate lands are moreover more fertile than the adjoining Government lands.

South Canara.—There is no reason to suppose that the rents are exorbitant.

Malabar—Kavalpara.—The tenants are either (1) kanamdars having mortgage rights for a stated period, usually 12 years, or (2) tenants-at-will holding from year to year. As regards the former the special legislation recently undertaken by the Government is the only means of affording relief and as regards the latter the Collector is doing all that is possible towards reducing the rents whenever they are found to be excessive.

General Remarks.—The settlement of the Parlákimedi estate is still under consideration. The Court have now before them further proposals from the Collector on the subject upon which they hope soon to pass orders. The matter is one of considerable difficulty owing to the peculiar tenures upon which the lands in the estate are generally held. The Collector of Kistna recommended the survey of the Mailavaram and Kapileswarapuram estates and Government having sanctioned it, necessary arrangements are being made to carry it out. The new village manual accounts were introduced into these two zemindaries for facilitating the jamabandi. In the Kapileswarapuram estate there are several disputes regarding the boundary of the estate villages between the estate and the mukkasadars and agraharamdars of the other estates not under the Court which surround them. The want of proper roads in the estate is much felt. The Collector is requested to give his attention to these matters. The karnams in these estates give considerable trouble and do not furnish the necessary accounts, taking advantage of the immunity from punishment which the Regulation gives them. The forests of the Kangundi estate continued to be under the District Forest-officer, who, however, is unable to give sufficient time and attention to their improvement

and is anxious to be relieved of the responsibility. The matter is now under consideration. The formation of fuel reserves in the Ramnad estate was continued and a large extent was planted up during the year. They are expected to become a valuable property and to be a source of considerable revenue to the estate. The manager deserves credit for his action in the matter. The forests of Sáptúr are also under the control of the Forest Department, and the Deputy Collector reports the existence of complaints against the forest subordinates; the Collector is requested to report on the suggestion that the ranger should be placed under the immediate supervision of the manager, subject to the general control of the District Forest-officer. In the Kapileswarapuram, Panaiyur and Yelagiri estates, the forests were leased on the old system during the year, but in the last-mentioned estate the Government system of working the forests has since been introduced. The result of the measuring and registering of the lands belonging to the Kavalpara estate has been very favorable as will be seen from the following particulars. There were no accounts or registers to show the names and measurements of the estate lands when the work was commenced. Separate sets of accounts showing particulars of (1) janmam lands, (2) lands held on kanom tenure, (3) lands of both kinds involved in dispute and from which no rent is now levied, (4) tenants holding under the estate on kanom and other tenures involving pecuniary interests, (5) lands held on simple lease, (6) lands held directly by the estate, and (7) the rent-roll or demand of the estate have now been prepared in printed forms and bound in 25 volumes. The rates of rent recorded are comparatively moderate, and the whole work has been well and carefully performed under the supervision of the tahsildars of Pálghat and Wálawanád taluks at a total cost of Rs. 15,208-11-0. The Court have no doubt that these registers form a valuable and important record of the statistics connected with this estate.

Inam Alienations.—The report on this subject called for in G.O., dated 29th May 1888, No. 3094, will be submitted on the receipt of information due from the Collector of Ganjam, who has been reminded about it. The report on the inams in the Gandharvakóta estate was disposed of in Court's Proceedings, No. 1215, dated 11th June 1888, and the Government have ordered that the cases in this estate should be included in the revised statement called for in the above Government order. The alienations in the Panaiyur estate were disposed of subsequent to the close of the fasli; and a copy of the Court's Proceedings * is enclosed. This estate will also be included in the revised statement to be submitted.

* Dated 25th September 1888, No. 2202.

Conclusion.—The Court regret the delay of sixteen days which has occurred in the submission of this report. It is due to the non-receipt of the district reports in time, the last report, which was that of the Neliyalam estate, having been received only on the 28th March last. All Collectors in charge of wards' estates are again requested to see that their future reports are submitted punctually without fail.

(A true Extract.)

(Signed) M. HAMMICK,
Secretary.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) C. CLARK,
Registrar.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with maps, a file of reports, and a copy of
Court's Proceedings, dated 25th September 1888, No. 2202.
„ all Collectors in charge of wards' estates with maps.

Exd. A. D. Xavier.

APPENDIX.

No. I.—STATEMENT showing the ages, &c., of the wards and the period during which the estates have been under management for Fasli 1297 (1887-88).

Districts.	Estates.	Government Orders by which the estates came under the management of the Court of Wards.	Sexes of the wards.	Disabilities.	The period during which the estates have been under management.		Ages of the wards at the end of the fasli.			Dates on which the wards will attain majority.
1	2	3	4	5	6		7			8
					YRS.	M.	YRS.	M.	D.	
Ganjam	Parlákimedi	No. 1279, 22nd June 1830	Male	Imbecility	57	11	58	0	0	
	Chinna Kimedi	,, 2188, 27th December 1876	Do.	Minority	11	6	19	0	6	June 1890.
	Jarada	,, 2454, 19th July 1880	Do.	Do.	7	11	17	1	26	May 1892.
	Urlám	,, 740, 27th March 1879	Female	Do.	9	3	19	5	17	January 1890.
	Dhárákót	,, 149, 6th February 1885	Male	Do.	3	5	12	8	23	October 1896.
	Bodogodo	,, 1026, 11th September 1885	Do.	Do.	2	9	3	3	16	15th March 1906.
	Kurla	,, 610, 22nd July 1886	Do.	Do.	1	11	17	7	17	13th November 1891.
	Aska	,, 782, 6th September 1886	Female	Sex	1	10	25	0	0	
	Kallikót and Atagadá	,, 1035, 4th November 1887	Male	Minority	0	8	17	0	0	June 1892.
Vizagapatam	Merangi *	,, 3042, 25th November 1869	Do.	Do.	18	7	20	4	28	1st February 1889.
	Mádugula *	,, 3987, 9th October 1877 ,, 754, 20th June 1885	Do.	Do.	10	9	20	0	0	1889.
	Chidikada	,, 2930 25th September 1877	Female	Sex	10	9	48	6	28	
	Sripuram	,, 1449, 5th ,, 1878	Male	Minority	9	10	13	10	5	26th August 1895.
	Chipurupalle	,, 510, 13th May 1882	Female	Sex	6	3	50	0	0	
	Appikonda	,, 337, 12th ,, 1886	Male	Minority	2	2	11	5	0	February 1898.
Godávari	Lakkavaram	,, 2291, 16th December 1879	Do.	Do.	8	8	12	2	16	April 1897.
	Nadavapalle *	,, 222, 20th February 1885	Do,	Do.	3	5	20	7	0	November 1888.
	Meduru	,, 179, 1st March 1888	Do.	Do.	0	4	1	4	0	1908.
Kistna	Mailavaram	,, 902, 15th September 1887	Do.	Do.	0	8	16	8	9	20th September 1893.
	Kapileswarapuram	,, 903, 15th ,, 1887	Do.	Do.	0	7	14	9	21	9th September 1895.
Cuddapah	Chitticherla	,, 1381, 29th ,, 1876	Do.	Do.	11	9	19	4	0	February 1890.
North Arcot	Kangundi	,, 435, 11th April 1883	Do.	Do.	5	3	18	11	0	August 1890.
	Timmajamma's estate	,, 299, 10th March 1885	Female	Do.	3	4	9	0	0	1900.
	Pulicherla	,, 711, 22nd July 1887	Male	Do.	0	11	13	8	0	November 1895.
Chingleput	Panaiyur	,, 233, 4th March 1887	Do.	Do.	1	4	14	0	0	1895.
Tanjore	Ukkadai	,, 1471, 12th July 1879	Do.	Do.	9	0	16	0	0	January 1893.
	Gandharvakóta	,, 493, 9th March 1881	Do.	Do.	7	4	14	6	20	11th December 1894.
	Vadapathimangalam	,, 1205, 29th August 1881	Do.	Do.	6	10	18	0	0	7th March 1891.
	Mathur	,, 1292, 20th November 1882	Do.	Do.	5	7	16	10	0	6th September 1892.
	Singavanam	,, 159, 1st February 1884	Do.	Do.	4	5	15	2	15	15th April 1894.
	Tiruvalanjuli	,, 531, 5th May 1885	Do.	Lunacy	3	2	43	11	21	
	Tyagasamudram	,, 524, 4th ,, 1885	Do.	Minority	3	2	18	4	17	February 1891.
	Ramasetuswamiar's estate	,, 752, 30th August 1886	Do.	Do.	1	10	19	5	15	15th January 1890.
	Palayavanam	,, 485, 16th May 1887	Do.	Do.	1	2	6	0	0	1903.
Trichinopoly	Peramur	,, 2985, 30th November 1868	Do.	Imbecility	19	7	40	0	0	
	Nangavaram	,, 1545, 18th ,, 1880	Do.	Minority	7	7	13	8	0	31st October 1895.
	Marungapuri	,, 163, 22nd February 1888	Do.	Do.	0	4	3	7	0	8th November 1905.

* Since handed over to the proprietor.

Statement showing the ages, &c., of the wards and the period during which the estates have been under management—*cont.*

Districts.	Estates.	Government Orders by which the estates came under the management of the Court of Wards.	Sexes of the wards.	Disabilities.	The period during which the estates have been under management.		Ages of the wards at the end of the fasli.			Dates on which the wards will attain majority.
1	2	3	4	5	6		7			8
					YRS.	M.	YRS.	M.	D.	
Madura	Ramnad	No. 319, 15th March 1873 ..	Male	Minority	15	3	19	8	0	October 1889.
	Sáptúr*	,, 5, 5th January 1886 .. ,, 61, 19th ,, 1888 ..	Do.	Do.	2	6	6	11	23	7th July 1902.
	Vadagarai	,, 1651, 7th November 1881 ..	Do.	Do.	6	8	14	6	7	24th December 1894.
Tinnevelly	Vadimitta	,, 2183, 17th ,, 1879 ..	Do.	Do.	8	7	15	6	0	7th January 1894.
Coimbatore	Metráthi	,, 860, 14th July 1883	Do.	Do.	4	11	7	6	0	8th ,, 1902.
Nilgiris	Neliyalam	,, 364, 11th April 1887	Do.	Do.	1	3	1	5	0	1908.
Salem	Erumaipati	,, 694, 5th June 1874	Female	Imbecility	14	1	37	0	0	
	Shulagiri	,, 788, 28th July 1882	Male	Minority	5	4	6	3	13	March 1903.
	Yelagiri	,, 539, 2nd June 1887	Do.	Do.	1	1	14	0	0	1895.
South Canara	Sarvottamma Row's estate ..	,, 1230, 14th August 1875 ..	Do.	Do.	12	11	17	7	0	December 1891.
	Puttamma's estate	,, 872, 18th July 1883 ..	Female	Lunacy	4	11	70	0	0	
Malabar	Kavalpara	,, 1187, 9th August 1872 .. ,, 599, 21st June 1887	Do.	Sex	15	11	22	0	0	
	Bimbalan	,, 122, 2nd February 1880 ..	Male	Lunacy	8	5	35	0	0	

* The elder minor died and the estate continues in charge of the Court on behalf of the younger minor.
† Although the ward has attained majority, the Estates continues under management at her request.

70

No. II A.—Statement showing the number of villages and the proportion of cultivation to holdings in the estates under the management of the Court of Wards for fasli 1297 (1887-88).

Districts.	Estates.	Number of Villages. Ryotwari or joint rent.	Number of Villages. Money rent.	Number of Villages. Rent in kind.	Number of Villages. Inam or shrotriem.	Number of Villages. Total.	Fasli 1296. Holdings.	Fasli 1296. Actual cultivation.	Fasli 1296. Percentage of cultivation to holdings.	Fasli 1297. Holdings.	Fasli 1297. Actual cultivation.	Fasli 1297. Percentage of cultivation to holdings.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
							ACS.	ACS.		ACS.	ACS.	
Ganjam ..	Parlákimedi	510	..	..	390	900	113,183	112,591	99·5	1,13,420	112,792	99·4
	Chinna Kimedi	67	16	1	74	158	16,405	10,354	99·5	10,199	10,195	99·9
	Jarada	26	5	..	31	62	3,528	3,528	100·	3,589	3,458	96·4
	Urlám	6	..	..	7	13	2,968	2,968	100·	2,968	2,968	100·
	Dhárákót	74	62	..	49	185	6,508	6,508	100·	9,801	9,782	99·8
	Bodogodo	130	184	..	21	335	1,876	1,621	86·4	3,270	3,246	99·2
	Kurla	12	1	..	2	15	1,311	1,311	100·	1,311	1,311	100·
	Aska	9	2	..	1	12	655	655	100·	1,060	1,060	100·
	Kallikót and Atagadá	178	238	..	142	558	..	..	..	35,118	34,103	97·1
Vizagapatam ..	Merangi	1	49	..	36	86	961	961	100·	976	976	100·
	Mádugula	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Chidikada	5	..	..	..	5	2,279	2,279	100·	2,277	2,277	100·
	Sripuram	5	..	..	5	10	2,912	2,912	100·	2,906	2,906	100·
	Chípurupalle	1	..	..	..	1	2,488	2,488	100·	2,488	2,466	99·1
	Appikonda	1	..	..	..	1	302	302	100·	302	302	100·
Godávari ..	Lakkavaram	..	7	..	..	7	861	789	91·6	736	683	92·8
	Nadavapalle	6	..	..	..	6	2,469	1,473	59·7	2,423	1,676	69·2
	Meduru	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Kistna ..	Mailavaram	11	1	3	1	16	..	..	..	8,687	6,763	77·85
	Kapileswarapuram	28	6	..	24	58	..	..	..	22,078	20,617	93·4
Cuddapah ..	Chitticherla	..	..	..	..	..	485	330	68·	485	289	59·6
North Arcot ..	Kangundi	58	147	..	125	330	9,641	8,821	91·5	9,571	8,742	91·3
	Timmajamma's estate	1	11	..	..	12	..	..	..	202	202	100·
	Pulicherla	54	..	..	10	64	..	..	..	1,692	1,388	82·0
Chingleput ..	Panaiyur	3	6	2	..	11	..	..	..	4,275	3,145	73·6
Tanjore ..	Ukkadai	..	..	..	..	..	2,513	2,131	84·8	2,513	2,127	84·6
	Gandharvakóta	12	19	11	11	53	21,961	21,216	96·6	21,816	20,896	95·8
	Vadapathimangalam	..	..	..	..	..	5,727	5,727	100·	5,727	5,727	100·
	Mathur	..	..	..	..	..	615	615	100·	615	606	98·5
	Singavanam	8	..	2	10	20	2,718	1,375	50·6	2,736	1,384	50·6
	Tiruvalanjuli	..	..	..	..	..	609	609	100·	609	609	100·
	Tyagasamudram	..	..	..	..	..	468	468	100·	473	473	100·
	Ramasetuswamiar's estate	..	..	..	..	..	8,391	5,575	66·4	7,975	6,932	86·9
	Palayavanam	2	..	12	11	25	..	..	..	2,153	1,492	69·3
Trichinopoly ..	Peramur	..	..	..	..	..	2,446	1,974	80·7	2,451	1,850	75·5
	Nangavaram	..	..	..	..	..	4,308	3,748	87·	4,309	3,799	88·2
	Marungápuri	78	..	..	22	100	..	..	..	34,772	33,747	97·1
Madura ..	Ramnad	..	330 27/32	1,009 5/8	827 5/32	2,167 5/8	308,771	308,771	100·	Not known.	320,843	..
	Sáptúr	17	..	..	..	17	21,794	15,892	72·9	21,723	17,418	80·1
	Vadagarai	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..

Statement showing the number of villages and the proportion of cultivation to holdings in the estates under the management of the Court of Wards for fasli 1297 (1887-88)—*cont.*

Districts.	Estates.	Number of Villages.					Fasli 1296.			Fasli 1297.		
		Ryotwari or joint rent.	Money rent.	Rent in kind.	Inam or shrotriem.	Total.	Holdings.	Actual cultivation.	Percentage of cultivation to holdings.	Holdings.	Actual cultivation.	Percentage of cultivation to holdings.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
Tinnevelly ..	Vadimitta	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Coimbatore ..	Metráthi	1	..	..	..	1	5,960	4,256	71·4	5,960	3,942	66·1
Nílgiris ..	Neliyalam	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	7,150	2,428	33·9
Salem ..	Erumaipati	12	..	..	1	13	7,002	6,444	92·	7,060	6,543	92·7
	Shulagiri	38	52	..	49	139	5,309	4,627	87·1	5,756	5,031	87·4
	Yelagiri	13	1	..	..	14	..	..	..	7,009	7,002	99·9
South Canara	Sarvottama Row's estate	..	..	..	..	..	300	300	100·	300	300	100·
	Puttamma's estate	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	946	946	100·
Malabar ..	Kavalpara	..	..	..	..	..	11,462	11,462	100·	16,626	11,715	70·5
	Bimbalan	..	..	..	..	..	74	74	100·	74	74	100·
	Total ..	1,367	1,137$\frac{27}{32}$	1,040$\frac{5}{8}$	1,849$\frac{5}{32}$	5,394$\frac{5}{8}$	573,260	555,155	96·8	398,587	687,231	91·9
									Deduct Ramnad ..		320,843	..
											366,388	

71

No. II-B.—Statement showing the settled demand of the estates under the management of the Court of Wards for fasli 1297 (1887-88).

Districts.	Estates.	Land Revenue. Dry. Extent.	Dry. Assessment.	Wet. Extent.	Wet. Assessment.	Total. Extent.	Total. Assessment.	Other items.	Total.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
		ACRES.	RS.	ACRES.	RS.	ACRES.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Ganjam	Parlákimedi	48,114	60,128	65,306	2,81,937	113,420	3,42,065	..	3,42,065
	Chinna Kimedi	2,338	5,688	7,861	35,278	10,199	40,966	19,705	60,671
	Jarada	609	1,146	2,980	16,902	3,589	18,048	3,475	21,523
	Urlám	321	1,742	2,647	32,964	2,968	34,706	5,858	40,564
	Dhárakót	3,154	..	6,647	..	9,801	39,948	36,372	76,320
	Badogoda	1,416	..	1,854	..	3,270	9,785	8,470	18,255
	Kurla	343	..	968	..	1,311	9,848	2,033	11,881
	Aska	207	..	853	..	1,060	8,071	3,866	11,937
	Kallikót and Atagadá	5,608	8,599	29,510	93,815	35,118	1,02,414	1,08,822	2,11,236
Vizagapatam	Miranghi	606	416	370	2,126	976	2,542	37,040	39,582
	Mádugula	..	..	..	..	..	..	2,892	2,892
	Chidikada	951	3,246	1,326	14,398	2,277	17,644	..	17,644
	Srípuram	1,264	2,937	1,642	11,780	2,906	14,717	..	14,717
	Chípurupalle	2,432	6,366	56	426	2,488	6,792	..	6,792
	Appikonda	254	980	48	363	302	1,343	..	1,343
Godávari	Lakkavaram	51	191	685	2,653	736	2,844	7,053	9,897
	Nadavapalle	898	2,416	1,525	6,881	2,423	9,297	..	9,297
	Meduru	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Kistna	Mailavaram	7,405	8,145	1,282	4,247	8,687	12,392	5,745	18,137
	Kapileswarapuram	16,447	33,835	5,631	38,025	22,078	71,860	18,027	89,887
Cuddapah	Chitticherla	180	..	305	..	485	..	1,452	1,452
North Arcot	Kangundi	8,064	..	1,507	..	9,571	24,387	25,619	50,006
	Timmajamma's estate	164	..	38	..	202	951	1,947	2,898
	Pulicherla	1,056	3,191	636	7,309	1,692	10,500	..	10,500
Chingleput	Panaiyur	2,821	5,374	1,454	8,508	4,275	13,882	..	13,882
Tanjore	Ukkadai	985	..	1,528	..	2,513	..	17,235	17,235
	Gandharvakóta	16,644	19,256	5,172	10,261	21,816	29,517	2,134	31,651
	Vadapathimangalam	732	..	4,995	..	5,727	..	51,124	51,124
	Mathur	114	..	501	..	615	..	5,303	5,303
	Singavanam	857	88	1,879	10,014	2,736	10,102	..	10,102
	Tiruvalanjuli	340	..	269	..	609	..	6,710	6,710
	Tyagasamudram	125	..	348	..	473	..	8,314	8,314
	Ramasetuswamiar's estate	3,483	..	4,492	..	7,975	..	30,233	30,233
	Palayavanam	1,092	695	1,061	5,130	2,153	5,825	..	5,825
Trichinopoly	Peramur	2,052	..	399	..	2,451	..	11,160	11,160
	Nangavaram	3,327	..	982	..	4,309	..	18,789	18,789
	Marungapuri	28,549	33,186	6,223	16,518	34,772	49,704	5,722	55,426
Madura	Ramnad	271,036	2,75,968	49,807	4,40,291	320,843	7,16,259	65,795	7,82,054
	Sáptúr	20,080	23,556	1,643	14,482	21,723	38,038	4,324	42,362
	Vadgari	..	..	..	..	..	..	4,768	4,768

Statement showing the settled demand of the estates under the management of the Court of Wards for fasli 1297 (1887-88)—*cont.*

Districts.	Estates.	Land Revenue.							
		Dry.		Wet.		Total.		Other items.	Total.
		Extent.	Assessment.	Extent.	Assessment.	Extent.	Assessment.		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
		ACRES.	RS.	ACRES.	RS.	ACRES.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Tinnevelly ..	Vadimitta ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Coimbatore ..	Metrathi ..	5,960	7,028	..	..	5,960	7,028	..	7,028
Nilgiris ..	Naliyalam ..	7,022	8,347	128	145	7,150	8,492	..	8,492
Salem ..	Erumaipati ..	6,615	13,080	445	3,944	7,060	17,024	157	17,181
	Shulagiri ..	5,131	6,332	625	3,267	5,756	9,599	..	9,599
	Yelagiri ..	6,351	8,491	658	4,330	7,009	12,821	3,173	15,994
South Canara ..	Sarvothama Row's estate ..	200	..	100	..	300	..	4,069	4,069
	Puttamma's estate ..	349	..	597	..	946	..	9,895	9,895
Malabar ..	Kavalpara ..	12,522	..	4,104	..	16,626	..	22,504	22,504
	Bi[illegible]balam ..	55	..	19	..	74	..	367	367
	Total ..	498,324	5,40,427	221,106	10,65,994	719,430	16,99,411	5,60,152	22,59,563

72

Statement showing the settled demand of the estates under the management of the Court of Wards for fasli 1297 (1887-88)—*cont.*

Districts.	Estates.	Miscellaneous Revenue. Jodi or quit-rent on inams.	Land cess.	Water-rate and second-crop assessment.	Other items.	Total.	Total of columns 10 and 15.	Deduct remissions.	Net revenue.	Net demand of fasli 1296.	Difference.
		11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
		RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Ganjam	Parlákimedi	22,408	17,733	..	5,552	45,693	3,87,758	2,439	3,85,319	3,86,092	− 773
	Chinna Kimedi	7,865	3,959	..	1,598	13,422	74,093	912	73,181	72,722	+ 459
	Jarada	1,395	949	..	41	2,385	23,908	1,250	22,658	23,898	− 1,240
	Urlám	1,843	1,115	..	1,191	4,149	44,713	..	44,713	45,963	− 1,250
	Dhárákót	2,900	2,313	..	3,952	9,165	85,485	73	85,412	80,665	+ 4,747
	Bodogodo	632	1,278	..	4,251	6,161	24,416	..	24,416	24,923	− 507
	Kurla	258	526	..	291	1,075	12,956	..	12,956	13,080	− 124
	Aska	248	497	..	111	856	12,793	..	12,793	13,155	− 362
	Kallikót and Atagadá	15,821	13,890	..	1,454	31,165	2,42,401	1,449	2,40,952	..	..
Vizagapatam	Meranghi	2,955	3,358	..	857	7,170	46,752	..	46,752	46,693	+ 59
	Mádugula	..	107	..	..	107	2,999	..	2,999	3,059	− 60
	Chidikada	3,851	797	..	432	5 080	22,724	..	22,724	21,927	+ 797
	Sripuram	1,602	797	2,024	338	4,761	19,478	250	19,228	19,171	+ 57
	Chipurupalle	517	277	..	888	1,682	8,474	81	8,393	8,802	− 409
	Appikonda	7,613	152	..	443	8,208	9,551	..	9,551	9,420	+ 131
Godávari	Lakkavaram	1,344	215	13	1,305	2,877	12,774	..	12,774	11,979	+ 795
	Nadavapalle	1,281	487	..	3,429	5,197	14,494	202	14,292	12,480	+ 1,812
	Meduru	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Kistna	Mailavaram	198	445	151	4,079	4,873	23,010	1,678	21,332	..	..
	Kapileswarapuram	3,784	2,986	9,335	10,003	26,108	1,15,995	1,727	1,14,268	..	..
Cuddapah	Chitticherla	..	..	17	40	5	1,509	..	1,509	1,904	− 395
North Arcot	Kangundi	15,566	4,052	10	6,149	25,777	75,783	1,489	74,294	75,950	− 1,656
	Timmajamma's estate	240	216	..	246	702	3,600	..	3,600	..	..
	Pulicherla	6,405	1,298	855	2,687	11,245	21,745	175	21,570	..	..
Chingleput	Panniyur	1,109	292	353	1,854	3,618	17,500	1,084	16,416	..	..
Tanjore	Ukkadai	..	..	..	585	585	17,820	..	17,820	25,794	− 7,974
	Gandharvakóta	31	1,192	83	2,983	4,289	35,940	..	35,940	35,869	+ 71
	Vadapathimangalam	..	..	..	4,196	4,196	55,320	..	55,320	57,727	− 2,407
	Mathur	..	..	..	..	..	5,303	..	5,303	6,523	− 1,220
	Singavanam	615	331	..	1,229	2,175	12,277	..	12,277	8,921	+ 3,356
	Tiruvalanjuli	..	..	..	..	..	6,710	..	6,710	6,442	+ 268
	Tyagasamudram	..	..	..	..	..	8,314	..	8,314	8,157	+ 157
	Ramasetuswamiar's estate	..	466	349	2,296	3,111	33,344	..	33,344	33,685	− 341
	Palayavanam	245	138	49	289	721	6,546	..	6,546	..	..
Trichinopoly	Peramur	..	..	16	550	566	11,726	..	11,726	11,875	− 149
	Nangavaram	..	..	134	909	1,043	19,832	..	19,832	18,438	+ 1,394
	Marungapuri	99	1,656	690	15,745	18,190	73,616	7,082	66,534	..	..
Madura	Ramnad	67,719	23,537	5,462	62,982	1,59,700	9,41,754	57,633	8,84,121	8,04,778	+ 79,343
	Sáptúr	..	1,054	1,059	6,791	8,904	51,266	2,513	48,753	38,571	+ 10,182
	Vadagarai	..	..	..	881	881	5,649	..	5,649	5,879	− 230

Statement showing the settled demand of the estates under the management of the Court of Wards for fasli 1297 (1887-88)—*cont.*

Districts.	Estates.	Miscellaneous Revenue.					Total of columns 10 and 15.	Deduct remissions.	Net revenue.	Net demand of fasli 1296.	Difference.
		Jodi or quit-rent on inams.	Land cess.	Water-rate and second-crop assessment.	Other items.	Total.					
		11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
		RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Tinnevelly	Vadimittah	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Coimbatore	Metrathi	14	223	..	..	237	7,265	136	7,129	7,129	..
Nīlgiris	Neliyalam	..	..	..	2,739	2,739	11,231	..	11,231	..	..
Salem	Erumaipati	175	535	101	862	1,673	18,854	3,418	15,436	16,172	— 736
	Shulagiri	137	307	19	9,815	10,278	19,877	1,193	18,684	18,655	+ 29
	Yelagiri..	325	422	2	4,937	5,686	21,680	732	20,948	..	..
South Canara	Saravothama Row's estate	..	..	..	..	..	4,069	..	(a) 4,069	4,096	— 27
	Puttamma's estate	..	..	..	184	184	10,079	..	10,079	5,630	+ 4,449
Malabar	Kavalpara	..	..	..	7,698	7,698	30,202	..	30,202	30,388	— 186
	Bimbalam	..	..	..	..	..	367	..	367	423	— 56
	Total ..	1,69,195	87,600	20,732	1,76,862	4,54,389	27,13,952	85,516	26,28,436	20,17,035	..

(*a*) Differs from the figure in column 4 of Statement No. III which includes Rs. 17 the tasdik allowance paid by Government.

No. III.—Statement showing the Demand, Collection and Balance of the estates under the management of the Court of Wards for fasli 1297 (1887-88).

Districts.	Estates.	Demand. Arrears.	Demand. Current.	Demand. Total.	Collection. Arrears.	Collection. Current.	Collection. Total.	Amount written off.	Balance at the close of fasli. Arrears.	Balance at the close of fasli. Current.	Balance at the close of fasli. Total.	Percentage of balance to total demand. Arrears.	Percentage of balance to total demand. Current.	Percentage of balance to total demand. Total.	Amount subsequently collected and remitted.	Balance.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
		RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Ganjam ..	Parlákimedi	51,842	3,85,319	4,37,161	37,306	3,48,209	3,85,515	2,153	12,383	37,110	49,493	23·2	9·6	11·3	20,393	29,100
	Chinna Kimedi	19,874	73,181	93,055	13,535	63,062	76,597	311	6,028	10,119	16,147	30·3	13·8	17·3	4,667	11,480
	Jarada	16,004	22,658	38,662	6,305	14,413	20,718	..	9,699	8,245	17,944	60·6	36·4	46·4	2,865	15,079
	Urlám	2,183	44,713	46,896	2,151	43,860	46,011	..	32	853	885	1·0	1·9	1·9	835	50
	Dhárákót	32,039	85,412	1,17,451	5,110	73,999	79,109	..	26,929	11,413	38,342	84·1	13·4	32·6	2,572	35,770
	Bodogodo	15,137	24,416	39,553	11,456	14,860	26,316	..	3,681	9,556	13,237	24·3	39·1	33·5	2,373	10,864
	Kurla	9,983	12,956	22,939	3,496	8,961	12,457	..	6,487	3,995	10,482	64·9	30·8	45·7	2,491	7,991
	Aska	5,291	12,793	18,084	1,947	10,677	12,624	..	3,344	2,116	5,460	63·2	16·5	30·2	816	4,644
	Kallikót and Atagadá ..	3,05,189	2,40,952	5,46,141	11,472	1,43,281	1,54,753	..	2,93,717	97,671	3,91,388	96·2	4·5	71·7	33,116	3,58,272
Vizagapatam ..	Merangi	4,016	46,752	50,768	2,248	43,781	46,029	172	1,596	2,971	4,537	39·7	6·3	9·	1,361	3,206
	Mádugula	1,234	2,999	4,233	686	2,742	3,428	282	266	257	523	21·5	8·6	12·4	55	468
	Chidikada	10,482	22,724	33,206	7,633	19,290	26,923	793	2,056	3,434	5,490	19·6	10·7	16·5	1,019	4,471
	Srípuram	2,263	19,228	21,491	1,059	17,178	18,237	321	883	2,050	2,933	39·0	10·6	13·6	1,390	1,543
	Chípurupalle	4,310	8,393	12,703	2,648	5,802	8,450	267	1,395	2,591	3,986	32·4	30·	31·4	1,007	2,979
	Appikonda	4,856	9,551	14,407	1,224	6,697	7,921	2,414	1,218	2,854	4,072	25·1	29·9	28·3	437	3,635
Godávari ..	Lakkavaram	1,089	12,774	13,863	497	12,407	12,904	588	4	367	371	·3	2·9	2·7	127	244
	Nadavapalle	(a) 7,759	14,292	22,051	4,622	10,893	15,515	871	2,266	3,399	5,665	29·2	23·8	25·7	186	5,479
	Meduru	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Kistna ..	Mailavaram	4,495	21,332	25,827	1,987	16,190	18,177	..	2,508	5,142	7,650	55·8	24·1	29·6	3,192	4,458
	Kapileswarapuram ..	1,33,413	1,14,268	2,47,681	29,194	92,124	1,21,318	..	1,04,219	22,144	1,26,363	78·1	19·4	51·	..	1,26,363
Cuddapah ..	Chitticherla	535	1,509	2,044	535	1,476	2,011	..	..	33	33	..	2·1	1·2	6	27
North Arcot ..	Kangundi	40,183	74,294	1,14,477	13,608	58,515	72,123	3,831	22,744	15,779	38,523	56·6	21·2	33·6	14,496	24,027
	Timmajamma's estate ..	..	3,600	3,600	..	1,836	1,836	..	..	1,764	1,764	..	49·	49·	52	1,712
	Pulicherla	16,398	21,570	37,968	8,845	10,978	19,823	..	7,553	10,592	18,145	46·1	49·1	47·8	7,752	10,393
Chingleput ..	Panaiyur	10,740	16,416	27,156	5,491	11,339	16,830	..	5,249	5,077	10,326	48·9	30·9	38·	3,958	6,368
Tanjore ..	Ukkadi	32,301	17,820	50,121	20,368	8,926	29,294	..	11,933	8,894	20,827	36·9	49·9	41·5	1,283	19,544
	Gandharvakóta	91,294	35,940	1,27,234	14,987	25,466	40,453	..	76,307	10,474	86,781	83·6	29·1	68·2	1,909	84,872
	Vadapathimangalam ..	17,064	55,320	72,384	2,541	53,097	55,638	..	14,523	2,223	16,746	85·1	4·0	23·1	317	16,429
	Mathur	173	5,303	5,476	141	2,605	2,746	..	32	2,698	2,730	18·5	50·9	49·8	945	1,785
	Singavanam	7,156	12,277	19,433	5,614	4,113	9,727	..	1,542	8, 64	9,706	21·5	66·5	49·9	7,399	2,307
	Tiruvalanjuli	3,812	6,710	10,522	2,793	4,675	7,468	..	1,019	2,035	3,054	26·7	30·3	29·0	775	2,279
	Tyagasamudram	5,672	8,314	13,986	2,900	3,751	6,651	..	2,772	4,563	7,335	48·9	54·9	52·4	3,100	4,235
	Ramasetuswamia's estate.	24,576	33,344	57,920	11,779	18,749	30,528	..	12,797	14,595	27,392	52·1	43·8	47·3	5,297	22,095
	Palayavanam	4,602	6,546	11,148	4,102	299	4,401	..	500	6,247	6,747	10·8	95·4	60·5	4,847	1,900
Trichinopoly ..	Peramur	1,788	11,726	13,514	1,098	9,722	10.820	116	574	2,004	2,578	32·1	17·1	19·1	1,003	1,575
	Nangavaram	7,331	19,832	27,163	3,297	15,616	18,913	1,892	2,142	4,216	6,358	29·2	21·3	23·4	2,345	4,013
	Marungapuri	6,344	66,534	72,878	1,372	47,156	48,528	..	4,972	19,378	24,350	67·4	29·	33·4	14,575	9,775
Madura ..	Ramnad	2,18,128	8,84,121	[b]11,02,249	1,10,450	7,77,312	8,87,762	5,334	1,02.344	1,06,809	2,09.153	46·9	12·1	19·0	75,858	1,33,295
	Sáptúr	42,507	48,753	91,260	4,301	42,082	46,383	14,976	23,230	6,671	29,901	54·6	13·7	32·8	4,848	25,053
	Vadagarai	3,962	5,649	9,611	2,437	4,214	6,651	..	1,525	1,435	2,960	38·5	25·4	30·8	381	2,579

(a) The difference between this and the figure in column 12 of the statement for the previous fasli is due to an error in the previous year's statement.
(b) Exclusive of Rs. 4 Excess collection.

73

No. III.—Statement showing the Demand, Collection and Balance of the estates under the management of the Court of Wards for fasli 1297 (1887-88)—*cont.*

Districts.	Estates.	Demand.			Collection.			Amount written off	Balance at the close of fasli.			Percentage of balance to total demand.			Amount subsequently collected and remitted.	Balance.
		Arrears.	Current.	Total.	Arrears.	Current.	Total.		Arrears.	Current.	Total.	Arrears.	Current.	Total.		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
		RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Tinnevelly ..	Vádimitta	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Coimbatore ..	Metrachi	1,360	7,129	8,489	1,285	6,815	8,100	..	75	314	389	5·5	4·4	4·6	1	388
Nílgiris	Neliyalam	12,148	11,231	23,379	789	3,496	4,285	..	11,359	7,735	19,094	93·5	68·8	81·6	6,765	12,329
Salem	Erumaipatti	3,161	15,436	18,597	2,049	13,965	16,014	..	1,112	1,471	2,583	35·2	9·5	13·9	685	1,898
	Shulagiri	5,146	18,684	23,830	2,806	17,400	20,206	..	2,340	1,284	3,624	45·5	6·9	15·2	..	3,624
	Yelagiri	20,743	20,948	41,691	13,617	16,074	29,691	1,547	5,579	4,874	10,453	26·9	23·2	25·1	3,483	6,970
South Canara..	Sarvottama Row's estate.	228	(*a*) 4,086	4,314	187	4,026	4,213	41	..	60	60	..	1·5	1·4	24	36
	Puttamma's estate ..	495	10,079	10,574	232	8,940	9,172	..	263	1,139	1,402	53·1	11·3	13·2	438	964
Malabar ..	Kovalpara	3,966	30,202	34,168	1,284	29,269	30,553	1,438	1,244	933	2,177	31·4	3·	6·4	38	2,139
	Bimbalam	..	367	367	..	367	367	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Total ..	12,13,272	26,28,453	38,41,725	3,83,484	21,50,705	25,34,189	37,347	7,92,441	4,77,748	12,70,189	65·3	18·2	50·1	2,41,482	10,28,707

(*a*) Differs from the amount shown in column 18 of statement II-B which is exclusive of Rs. 17, the tasdik allowance paid by Government.

No. IV.—Financial statement of receipts and charges of the several estates under management in fasli 1297 (1887-88).

Districts.	Estates.	Amount to the credit of the estate at the beginning of fasli 1297.				Cash receipts in fasli 1297.					
		Government securities.	Cash.	Value of grain on hand.	Total.	Land and miscellaneous revenue.	Interest on Government securities.	Debts recovered.	Sale-proceeds of grain, &c.	Other items.	Total.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
		RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Ganjam ..	Parlákimedi ..	25,37,000	3,16,273	..	28,53,273	3,85,515	1,08,638	..	..	6,493	5,00,646
	Chinna Kimedi	50,000	24,149	..	74,149	76,597	2,059	1,130	..	33	79,819
	Jarada	..	14,262	..	14,262	20,718	..	280	..	50	21,048
	Urlám	2,40,000	53,735	..	2,93,735	48,488	11,152	..	..	2,369	62,009
	Dhárákót	..	28,469	..	28,469	79,109	..	22	..	2,225	81,356
	Bodogodo	..	13,183	..	13,183	26,316	488	..	..	513	27,317
	Kurla	* 20,500	23,778	..	44,278	12,457	..	413	..	149	13,019
	Aska	..	20,141	..	20,141	12,624	..	25,018	..	76	37,718
	Kallikot and Attagada	..	40,206	..	40,206	1,54,755	..	1,291	2,427	11,473	1,69,946
Vizagapatam ..	Meranghi	1,10,400	9,767	..	1,20,167	46,029	4,506	2,000	..	888	53,423
	Mádugula	..	1,057	..	1,057	3,428	..	100	..	16,195	19,723
	Chidikada	..	42,358	..	42,358	26,923	1,169	82	..	2,817	30,991
	Sripuram	8,000	3,115	..	11,115	18,237	478	..	..	945	19,660
	Chipurupalle	..	4,476	..	4,476	8,450	48	991	..	652	10,141
	Appikonda	30,000	14,146	..	44,146	7,921	1,803	6,910	† 31,362	1,457	49,453
Godávari ..	Lakkavaram	40,000	23,717	..	63,717	12,904	2,210	1,235	..	..	16,349
	Nadavapalle	..	1,957	..	1,957	14,821	..	693	..	..	15,514
	Meduru	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Kistna ..	Mailavaram	..	27,213	4,537	31,750	11,008	..	980	430	4,794	17,212
	Kapileswarapuram	2,72,900	35,490	5,491	3,13,881	1,11,855	5,509	4,052	100	41,918	1,63,434
Cuddapah ..	Chitticherla	8,000	4,589	..	12,589	2,012	401	..	..	2,604	5,017
North Arcot ..	Kangundi	30,000	8,817	..	38,817	72,123	1,336	3,853	..	22,759	1,00,071
	Timmajamma's estate	..	..	..	..	1,836	..	..	..	6	1,842
Chingleput ..	Pulicherla	..	101	..	101	19,823	..	1,240	..	3,341	24,404
Tanjore ..	Panaiyur	..	..	1,792	1,792	14,698	..	2,090	320	2,289	19,397
	Ukkadai	..	253	268	521	28,609	..	9,687	268	26,232	64,796
	Gandharvakóta	..	16,847	4,546	21,393	39,073	..	825	4,168	13,999	58,065
	Vadapathimangalam	..	7,749	55,930	63,679	35,532	..	500	46,361	45,586	1,27,979
	Mathur	..	2,965	1,507	4,472	2,195	..	50	1,510	1,850	5,605
	Singavanam	..	4,021	2,089	6,110	5,937	..	144	739	9,749	16,569
	Tiruvalanjuli	..	1,118	23	1,141	5,929	..	..	..	1,563	7,492
	Tyagasamudram	20,000	10,791	2,580	33,371	6,070	777	4,912	2,034	1,102	14,895
	Ramasetuswamiar's estate	..	10,882	5,317	16,199	22,283	..	962	5,141	56,910	85,296
	Palayavanam	..	235	..	235	4,161	..	380	..	2,171	6,712

* The difference between this and the closing balance given in the previous year's report is due to the omission to include in the last year's return the value of the Promissory notes left by the late proprietor.

† Sale-proceeds of Government Pro-notes of the value of Rs. 31,500.

Financial statement of receipts and charges of the several estates under management in fasli 1297 (1887-88)—*cont.*

Districts.	Estates.	Amount to the credit of the estate at the beginning of fasli 1297.				Cash receipts in fasli 1297.					
		Government securities.	Cash.	Value of grain on hand.	Total.	Land and miscellaneous revenue.	Interest on Government securities.	Debts recovered.	Sale-proceeds of grain, &c.	Other items.	Total.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
		RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Trichinopoly ..	Peramur	57,500	11,194	..	68,694	10,820	2,528	23	..	..	13,371
	Nangavaram	..	2,782	..	2,782	17,051	..	1,862	..	..	18,913
	Marungapuri	..	4,875	..	4,875	35,220	..	150	..	..	35,370
Madura ..	Ramnad	..	40,604	78,297	1,18,901	8,87,762	..	..	1,284	29,417	9,18,463
	Sáptúr	80,500	10,888	1,118	92,506	37,235	4,867	83,026	..	8,154	1,33,282
	Vadagarai	..	11,513	1,019	12,532	2,658	243	..	1,670	1,655	6,226
Tinnevelly	Vádimitta	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Coimbatore	Metráthi	..	1,876	..	1,876	8,100	..	..	..	155	8,255
Nílgiris	Neliyalam	21,800	2,549	..	24,349	4,285	..	1,679	..	..	5,964
Salem ..	Erumaipati	..	1,444	..	1,444	15,748	..	266	..	..	16,014
	Shulagiri	..	6,510	..	6,510	20,191	..	..	..	18	20,209
	Yelagiri	..	264	..	264	29,446	..	245	..	..	29,691
South Canara ..	Sarvottama Row's estate	5,000	1,125	..	6,125	4,213	252	..	..	14	4,479
	Puttamma's estate	..	2,758	..	2,758	8,557	..	18,436	..	12	27,005
Malabar	Kavalpara	44,000	45,727	6,743	96,470	26,104	3,764	..	5,875	250	35,993
	Bimbalam	..	575	..	575	359	..	..	..	..	359
	Total ..	35,75,600	9,10,544	1,71,257	46,57,401	24,46,185	1,52,228	1,75,527	1,03,689	3,22,883	32,00,512

Financial statement of receipts and charges of the several estates under management in fasli 1297 (1887-88)—*cont.*

Districts.	Estates.	Cash balance and receipts, total of columns 4 and 12.	CHARGES.							
			Establishment for management and contingencies.	Maramut expenditure.	Government demand.		Government commission for management.	Total management, works and Government demand.	Maintenance and education of the wards.	Allowance to the other members of the wards' family.
					Peishcush.	Assessment and land-cess.				
		13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
		RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Ganjam	Parlákimedi	8,16,919	20,200	57,114	87,823	25,373	4,441	1,94,951	84,792	5,474
	Chinna Kimedi	1,03,968	6,389	12,191	20,728	6,195	967	46,470	6,314	7,600
	Jarada	35,310	1,877	2,430	2,025	2,656	284	9,272	3,768	5,632
	Urlám	1,15,744	2,968	7,628	13,680	3,114	535	27,825	4,050	3,092
	Dhárákót	1,09,825	7,883	4,626	24,312	5,563	1,379	43,263	3,988	1,940
	Bodogodu	40,500	4,047	2,493	3,984	2,013	230	12,767	913	488
	Kurla	36,797	1,078	868	5,683	559	243	8,431	1,215	1,073
	Aska	57,859	1,049	1,303	5,718	582	183	8,835	2,402	11
	Kallikot and Attagada	2,10,152	8,166	..	78,903	28,482	..	1,15,551	12,034	138
Vizagapatam	Meranghi	63,190	1,059	..	18,480	7,193	1,551	28,283	13,291	2,895
	Mádugula	20,780	444	204	..	252	207	1,107	4,370	1,950
	Chidikada	73,349	1,257	15,309	4,855	1,853	563	23,837	5,095	..
	Srípuram	22,775	1,587	727	8,800	1,120	596	12,830	1,574	..
	Chipurapalle	14,617	702	427	7,224	673	270	9,296	2,242	..
	Appikonda	63,599	2,719	335	601	584	394	4,633	3,792	650
Godávari	Lakkavaram	40,066	2,229	7,083	4,756	1,039	207	15,314	1,247	180
	Nadavapalle	17,471	1,823	239	3,733	875	142	6,812	1,920	533
	Meduru	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Kistna	Mailavaram	44,425	527	..	3,775	815	..	5,117	2,535	..
	Kapileswarapuram	1,98,924	16,620	5,370	32,553	15,682	..	70,225	11,896	3,200
Cuddapah	Chitticherla	9,606	230	484	..	506	54	1,274	999	1,305
North Arcot ..	Kangundi	1,08,888	13,307	10,045	24,367	5,611	1,131	54,461	7,579	8,891
	Timmajemma's estate	1,842	130	..	..	..	..	130	43	..
	Pulicherla	24,505	2,210	..	5,585	1,664	..	9,459	654	915
Chingleput	Panaiyur	19,397	2,690	700	1,107	3,656	..	8,153	1,156	1,180
Tanjore	Ukkadi	65,049	1,575	2,325	..	9,972	..	13,872	66	486
	Gandharvakòta	74,912	5,618	1,233	10,747	5,605	..	23,203	997	1,339
	Vadapathimangalam	1,35,728	5,996	1,655	..	46,505	..	54,156	2,701	539
	Mathur	8,570	502	437	..	923	..	1,862	1,011	211
	Singavanam	20,590	1,305	181	3,262	23	..	4,771	291	225
	Tiruvalanjuli	8,610	774	100	..	2,122	..	2,996	..	100
	Tyagasamudram	25,686	917	50	..	2,527	..	3,494	1,500	262
	Ramasetuswamiar's estate ..	96,178	3,482	1,340	..	3,981	..	8,803	1,618	1,893
	Palláyavanam	6,947	790	..	523	..	..	1,313	106	163

75

Financial statement of receipts and charges of the several estates under management in fasli 1297 (1887-88)—*cont.*

Districts.	Establishments.	Cash balance and receipts, total of columns 4 and 12.	CHARGES. Establishment for management and contingencies.	Maramut expenditure.	Government demand. Peishcush.	Government demand. Assessment and land-cess.	Government commission for management.	Total management, works and Government demand.	Maintenance and education of the wards.	Allowance to the other members of the wards' family.
		13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
		RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Trichinopoly ..	Peramur	24,565	705	..	..	4,449	192	5,346	1,906	180
	Nangavaram	21,695	1,167	..	..	6,807	256	8,230	2,073	780
	Marangapuri	40,245	474	..	17,500	5,331	..	23,305	..	1,220
Madura	Ramnad	9,59,067	64,298	63,480	3,13,742	37,780	10,664	4,89,964	18,372	17,330
	Sáptúr	1,44,170	9,677	10,172	8,812	2,489	654	31,804	3,543	3,552
	Vádagarai	17,739	412	229	..	1,832	102	2,575	1,520	489
Tinnevelly	Vádimitta	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Coimbatore ..	Metráthi	10,131	285	189	1,966	403	86	29,29	705	769
Nilgiris	Neliyalam	8,513	841	409	..	285	..	1,535	124	539
Salem	Erumaipati	17,458	1,598	294	8,698	549	196	11,335	800	..
	Shulagiri	26,719	1,249	359	5,499	884	..	7,991	564	2,897
	Yelagiri	29,955	1,497	64	5,892	635	..	8,088	292	1,094
South Canara, ..	Sarvottamma Row's estate ..	5,604	437	31	..	1,665	149	2,282	319	932
	Puttamma's estate	29,763	1,829	..	..	3,078	91	4,998	39	..
Malabar	Kavalpara	81,720	5,209	7,438	..	3,683	332	16,662	8,223	..
	Bimbalam	934	33	..	..	36	61	130	195	..
	Total ..	41,11,056	2,11,361	2,19,562	7,35,233	2,57,624	26,160	14,49,940	2,24,834	82,147

Financial statement of receipts and charges of the several estates under management in fasli 1297 (1887-88)—*cont.*

Districts.	Estates.	CHARGES—*cont.* Repayment of debts.	Other miscellaneous disbursements.	Total charges.	BALANCE TO THE CREDIT OF THE ESTATE AT THE END OF FASLI 1297. Government securities.	Cash.	Value of grain on hand.	Total.	Increase or decrease as compared with the opening balance.	Percentage of establishment charges and Government commission to the total receipts.	Remarks.
		22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31
		RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	ACS.	
Ganjam	Parlákimedi	..	2,37,702	5,22,919	26,92,000	2,94,000	..	29,86,000	+ 1,32,727	4·9	Includes cost of promissory notes of the value of Rs. 1,55,000.
	Chinna Kimedi	..	26,004	86,388	67,100	17,580	..	84,680	+ 10,531	9·2	Do. do. Rs. 17,100.
	Jarada	..	6,763	25,435	..	9,875	..	9,875	— 4,387	10·2	
	Urlám	..	50,375	85,342	2,78,000	30,402	..	3,08,402	+ 14,667	5·6	Do. do. Rs. 38,000.
	Dhárakót	20,310	7,653	77,154	..	32,671	..	32,671	+ 4,202	10·8	
	Bodogodo	10,427	12,985	37,580	10,000	2,920	..	12,920	— 263	15·6	Do. do. Rs. 10,000.
	Kurla	..	15,839	26,558	34,600	10,239	..	44,839	+ 561	10·1	Do. do. Rs. 14,100.
	Aska	..	30,629	41,877	28,300	15,982	..	44,282	+ 24,141	3·3	Do. do. Rs. 28,300.
	Kallikot and Attagada ..	..	6,036	1,33,759	..	76,393	..	76,393	+ 36,187	4·8	
Vizagapatam	Merangi	..	9,534	54,003	1,13,900	9,187	..	1,23,087	+ 2,920	4·9	Do. do. Rs. 3,500.
	Mádugula	..	8,350	15,777	..	5,003	..	5,003	+ 3,946	3·3	
	Chidikada	..	33,183	62,115	30,000	11,234	..	41,234	— 1,124	5·8	Do. do. Rs. 30,000.
	Srípuram	..	4,236	18,640	8,000	4,135	..	12,135	+ 1,020	11·1	
	Chipurupalle	..	756	12,294	..	2,323	..	2,323	— 2,153	9·6	
	Appikonda	25,232	23,417	57,724	..	5,875	..	5,875	— 38,271	6·3	
Godávari	Lakkavaram	87	5,210	22,038	43,500	18,028	..	61,528	— 2,189	14·9	Do. do. Rs. 1,500.
	Nadavapalle	3,017	2,734	15,016	..	2,455	..	2,455	+ 498	12·6	Do. do. Rs. 3,500.
	Meduru	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
Kistna	Mailavaram	2,504	26,179	36,335	24,800	8,090	5,165	38,055	+ 6,305	3·1	
	Kapileswarapuram ..	11,208	25,912	1,22,441	2,72,900	76,483	70	3,49,453	+ 35,572	10·2	
Cuddapah	Chitticherla	..	3,334	6,912	11,000	2,694	..	13,694	+ 1,105	5·7	
North Arcot ..	Kangundi	..	19,063	89,994	31,500	18,894	..	50,394	+ 11,577	14·4	Do. do. Rs. 3,000.
	Timmajamma's Estate ..	..	1,646	1,819	..	23	..	23	+ 23	7·1	Do. do. Rs. 1,500.
	Pulicherla	2,894	1,885	15,807	..	8,698	..	8,698	+ 8,597	9·1	
Chingleput ..	Panaiyur	4,645	3,632	18,766	..	632	2,019	2,651	+ 859	13·8	
Tanjore	Ukkadai	8,517	22,653	45,594	..	19,455	685	20,140	+ 19,619	2·4	
	Gandharvakóta	19,997	24,730	70,266	..	4,646	1,758	6,404	— 14,980	9·6	
	Vadapathimangalam ..	5,461	63,409	1,26,266	..	9,462	27,006	36,468	— 27,211	4·7	
	Mathur	1,100	4,253	8,437	..	133	1,419	1,552	— 2,920	8·9	
	Singavanam	3,000	10,118	18,405	..	2,185	5,140	7,325	+ 1,215	7·8	
	Tiruvalanjuli	348	4,067	7,511	..	1,099	1,562	2,661	+ 1,520	10·3	
	Tyagasamudram ..	..	5,168	10,424	20,000	15,262	1,054	36,316	+ 2,945	6·1	
	Ramasetuswamiar's estate	6,008	24,785	43,107	..	53,071	8,421	61,492	+ 45,293	4·1	
	Pallávaram	..	2,482	4,064	..	2,883	240	3,123	+ 2,888	11·8	

Financial statement of receipts and charges of the several estates under management in fasli 1297 (1887-88)—*cont.*

Districts.	Estates.	CHARGES—*cont.*			BALANCE TO THE CREDIT OF THE ESTATE AT THE END OF FASLI 1297.				Increase or decrease as compared with the opening balance.	Percentage of establishment charges and Government commission to the total receipts.	Remarks.
		Re-payment of debts.	Other miscellaneous disbursements.	Total charges.	Government securities.	Cash.	Value of grain on hand.	Total.			
		22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31
		RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.		
Trichinopoly ..	Peramur	..	10,255	17,687	66,000	6,878	..	72,878	+ 4,184	6·7	Includes cost of purchase of promissory notes of the nominal value of Rs. 8,500.
	Nangavaram	6,216	1,490	18,789	..	2,906	..	2,906	+ 124	7·5	
	Marungapuri	2,825	473	27,823	..	12,422	..	12,422	+ 7,547	1·3	
Madura	Ramnad	2,69,091	91,690	8,86,447	..	72,620	45,517	1,18,137	— 764	8·1	
	Sáptúr	..	84,427	1,23,326	1,47,500	20,844	9,588	1,77,932	+ 85,426	7·7	Includes cost of purchase of promissory notes of the nominal value of Rs. 67,000
	Vadagari	..	5,405	9,989	5,000	7,750	3,636	16,386	+ 3,854	8·3	Do. do. Rs. 5,000.
Tinnevelly	Vádimitta	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
Coimbatore	Metráthi	..	368	4,771	..	5,360	..	5,360	+ 3,484	4·5	
Nílgiris	Neliyalam	204	1,530	3,932	21,800	4,581	..	26,381	+ 2,032	14·1	
Salem	Erumaipati	849	1,488	14,472	..	2,986	..	2,986	+ 1,542	11·2	
	Shulagiri	3,502	2,024	16,978	..	9,741	..	9,741	+ 3,231	6·2	
	Yelagiri	3,449	3,281	16,204	..	13,751	..	13,751	+ 13,487	5·0	
South Canara ..	Sarvottama Row' estate ..	..	222	3,755	5,000	1,849	..	6,849	+ 724	13·1	
	Puttamma's estate ..	5,542	1,351	11,930	..	17,833	..	17,833	+ 15,075	7·1	
Malabar	Kavalpara	..	42,921	67,806	76,000	13,913	4,449	94,362	— 2,108	15·4	Includes cost of purchase of promissory notes of the value of Rs. 32,000.
	Bimbalam	..	158	483	..	451	8	459	— 116	26·1	
	Total ..	4,16,433	9,71,805	31,45,159	39,86,900	9,65,897	1,17,737	50,70,534	4,13,133	7·42	

Enclosure A to statement No. IV showing the expenditure incurred on maramut (works) in the several estates under management in fasli 1297.

Districts.	Estates.	Irrigation works.		Buildings.		Communications.	Establishments.	Total expenditure.	Allotment in the budget.	Percentage of expenditure to allotment.
		New works.	Repairs.	New works.	Repairs.					
		RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	
Ganjam	Parlákimedi	..	52,298	..	2,816	2,000	..	57,114	1,15,168	49·6
	Chinna Kimedi	..	12,153	..	38	..	..	12,191	22,820	53·4
	Jarada	..	2,430	..	..	..	..	2,430	2,960	82·1
	Urlam	..	7,628	..	..	..	..	7,628	7,650	99·7
	Dhárákót	..	4,171	..	455	..	..	4,626	5,000	92·5
	Bodogodo	..	2,033	..	460	..	..	2,493	2,600	95·9
	Kurla	..	715	..	153	..	..	868	2,000	43·4
	Aska	..	1,303	..	..	..	..	1,303	2,500	52·1
	Kallikót and Atagadá	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Vizagapatam	Merangi	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Mádugula	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	400	..
	Chidikada	..	204	..	..	..	..	* 204	100	..
	Srípuram	13,306	1,561	..	442	..	..	15,309	16,000	95·7
	Chipurupalle	..	727	..	..	..	..	727	3,575	20·3
	Appikonda	..	118	..	309	..	..	427	800	53·4
Godávari	Lakkavaram	..	213	..	122	..	..	335	2,120	15·8
	Nadavapalle	..	1,870	..	4,109	..	1,104	7,083	5,489	..
	Meduru	..	239	..	..	..	..	239	600	39·8
Kistna	Mailavaram	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Kapileswarapuram	Particulars not available.				..	..	..	..	..
Cuddapah	Chitticherla	..	484	..	..	..	..	5,370	6,000	89·5
North Arcot	Kangundi	..	484	..	..	..	..	484	3,025	16·0
	Timmajamma's estate	..	5,187	..	2,283	640	1,925	10,045	18,128	55·4
	Pulicherla	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Chingleput	Panaiyur	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	500	..
Tanjore	Ukkadai	..	700	..	..	..	..	700	1,400	50·0
	Gandharvakóta	..	373	..	1,952	..	..	2,325	3,000	77·5
	Vadapathimangalam	..	576	..	657	..	..	1,233	2,030	60·7
	Mathur	..	1,245	..	410	..	..	1,655	3,260	50·8
	Singavanam	..	337	..	100	..	..	437	1,050	41·6
	Tiruvalanjuli	..	181	..	..	..	..	181	1,080	16·7
	Tyagasamudram	..	..	..	100	..	..	100	250	40·0
	Ramasethuswamiar's estate	..	..	..	50	..	..	50	800	6·2
	Palayavanam	..	741	..	599	..	..	1,340	1,978	67·7
Trichinopoly	Peramur	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	575	..
	Nangavaram	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Marungapuri	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Madura	Ramnad	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Sáptúr	..	42,318	..	9,322	..	11,840	† 63,480	90,434	70·2
	Vadagarai	..	6,894	..	982	..	2,296	10,172	12,000	84·8
Tinnevelly	Vadimitta	..	..	..	229	..	..	229	650	35·2
Coimbatore	Metráthi	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Nilgiris	Neliyalam	..	..	..	189	..	..	189	189	100.
Salem	Erumaipati	..	..	..	409	..	..	409	..	..
	Shulagiri	..	194	..	100	..	..	294	715	41·1
	Yelagiri	..	200	..	159	..	..	359	360	100·
South Canara	Sarvottama Rao's estate	..	64	..	..	..	..	64	2,000	3·2
	Puttamma's estate	..	..	..	31	..	..	31	70	44·3
Malabar	Kavalpara	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	200	..
	Bimbalam	..	..	6,459	979	..	..	7,438	13,800	53·9
	Total ..	13,306	1,47,157	6,459	27,455	2,640	17,175	2,19,562	3,53,276	62·1

* This amount includes the amount advanced in fasli 1295 and adjusted during the fasli.
† This represents the figure for aiyan only.

77

No. V-A.—Statement showing the debts due to the estates under the management of the Court of Wards for fasli 1297 (1887-88).

Districts.	Estates.	Debts as per last year's account.	Debts newly contracted and brought to account.	Total.	Debts collected.	Debts written off.	Total.	Remainder due at the end of the fasli.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
		RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Ganjam ..	Chinna Kimedi	..	1,130	1,130	1,130	..	1,130	..
	Jarada	..	280	280	280	..	280	..
	Dhárákót	..	22	22	22	..	22	..
	Kurla	..	413	413	413	..	413	..
	Aska	..	25,018	25,018	25,018	..	25,018	..
	Kallikót and Atagadá ..	..	1,291	1,291	1,291	..	1,291	..
Vizagapatam ..	Merangi	3,643	..	3,643	2,000	..	2,000	1,643
	Mádugula	788	..	788	100	198	298	490
	Chidikada	..	82	82	82	..	82	..
	Chípurupalle	10,146	..	10,146	991	..	991	9,155
	Appikonda	40,206	2,291	42,497	6,910	180	7,090	35,407
Godávari ..	Lakkavaram	19,160	492	19,652	1,235	8,019	9,254	10,398
	Nadavapalle	12,864	43	12,907	693	429	1,122	11,785
Kistna ..	Mailavaram	..	3,472	3,472	981	98	1,079	2,393
	Kapileswarapuram ..	..	81,849	81,849	4,052	..	4,052	77,797
North Arcot ..	Kangundi	47,762	160	47,922	3,853	310	4,163	43,759
	Timmajamma's	..	5,200	5,200	..	..	..	5,200
	Pulicherla	..	1,277	1,277	1,240	..	1,240	37
Chingleput ..	Panaiyur	..	11,942	11,942	2,090	..	2,090	9,852
Tanjore ..	Ukkadai	32,667	284	32,951	* 10,098	..	10,098	22,853
	Gandharvakóta	20,811	7,467	28,278	825	1,491	2,316	25,962
	Vadapathimangalam ..	99,629	13,140	1,12,769	* 11,156	..	11,156	1,01,613
	Mathur	3,635	1,096	4,731	* 919	..	919	3,812
	Singavanam	17,381	587	17,968	144	704	848	17,120
	Tiruvalanjuli	49,037	2,876	51,913	..	..	..	51,913
	Tyagasamudram ..	64,982	3,242	68,224	4,912	329	5,241	62,983
	Ramasethuswamiar's estate	19,680	1,871	21,551	962	..	962	20,589
	Palayavanam	..	11,644	11,644	380	..	380	11,264
Trichinopoly ..	Peramur	91	5	96	23	..	23	73
	Nangavaram	2,287	22	2,309	1,862	22	1,884	425
	Marungápuri	..	4,199	4,199	150	..	150	4,049
Madura ..	Sáptúr	1,08,029	1	1,08,030	83,026	5,397	88,423	19,607
	Vadagarai	466	..	466	..	..	..	466
Nílgiris ..	Neliyalam	..	46,097	46,097	1,679	..	1,679	44,418
Salem	Erumaipati	449	..	449	266	..	266	183
	Yelagiri	..	3,186	3,186	245	132	377	2,809
South Canara.	Pattamma's estate ..	1,86,261	39,905	2,26,166	18,436	..	18,436	2,07,730
	Total ..	7,39,974	2,70,584	10,10,558	1,87,464	17,309	2,04,773	8,05,785

* The difference between these and the figures in column 9 of statement No. IV is due to the inclusion in this statement of grain collections on account of advances recoverable.

No. V-B.—Statement showing the debts due by the estates under the management of the Court of Wards for fasli 1297 (1887-88).

Districts.	Estates.	Debts as per last year's account.	Debts contracted or newly brought to account and interest accumulated in the fasli.	Total.	Debts paid off.	Debts struck off.	Total.	Remainder due by the estates at the end of the fasli.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
		RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Ganjam ..	Dhárákót	..	20,310	20,310	20,310	..	20,310	..
	Bodogodo	..	10,427	10,427	10,427	..	10,427	..
Vizagapatam ..	Madugula	60,301	..	60,301	..	..	..	60,301
	Appikonda	..	25,232	25,232	25,232	..	25,232	..
Godávari ..	Lakkavaram	87	4	91	87	..	87	4
	Nadavapalle	11,723	1,467	13,190	3,017	..	3,017	*10,173
Kistna	Mailavaram	..	2,504	2,504	2,504	..	2,504	..
	Kapileswarapuram ..	..	9,170	9,170	* 3,230	..	3,230	5,940
North Arcot ..	Pulicherla	..	90,925	90,925	2,894	*2,750	5,644	85,281
Chingleput ..	Panaiyur	..	25,654	25,654	4,645	..	4,645	21,009
Tanjore ..	Ukkadai	8,517	642	9,159	8,517	..	8,517	642
	Gandharvakota ..	2,84,447	19,442	3,03,889	19,997	..	19,997	2,83,892
	Vadapathimangalam ..	38,099	2,362	40,461	5,461	..	5,461	35,000
	Mathur	1,125	..	1,125	1,100	..	1,100	25
	Singavanam	64,817	..	64,817	3,000	..	3,000	61,817
	Tiruvalanjuli	30,132	2,099	32,231	348	..	348	31,883
	Ramasetuswamiar's ..	1,24,997	8,305	1,33,302	6,008	..	6,008	1,27,294
	Palayavanam	..	2,11,389	2,11,389	..	..	..	2,11,389
Trichinopoly ..	Nangavaram	7,102	205	7,307	6,216	..	6,216	1,091
	Marungapuri	..	77,445	77,445	2,825	..	2,825	74,620
Madura ..	Ramnad	2,61,048	8,043	2,69,091	2,69,091	..	2,69,091	..
Nílgiris ..	Neliyalam	..	685	685	204	..	204	481
Salem	Erumaipati	2,800	149	2,949	849	..	849	2,100
	Shulagiri	31,412	1,573	32,985	3,502	..	3,502	29,483
	Yelagiri	..	18,154	18,154	3,449	..	3,449	14,705
South Canara ..	Puttamma's	14,942	600	15,542	5,542	..	5,542	10,000
	Total ..	9,41,549	5,36,786	14,78,335	4,08,455	2,750	4,11,205	10,67,130

* Amount written off on foreclosure of mortgage.

* *Note.*—The debts paid subsequent to the assumption of the estate by the court has alone been entered in this statement; while in statement IV debts paid prior to it have also been included.

No. VI.—STATEMENT showing the educational progress made

Districts.	Names of estates.	Names of the wards.	Age at the end of the fasli.	Age and attainments when taken under the Court. Years.	Class for which fit.	Class in which the ward was reading during the year.	Number of school days.	Number of days the ward attended school.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Ganjam ..	Parlákimedi .	The Ward's sons :— 1. Sri Jagannada Narayana Deo.	17	..	..	III ..	209	193
		2. Sri Padmanabha Narayana Deo.	15	..	..	Do. ..	209	195
	Chinna Kimedi.	Sri Brajakisora Deo.	19	..	..	Do. ..	209	194
	Jarada ..	Sri Raghunatha Samanta Raja Deo.	17	..	..	Do. ..	209	172
	Urlám ..	Kandakurai Mahalakshmamma.	19	..	..	..	..	..
	Dhárákót ..	Madana Mohana Simha Deo.	12	..	..	I ..	73	65
	Aska ..	Tripasur Sundaramma.	25	..	..	..	..	..
	Kurla ..	1. Tirupasur Venkata Kondal Rao.	17	15	IV Lower.	IV Lower and IV Upper.	206½	187½
		2. T. Venkata Narasinga Rao.	15	13	Do.	Do. ..	206½	194
		3. T. Venkata Jagganada Rao.	13	..	..	Lower and Upper Primary.	206½	155
		4. T. Venkata Rama Rao.	51	..	..	..	..	..
Vizagapatam	Meranghi ..	Sri Satrucherla Jagannadha Row Bahadur.	20	3	Not of school-going age.	..	..	..
	Madugula ..	Sri Jagannada Bhupti Deo.	19	16	..	..	..	..

by the wards and their relations in fasli 1297 (1887-88)—*cont.*

Subjects studied in the year.	Results of the annual examination.				General remarks.
	English language.	Mathematics.	History and geography.	Vernacular.	
10	11	12	13	14	15
1. English—Garthwaite's Second Reader and Royal Reader No. III. 2. Arithmetic—Vulgar fractions. 3. Geography—India and Asia. 4. History—India in Oriya. 5. Telugu—Joyes' Second Reader and Rajasekara Charitra; and Venkayya's Grammar, the whole. 6. Oriya—(Prose) Sakuntala Upakyana, 10 pages; (poetry) Sundarakanda, whole; Elements of Grammar.	Progress fair.	Fair ..	Geography good; History fair.	Telugu Texts—fair, Grammar, Oriya Texts and Grammar good.	Under Mr. Taylor's private tuition. Attendance good; hand-writing, English, Telugu and Oriya good; general behaviour satisfactory.
Do.	Progress good.	Do. ..	Do. ..	Do. ..	Do. do.
English—Garthwaite's Second Reader and Royal Reader No. III. Arithmetic—Vulgar fractions. Geography—the map of Asia and India. History of India (Oriya) Telegu—Joyes' Second Reader and Rajasekara 30 pages; and Venkayya's Grammar. Oriya—Hitopodesa Ramayana; (30 pp.) and Elements of Grammar.	English Text, Reading and Dictation poor, Translation and Grammar middling.	Poor ..	Moderate ..	Fair ..	Under Mr. Taylor's private tuition. At Parlákimedi attendance good; general behaviour very satisfactory; hand-writing and English poor; Telugu fair and Oriya good.
1. English—Garthwaite's Second Reader and Royal Reader No. III. 2. Arithmetic—Vulgar Fractions. 3. Geography—the maps of Asia and India. 4. History—India (Oriya). 5. Telugu—Joyes' Second Reader and Rajasekara, 30 pages. Venkayya's Grammar, whole. 6. Oriya—Hitopodesa, whole; and Elements of Grammar and Ramayana, 30 pages.	English Text, Grammar and Reading fair; Dictation and Translation very fair.	Slow ..	Fair ..	Telugu fair; Oriya, Grammar and Translation fair; Ramayana middling.	Under Mr. Taylor's private tuition. Attendance fair; hand-writing, English and Telugu fair; Oriya good; general behaviour satisfactory.
....	..	..	..	..	There was no governess for the minor during the year.
1. English—Garthwaite's First Reader, 3 pages. 2. Arithmetic—Numeration and simple addition. 3. Telugu First Book, First Lesson. 4. Oriya—Natikadho, Part First, the whole; Vyakarana Manjari, First half.	..	..	..	..	Under Mr. Taylor's private tuition. Attendance good; hand-writing, Telugu and Oriya middling; general behaviour very satisfactory.
....	..	..	..	..	The proprietrix was illiterate when the estate was taken under court's management. She is now taught by a private governess whom she pays out of her Towjee (maintenance).
....	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{3}{4}$	Attendance of 1 and 2 regular and their general behaviour respectful; hand-writing of 1 middling and of 2 and 3 bad. Attendance of 3 irregular and his general behaviour not satisfactory.
....	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{17}{40}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{3}{4}$	
....	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{3}{5}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	..	
....	..	..	..	..	No. 4 studying under a private tutor at Aska.
....	..	..	..	..	Under Mr. Baird's tuition till April 1888. Attendance irregular; general behaviour good; hand-writing fair.
....	..	..	..	..	Since released from wardship.

No. VI.—Statement showing the educational progress made

Districts.	Names of estates.	Names of the wards.	Age at the end of the fasli.	Age and attainments when taken under the Court.		Class in which the ward was reading during the year.	Number of school days.	Number of days the ward attended school.
				Years.	Class for which fit.			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Vizagapatam —*cont.*	Sripuram ..	Sri Raja Innuganti RajagopalaRayanin Garu.	13	5	..	IV. ..	210	140
	Appicondah..	1. Mindi Ramajogi Garu.	12	10	I.	II. ..	232	160
		2. Mindi Sri Ramachendra Garu.	10	8	Preparatory.	I.	232	189
		3. Mindi Subramaniyam Garu.	7	5	Not of school-going age.	Preparatory.	232	136
Godávari ..	Lakkavaram .	Sri Raja Mantripragada Bhujanga Rao.	12	3	III.	IV. Lower.	185	176
	Nadavapalle .	1. Sri Raja Bollipragada Venkata Subba Rao.	20	17	IV Lower.	IV Upper.	153	113
		2. Sri Raja Sundara-Ramaswami.	14	11	I.	Private study.	..	..
Kistna ..	Kapileswarapuram.	Raja Venkata Rangayya Appa Rao, Bahadur.	15	14	III ..	III ..	..	..
	Mailavaram ..	1. Raja Suraveni Venkata Purushottama Jaganadha Rao, Bahadur.	16	16	..	III ..	..	..
		2. Raja Suraveni Venkata Purushottama Appiah Rao, Bahadur.	13	13	..	..	..	..
		3. Raja Suraveni Venkata Purushotama, Narayana Rao, Bahadur.	10	10	..	..	..	..
Cuddapah ..	Chitticherla ..	Tarigonda Venkatappa Nayanivaru.	19	7	Preparatory.	IV Upper..	229	191
	Kangundi ..	1. Ramasubba Razu Nayanivaru.	18	1883	III Standard.	..	..	..
North Arcot.	..	2. Subbarazu Nayanivaru.	16	..	..	..	..	..
	Timmaji Ammall estate.	Timmaji Ammall ..	10	1887	I Standard.	I Standard.	..	..
	Pulicherla ..	Venkata Chendrappa Nayanivaru.	13	12	I ..	I	..	..
Chingleput ..	Panaiyur and Erambedu.	1. T. Kalappa Mudaliar.	13	12	IV Lower.	IV Lower and Upper	187	178
		2. T. Armuga Mudaliar.	11	10	II	II and III.	187	180

by the wards and their relations in fasli 1297 (1887-88)—*cont.*

Subjects studied in the year.	Results of the annual examination.				General remarks.
	English language.	Mathematics.	History and geography.	Vernacular.	
10	11	12	13	14	15
....	..	..	..	..	Attendance good; general behaviour good; hand-writing fair.
....	$\frac{4}{50}$	$\frac{12}{50}$	$\frac{20}{40}$	$\frac{25}{80}$	Attendance good; general behaviour good; hand-writing, English and Telugu fair.
....	$\frac{22}{40}$	$\frac{15}{40}$	..	$\frac{13}{40}$	
....	..	$\frac{30}{40}$	..	$\frac{22}{40}$	
....	3	$\frac{3}{8}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	The ward is studying in the Church Mission School, Ellore. His studies are progressing satisfactorily; attendance good.
....	..	Middling.	..	..	Attendance very regular; behaviour good; hand-writing moderately fair.
....	..	..	..	..	Hand-writing fair.
1. English—History of Sandford and Merton. 2. Sanskrit—Grammar Bharavi.	..	..	..	..	The ward is now studying in the Noble College, Bandar.
....	..	..	..	..	....
....	..	..	..	..	The minors are under private tuition.
....	..	..	..	..	
....	$\frac{5}{16}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	Attendance not satisfactory, hand-writing fair; general behaviour good.
1. English—Third Reader, Grimm's fairy tales—Arsop's Fables. 2. Arithmetic—Very proficient in the subject. 3. Mathematics—Has made some advance. 4. Geograpy—General idea of the World; and particular knowledge of Asia. 5. History—India, Early period.	..	..	..	..	Under Mr. Creighton's tuition. Has begun freehand drawing and music; does fairly well in gymnastic. He is a good rider. Conduct most satisfactory.
1. English—Second Reader, Nursery tales and a collection of fairy tales and Morris' English Grammar (Primer). 2. Arithmetic—Vulgar fractions and easy problems. 3. Geography—of the world and of Asia in particular. 4. History—India, Early period.	..	..	..	..	Under Mr. Creighton's tuition. Conduct and application good; hand-writing fair.
1. Telugu—First Book, pages 1—20. 2. Arithmetic—Simple addition, subtraction and mental. 3. Dictation—Simple passages.	..	..	..	..	....
1. English—First Reader, whole. 2. Arthmitic—Compound rules. 3. Geography—Madras Presidency. 4. Telugu—Second Reader.	..	..	..	..	Attendance irregular, owing to illness; hand-writing fair; general behaviour good.
....	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{5}{12}$	Attendance regular; general behaviour good.
....	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{5}{12}$	Hand-writing of first fair, and second bad.

No. VI.—Statement showing the educational progress made

Districts.	Names of estates.	Names of the wards.	Age at the end of the fasli.	Age and attainments when taken under the Court.		Class in which the ward was reading during the year.	Number of school days.	Number of days the ward attended school.
				Years.	Class for which fit.			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Coimbatore ..	Metrathi ..	Venkata Rangaswami Nathama Naiker.	7	..	..	..	..	..
Salem ..	Shulagiri ..	Striman Masthi Mummadi Ramachendra Ragu Garu.	6	..	..	I	279	197
	Yelagiri ..	1. Muni Papi Reddi.	15	14	V Standard.	III and IV Lower.	177	144½
		2. Muni Venkat Reddi.	9	8	III ..	III Standard.	94	63
		3. Muni Ramalinga Reddi.	5	4	Primary class.	Primary class.	..	..
Tanjore ..	Mathar ..	M. S. Nataraja Aiyar.	16	11	III ..	VI and Junior F.A. classes.	141½	120½
	Ukkadai ..	Annaswami Tevar..	15	6	Elementary.	VI Upper S.P.G. College, Tanjore.	122	80
	Gandhar Vakóta.	Suryanarayana Pandrathar.	14	7	Do.	III S.P.G. High School, Tanjore.	173	131
	Vadapathi Mangalam.	1. Somasundra Mudaliar.	18	11	II ..	VI Tiruvalur L. F. High School.	157	139⅛
		2. Minatchi Sundrum Mudaliar *alias* Ramalinga Mudaliar.	10	3	..	II Standard.	..	..
	Tyagasa Mudaram.	1. Ramaswami Iyer.	18	15	..	..	..	..
		2. Sitaramier ..	16	13	III Standard.	III ..	173	21
		3. Sivaramier ..	8	5	I Standard.	I and II ..	120	85
		4. Subramier ..	16	13	III Standard.	..	173	71
	Singavannam.	Dorai Raju *alias* Ayarappan Naikan gopalar.	15	11	IV Standard.	IV Lower ..	141	89
	Ramasetaswamiar's estate.	1. Setaramaswami ..	19	..	..	..	..	..
		2. Meruswami ..	15	..	II ..	V Standard.	233	97
		3. Ramadosswami ..	11	..	I Standard.	III ..	233	121
		4. Rendaraswami ..	7	..	..	..	208	139
	Palayavanam.	Andavaraya Vanangumudi, Pandavathur.	5	..	..	..	..	..
Trichinopoly ..	Peramur ..	Prasama Venkatasa Iyer son of the ward.	15	..	..	IV and V ..	213	148
	Nanguvaram Doraisamy Iyer's estate.	1. N. D. Lakshminarayana Iyer.	13	..	..	V and VI ..	174	167
		2. N. D. Subbarama Iyer.	8	..	..	II ..	..	..
Madura ..	Ramnad ..	1. Bhaskarasamisetupati.	19	5	..	IX Class Madras Christian College and private tuition under Mr. Creighton.	..	..

by the wards and their relations in fasli 1297, 1887-88.—*cont.*

Subjects studied in the year.	Results of the annual examination. English language.	Mathematics.	History and geography.	Vernacular.	General remarks.
10	11	12	13	14	15
English—Alphabets.	..	..	..	..	Conduct generally satisfactory. The ward is under the tuition of his guardian.
Tamil—First Book.	..	..	..	..	
Has learnt the first two lessons of the Telugu First Book.	..	..	..	..	The ward is young.
....	$\frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{7}{24}$	Attendance good; hand-writing poor; behaviour very good.
....	..	..	..	..	Attendance rather poor; hand-writing fair; behaviour very good.
....	..	..	..	..	Attendance regular; hand-writing poor; behaviour very good.
....	$\frac{1}{8}$	$\frac{4}{7}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	Passed the Matriculation Examination. Attendance good; hand-writing fair; behaviour satisfactory.
....	$\frac{2}{7}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{50}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	Middling in each subject; hand-writing fair; conduct good.
....	poor ..	bad ..	Middling ..	Fair ..	Hand-writing fair; conduct and progress not satisfactory; attendance irregular.
....	..	..	..	..	Attendance fair; hand-writing good; behaviour very good.
....	..	$\frac{7}{9}$	..	..	Attendance regular; hand-writing fair; behaviour excellent.
Studying Sanskrit.	..	..	..	..	Attendance regular; hand-writing fair; behaviour good.
....	..	..	..	..	Attendance and behaviour very bad; hand-writing very poor.
....	$\frac{1}{5}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	Attendance bad; writing very poor and behaviour good.
....	..	..	..	..	Attendance bad; hand-writing poor; conduct good.
Studying Sanskrit	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{3}{4}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{3}{4}$	Attendance irregular; hand-writing fair; behaviour and gymnastics good.
....	..	..	..	..	Does not read in any school, but takes instructions from a private tutor in religious science requisite for his profession as a priest of the royal family at Tanjore; behaviour good.
....	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{30}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{10}$	Attendance very irregular; hand-writing fair; conduct good.
....	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	..	$\frac{1}{2}$	Attendance very irregular; hand-writing legible; conduct good.
....	..	..	..	..	A beginner; attendance irregular; conduct good.
....	..	..	..	..	Studies the Tamil Alphabets.
....	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	Attendance very bad; hand-writing fair; behaviour not satisfactory.
....	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	Attendance and behaviour very good.
....	..	..	..	..	Progress satisfactory; hand-writing improving.
....	..	..	..	..	Exhibited commendable diligence and creditable progress. He is a very good rider and has made good progress in music. His conduct has been very commendable.

No. VI.—Statement showing the educational progress made

Districts.	Names of estates.	Names of the wards.	Age at the end of the fasli.	Age and attainments when taken under the Court.		Class in which the ward was reading during the year.	Number of school days.	Number of days the ward attended school.
				Years.	Class for which.			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Madura-*cont*		2. Dinakarasamisetupati.	16	3	..	VIII Standard.	..	..
	Saptur ..	Ramaswamikama Naikar.	6	..	I Standard.	I Standard.	..	..
	Vadagarai ..	Ramabadhara Naikar.	14	8	..	IV Lower and Upper.	224	224
Tinnevelly ..	Vadimittal ..	Ponnuswam Moniagar.	9	1	I Standard.	I Standard.	..	..
South Canara.	B. Sarvotama Row's Estate.	B. Sarvotama Row ..	17	..	..	IV Upper.	225	103
Malabar ..	Kavalpara ..	Kamara Raman. ..	6	..	..	II Standard.	248	181⅓

by the wards and their relations in fasli 1297 (1887-88)—*cont.*

Subjects studied in the year.	Results of the Annual Examination.				General remarks.
	English language.	Mathematics.	History and geography.	Vernacular.	
10	11	12	13	14	15
....	..	..	..	..	[illegible] hibited commendable dili[illegible]ce and creditable pro[illegible]s. He is a very good [illegible]der and has made good [illegible]ogress in drawing. [illegible]and-writing fair.
....	..	..	..	..	
....	$\frac{6}{8}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{3}{4}$	$\frac{3}{4}$	Attendance very regular; hand-writing neat and clear.
English—First Book; Tamil—Second Book and Pope's Grammar; Arithmetic—Simple division.	..	..	..	..	....
....	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{4}{7}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	Attendance unsatisfactory; hand-writing middling; behaviour good.
....	..	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	Has passed the Second Standard Examination. Attendance regular and punctual; hand-writing tolerable and behaviour satisfactory.

No. 179/20/7/89

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

1889.

Court of Wards,

MADRAS.

Printed May 28th.

Proceedings, 16*th* May 1889, *No.* 1151.

(True Extract)

Forwarded to the Manager Sapthur for information & guidance. His attention is drawn to the last sentence of the Colr's footnote & he is requested to submit his report so as to reach this office precisely on the 1st proximo.

[illegible]

20.7.89 [illegible] (OC) [illegible]

Submitting to Government the Administration Report of the Court of Wards for fasli 1297.

No. 1957/19/6/89.

Communicated to the Deputy Collector Madura Division. His special attention is drawn to the portions marked. He is requested to submit his report with reference to para 1 on page 7 of the Proceedings. His special attention is drawn to the last para of the Proceedings ("Conclusion") and he is requested to see that his report is sent to this office in time.

[illegible]

M. [illegible]

for Collector

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

20.6.89

[illegible] [illegible]

83

PROCEEDINGS OF THE COURT OF WARDS.

THE FULL BOARD.

READ—the following paper :—

No. 1980-C.

MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying statement, compiled from Superintending Engineers' budget estimates for estate works proposed to be carried out by the Public Works Department during 1889-90 (fasli 1299), is forwarded to the Court of Wards, through the Revenue Department, with the request that a sum of Rs. 2,50,348 as shown in the margin may be placed at the disposal of the Public Works Department as early as practicable.

	RS.
Works	2,03,535
Cost of Public Works Supervision at 23 per cent. on Rs. 2,03,535 ...	46,813
Total ...	2,50,348

2. In view of the importance of handing over the works in the Ramnad estate with the accounts as complete as possible, it has been decided to stop works on the 1st August 1889, between which date and 9th October 1889, when it is understood the zemindar will assume charge of the estate, measurements will be checked and the accounts brought into order. The accompanying statement therefore provides for expenditure in the Ramnad estate up to 31st July 1889.

(By order.)

MADRAS,
23rd April 1889.

(Signed) W. L. C. BADDELEY, Capt., R.E.,
Under Secy. to Govt. P.W.D.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, with one statement.

Endorsement No. 2739.

Forwarded to the Court of Words.

OOTACAMUND,
1st May 1889.

(Signed) C. A. GALTON,
Secretary to Government.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

BUDGET estimate of works proposed to be carried out by the Public Works Department in the estates under the Court of Wards during the year 1889-90.

Divisions.	Estates.	Locality.	Item No.	Particulars.	Amount of estimate.	Outlay. Actual to end of 1887-88.	Outlay. Probable during 1888-89.	Grant, 1889-90. Amount.	Grant, 1889-90. Total.	Item No.	Remarks and references.
				Buildings.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.		
Ganjam ..	Parlákimedi ..	..	..	Original works	..	..	..	8,000	8,000	..	
	Urlám	..	..	Do.	..	..	..	2,000	2,000	..	
	Jarada	..	..	Do.	..	..	..	750	750	..	
	Chinna Kimedi ..	..	..	Do.	..	..	..	3,000	3,000	..	
	Dáhárkót ..	..	..	Do.	..	..	..	3,000	3,000	..	
	Bodogodo ..	..	..	Do.	..	..	..	500	500	..	
	Kurla	..	..	Do.	..	..	..	200	200	..	
	Aska	..	..	Do.	..	..	..	200	200	..	
	Kallikót and Atagadá.	..	..	Do.	..	..	..	10,000	10,000	..	
				Ain (the funds or revenue proper of the estate)—							
Ramnad	Ramnad	Pogalore ..	..	Original works— Constructing a granary at Pogalore.	4,237	..	1,000	3,237	3,237	..	G.O., No. 2947 W., dated 19th September 1888.
				Total, Buildings ..	..	..	..	..	30,887	..	
				Communications.							
Ganjam ..	Parlákimedi ..	..	..	Original works	..	..	..	10,000	10,000	..	
	Urlám	..	..	Do.	..	..	..	4,000	4,000	..	
	Jarada	..	..	Do.	..	..	..	5,000	5,000	..	
	Kallikót and Atagadá.	..	..	Do.	..	..	..	10,000	10,000	..	
				Total, Communications ..	..	..	..	..	29,000	..	
				Irrigation.							
Ganjam ..	Parlákimedi ..	..	..	Original works	..	..	..	35,000	35,000	..	
	Urlám	..	..	Do.	..	..	..	8,000	8,000	..	
	Jarada	..	..	Do.	..	..	..	1,000	1,000	..	
	Chinna Kimedi ..	..	..	Do.	..	..	..	5,000	5,000	..	
	Dhárákót ..	..	..	Do.	..	..	..	1,000	1,000	..	
	Bodogodo ..	..	..	Do.	..	..	..	1,000	1,000	..	
	Aska	..	..	Do.	..	..	..	200	200	..	
	Kallikót and Atagadá.	..	..	Do.	..	..	..	2,000	2,000	..	
Vizagapatam ..	Chidikada ..	..	..	Original works— Constructing anicut across Bodderu river and a head sluice at the head of Boddeti channel.	..	..	..	5,295	5,295	..	

Budget estimate of works proposed to be carried out by the Public Works Department in the estates under the Court of Wards, &c.—*cont.*

Divisions.	Estates.	Locality.	Item No.	Particulars.	Amount of estimate.	OUTLAY. Actual to end of 1887-88.	OUTLAY. Probable during 1888-89.	GRANT, 1889-90. Amount.	GRANT, 1889-90. Total.	Item No.	Remarks and references.
				Irrigation—cont.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.		
				Ain (the funds or revenue proper of the estate)—							
Ramnad	Ramnad	Mudukalatur.	..	Sickal tank	..	..	..	1,000	1,000		
		Tiruchuly.	..	Pullimadam tank	..	..	..	500	500	1,500	
		..	..	Ramnad large tank—improvements to the	21,550	..	18,957	2,593	..		G.O., No. 3096 W., dated 3rd October 1888.
		..	..	Kalary tank do. do. ..	17,610	..	7,821	2,000	..		Proceedings, Court of Wards, No. 944, dated 9th August 1886.
		..	..	Rajasingamangalam tank—do. do.	20,030	..	16,668	3,362	.. 7,955		G.O., No. 2681 W., dated 29th August 1888.
		..	..	Total, Irrigation ..	..	..	..	..	67,950	..	

Repairs.

Executive Division.	Name of estate.	Item No.	Projects.	Amount.	Total.	Item No.
			BUILDINGS.	RS.	RS.	
			Repairs.			
Ganjam	Parlákimedi ..	..	Repairs	5,000	5,000	..
	Urlám	..	Do.	2,000	2,000	..
	Jarada	..	Do.	750	750	..
	Chinna Kimedi ..	..	Do.	2,000	2,000	..
	Dhárákót ..	..	Do.	700	700	..
	Bodogodo ..	..	Do.	1,000	1,000	..
	Kurla	..	Do.	2,000	2,000	..
	Aska	..	Do.	300	300	..
	Chakipalli ..	..	Do.	600	600	..
	Tarlapatta ..	..	Do.	600	600	..
	Kallikót and Atagadá.	..	Do.	2,000	2,000	..
Godávari, Western..	Lakkavaram ..	..	Repairing choultry buildings	123		
	Do. ..	..	Do. proprietor's house	170	293	
Ramnad			Ain (the funds or revenue proper of the estate)—			
	Ramnad	..	Repairing the palace at Ramnad	2,000		
	Do.	..	Do. the estate house at Pámban ..	1,000		
	Do.	..	Watcher for the halting shed at Rajasingamangalam.	50	3,050	..
			Devastanum (Temple Endowment Fund)—			
	Do.	..	Lump sum for any unforseen works ..	550	550	..
			Chatram (Charitable Endowment Fund)—			
	Do.	..	Lump sum for any unforeseen works ..	1,500	1,500	..
			Total, Buildings ..	..	22,343	
			COMMUNICATIONS.			
			Repairs.			
Ganjam	Parlákimedi ..	..	Repairs	12,000	12,000	..
	Urlám	..	Do.	700	700	..
	Jarada	..	Do.	500	500	..
	Kallikót and Atagadá.	..	Do.	3,000	3,000	..
			Total, Communications ..	..	16,200	
			IRRIGATION.			
			Repairs.			
Ganjam ..	Parlákimedi ..	..	Repairs	5,000	5,000	..
	Urlám	..	Do.	3,000	3,000	..
	Jarda	..	Do.	3,000	3,000	..
	Chinna Kimedi ..	..	Do.	5,000	5,000	..
	Dhárákót ..	..	Do.	1,300	1,300	..
	Bodogodo ..	..	Do.	1,500	1,500	..
	Kurla	..	Do.	500	500	..
	Aska	..	Do.	300	300	..
	Chakipalli ..	..	Do.	200	200	..
	Kallikót and Atagadá.	..	Do.	10,000	10,000	..
Godávari, Western ..	Lakkavaram ..	..	Repairing Pedda tank	300	300	..
Coimbatore	Erumaipati ..	..	Do. the bund and weir of Erumaipati tank.	400	400	..
	Yelagiri	..	Do. Avadanapatty tank	500		
	Do.	..	Do. Yelagiri tank	750		
	Do.	..	Do. Chinnakavakaramputty tank ..	405	1,655	
Ramad			Ain (the funds or revenue proper of the estate)			
	Ramnad	..	Areagudy tank	2,000		
	Do.	..	Akramasi do.	1,000		
	Do.	..	Kavamur do.	1,000	4,000	..
			Devastanam (Temple Endowment Fund)—			
	Do.	..	Lump sum for any unforeseen works ..	500	500	..
			Chatram (Charitable Endowment Fund)—			
	Do.	..	Lump sum for any unforeseen works ..	500	500	..
			Total, Irrigation ..	..	37,155	

ABSTRACT of the amounts required during 1889-90 (Fasli 1299) for works proposed to be carried out by the Public Works Department in the estates under the Court of Wards.

Executive division.	Name of estate.	Original works.				Repairs.				Grand Total.
		Buildings.	Communi-cations.	Irrigation.	Total.	Buildings.	Communi-cations.	Irrigation.	Total.	
		RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Ganjam	Parlákimedi	8,000	10,000	35,000	53,000	5,000	12,000	5,000	22,000	75,000
	Urlám	2,000	4,000	8,000	14,000	2,000	700	3,000	5,700	19,700
	Jarada	750	5,000	1,000	6,750	750	500	3,000	4,250	11,000
	Chinna Kimedi	3,000	..	5,000	8,000	2,000	..	5,000	7,000	15,000
	Dhárákót	3,000	..	1,000	4,000	700	..	1,300	2,000	6,000
	Bodogodo	500	..	1,000	1,500	1,000	..	1,500	2,500	4,000
	Kurla	200	..	..	200	2,000	..	500	2,500	27,000
	Aska	200	..	200	400	300	..	300	600	1,000
	Kallikót and Atagadá	10,000	10,000	2,000	22,000	2,000	3,000	10,000	15,000	37,000
	Chakipalli	..	..	..	..	600	..	200	800	800
	Tarlapatta	..	..	..	..	600	..	..	600	600
Vizagapatam	Chidikada	..	..	5,295	5,295	..	..	..	..	5,295
Godávari, Western	Lakkavaram	..	..	..	..	293	..	300	593	593
Coimbatore	Erumaipati	..	..	..	..	..	..	400	400	400
Do.	Yelagiri	..	..	..	..	..	..	1,655	1,655	1,655
Ramnad	Ramnad	3,237	..	9,455	12,692	5,100	..	5,000	10,100	22,792
	Total	30,887	29,000	67,950	1,27,837	22,343	16,200	37,155	75,698	2,03,535
	Establishment at 23 per cent.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	46,813
	Grand Total	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	2,50,348

RESOLUTION—dated 18th May 1889, No. 1155.

Communicated to the Collectors of Ganjam, Vizagapatam, Godávari, Madura and Salem, with the request that the amounts asked for may be placed at the disposal of the Public Works Department and the necessary provisions made, as usual, in the budgets for fasli 1299. The provision should include cost of supervision at 23 per cent. on the outlay.

2. It is requested that details may be furnished for the lump sums provided in the statement now sent.

3. The Collectors in charge of estates and the Collector of Ganjam in particular are requested to submit punctually the budgets for fasli 1299 which were due in this office on the 10th instant. A list of works for which provision is made in the budget should invariably accompany the budget and care should be taken that provision is made only for those works which are likely to be carried out during the fasli.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) M. HAMMICK,
Secretary.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) C. CLARK,
Registrar.

To all Collectors in charge of Wards' estates.

Copy to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department,
through the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.
" " Accountant-General.

Exd. W. J. Lewis.

86

No. 5/24/5/89 R. 1991/25/5/89

Communicated to the Deputy Collector Madras Dn for information.

M. Srinivasa Rao
For Collector

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

1889.

Court of Wards,

MADRAS.

Printed June 8th.

Proceedings, 18*th May* 1889, *No.* 1155.

(True copy)
Forwarded to the Manager of Saptur for information.

D. Collr

Communicating, with instructions to the Collectors concerned, Government Order, Revenue Department, communicating Proceedings of the Public Works Department forwarding budget estimate of works proposed to be carried out by the Pulic Works Department in 1889-90, and requesting the Collectors of Wards' estates to submit the budgets punctually.

COURT OF WARDS, 7TH JUNE 1889, No. 1345.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE COURT OF WARDS.

THE HON. J. GROSE, M.A.

READ—the following letter from H. LE FANU, Esq., Collector of North Arcot, to the Secretary to the Court of Wards, dated Palmanér, 11th May 1889, Ref. on Genl. Ver. No. 1253 :—

Venkatapathi Naidu, Sheristadar of the Kangundi minor estate, has contracted debts and half of his salary has been attached and remitted to the District Munsif's Court every month. The Government have ruled that where half the salary of a Government official is constantly being attached for debt, or has been continuously under attachment for more than two years, he will be dealt with in the same way as if he had taken advantage of the insolvency court (*vide* Board's Proceedings, No. 80, dated 5th February 1889). This order refers only to Government servants; but I think it should be applicable also to the servants of the Court of Wards.

2. I have the honor to solicit the Court's instructions on the point.

RESOLUTION—dated 7th June 1889, No. 1345.

The rules in question will apply to servants in the employ of the Court of Wards.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) M. HAMMICK,
Secretary.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) C. CLARK,
Registrar.

To the Collector of North Arcot.
Copy to all other Collectors in charge of Wards' estates.

Exd. A. Aubert.

1889.

Court of Wards,

MADRAS.

Printed June 24th.

Proceedings, 7th June 1889, *No.* 1345.

22/7/89

Informing the Collector of North Arcot that the rules embodied in Board's Proceedings, dated 5th February 1889, No. 80, regarding insolvency of public servants, will apply to servants in the employ of the Court of Wards.

Proceedings of the Court of Wards.
Dated 7th June 1889.
No. 1346.

88

M

The Honorable J. Grose M.A.

Read letter from the Collector of Madura dated 29th April 1889 Confidential No. 28.

Resolution.

The Court have very carefully considered the papers in the case. T. Subbusami Row late manager of the Saptur Estate in the Madura District was removed from that appointment for his conduct in regard to a claim made upon the Zemindari by a cloth merchant on a promissory note alleged to have been executed by a former Zemindar. The question of Subba-sami Row's guilt depends on whether that document was genuine or forged. The Civil Courts have decided that it has not been proved genuine but the High Court on a Criminal appeal have decided that it has not been proved to have been forged and considers the balance of evidence rather in favor of the genuineness of the document than against it. Upon this finding, the Court consider that T. Subbusami Row must be restored to his appointment with arrears of pay from date of his suspension to the date of his reinstatement and this they accordingly direct.

(True extract)

(signed) W. Hamm...

(True extract)

2517/22.7.89.

Communicated to the Treasury D[illegible] Collector Madura for information [illegible] the payment of arrears of pay to [illegible] samy Row in continuation of this [illegible] footnote No 2412/17.6.89.

(Signed) E. [illegible]
Colle[ctor]

2517/22.7.89

(True Copy)

Communicated to the Deputy Collec[tor] Madura division for information in con-tinuation of this Office footnote No 2412/17.6.8[9]

E. [illegible]
Collector

No 1428/1889

(True Copy)

Communicated to S. Subbasami Row for his information in Contin. of this Off. footnote No 1365/19.7.89

[illegible]

[illegible] Cony

PROCEEDINGS OF THE COURT OF WARDS.

READ—the following G.O., dated 3rd May 1889, Mis. No. 2795, Revenue:—

Read—the following Proceedings of the Public Works Department, dated 26th April 1889, No. 1119 W., on the following:—

Office-note from the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, dated 5th April 1889, No. 443.

The Executive Engineer, Ramnad Division, has applied for a supplemental letter of credit for the month of April 1889, which is in excess of the balance available for the division concerned:—

Grant heads.	Balance available.	Amount applied for.	Amount proposed.
1	2	3	4
	RS.	RS.	RS.
Court of Wards— Estate works	*Nil.*	4,000	*Nil.*

2. I therefore solicit early orders of Government as to whether the credit may be given to the full extent applied for by the Executive Engineer, or whether it should be restricted as proposed in column 4 above.

Office-note from the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, dated 17th April 1889, No. 513.

Please obtain the formal orders of the Government to enable me to issue credits for estate works in anticipation of provision of funds by the Court of Wards in their budget estimates. It is practically a case of advancing Government funds for a private purpose, for which after all private funds may not be available. There is already one case of such an estate (the Dhárákót estate) which is unable to provide funds to meet public works charges.

Order—

The Examiner, Public Works Accounts, is authorized to issue credits for such sums as may be necessary to prevent a cessation of duly-authorized estate works in anticipation of the requisite provision of funds being made by the Court of Wards.

Order—dated 3rd May 1889, Mis. No. 2795, Revenue.

Communicated to the Court of Wards.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) H. A. STUART,
Under Secy. to Government.

To the Court of Wards.

RESOLUTION—dated 11th June 1889, No. 1363.

Communicated to the Collectors of Ganjam, Vizagapatam, Godávari, Madura and Salem.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) M. HAMMICK,
Secretary.

To the Collector of Ganjam.
" " of Vizagapatam.
" " of Godávari.
" " of Madura.
" " of Salem.

Exd. W. J. Lewis.

90

1889.

Court of Wards,

MADRAS.

Printed June 25th.

Proceedings, 11*th June* 1889, *No.* 1363.

22-7-89

Communicating to the Collectors concerned Government Order communicating Proceedings of the Public Works Department authorizing the Examiner of Public Works Accounts to issue credits for such sums as may be necessary to prevent a cessation of duly authorized estate works in anticipation of requisite provision of funds being made by the Court of Wards.

COURT OF WARDS, 13TH JUNE 1889, No. 1394.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE COURT OF WARDS.

W. S. WHITESIDE, ESQ.
THE HON. J. GROSE, M.A.

READ—the following letter from E. GIBSON, Esq., Collector of Tanjore, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, dated 11th March 1889, No. 439:—

The Government Pleader, Tanjore, having claimed consulting fees for giving opinions in matters connected with Wards' estates, he was informed that this duty was one of those items of " extra-judicial advice" which Government expect their pleaders to afford to their officers in consideration of their retaining fee (G.O., No. 1309, dated 18th May 1886, paragraph 4, Judicial Department).

2. The pleader demurs to accept this view, and requests me to refer the matter for the orders of Government. I have the honor to request instructions on the subject.

3. The pleader bases his claim upon the ground that a great deal of extra-judicial work of this kind devolves upon him and that the terms of the Government Order quoted apply only to Government work proper and not to that of the Court of Wards.

RESOLUTION—dated 13th June 1889, No. 1394.

In the opinion of the Court, the view taken by the pleader is right. They do not consider that giving opinions in matters connected with Wards' estates can be held to be part of the extra-judicial advice which the Government expects their pleaders to afford without remuneration. In cases where such opinions are given without having connection with any suit, Collectors should, where possible, make special terms beforehand with the pleader. In districts where the litigation in connection with the Court of Wards' estates is considerable, it will be probably possible to obtain the advice of the pleader who is regularly retained by the Collector in estate cases for nothing, when such advice is not in connection with any pending suit or does not lead to a suit. Where the business of the Court is not sufficient to enable the Collector to obtain advice on these terms, special terms should be made regarding consulting fees.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) M. HAMMICK,
Secretary.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) C. CLARK,
Registrar.

To the Collector of Tanjore.
Copy to all other Collectors.

Exd. A. Aubert.

1889.

Court of Wards,

MADRAS.

Printed July 2nd.

Proceedings, 13*th June* 1889, *No.* 1394.

22/7/89

Passing orders on letter from the Collector of Tanjore as regards remuneration to District Government Pleaders for extra-judicial advice in matters connected with the Court of Wards' estates.

92

PROCEEDINGS OF THE COURT OF WARDS.

THE FULL BOARD.

READ—the following letter from E. TURNER, Esq., Collector of Madura, to the Secretary to the Court of Wards, dated Ramnad, 22nd June 1889, Cir. No. 275 :—

I have the honor to forward a letter received from the minor zemindar of Ramnad for very favorable consideration.

2. The minor zemindar in paragraph 4 of his letter makes three requests.

(i) That he may be allowed to make a tour in his estate after the period of his vacation is over. He writes: "if the Court should sanction my request, I intend visiting, in company with the Deputy Collector and Manager, the southern taluks during the remaining days of July, spending three weeks of August at your office in Madura, visiting the northern and eastern taluks during the last week of August and the first two weeks of September, and then attending the Estate office until taking over charge in October." I strongly approve of this programme, and request that it may be sanctioned. The minor zemindaris 'totally ignorant' of the very complicated system of administration obtaining in his zemindari, and it is advisable that he should know something about it and about his people before he takes over charge of the estate. If the tour be sanctioned, the minor will start southwards about the 16th July, stop at Kalari, and thence proceed along the bank of the Ragunatha Kaveri to Mutukulatúr; there he will halt some days, examine the taluk records, visit the neighbouring villages and tanks, and see the country people; he will next proceed to Kamudi where he will again remain for a few days and thence to Tiruchuli. From Tiruchuli he will proceed to Madura, and, after staying there about three weeks, he will visit the northern taluks of his estate, stopping at such places as Kannangudi, Tiruvadanai and Rajasingamangalam. If the Court of Wards are pleased to sanction the proposed tour, I request that they will be good enough to communicate their decision to the minor zemindar direct by wire, so that he may have plenty of time to make his arrangements.

3. (ii) That the marginally-noted Government officers, whose services have been lent to the estate, may be allowed to remain for 3 years. I strongly support this request. It is very necessary, in the interests of the estate, that these experienced officers should be retained in their present posts for at least 3 years. By that time the zemindar should have acquired practical experience of the working of the administrative machine, and will be in a position to judge for himself. At present he knows nothing whatever about it, and may fall into bad hands and get bad advice, in which case all the good work done during the management of the Court of Wards will almost

(1) M.R.Ry. Dewan Bahadur Rajaram Row Avergal, Deputy Collector and Manager.
(2) M.R.Ry. Samavier, Superintendent of Palace, Chattram, and Devastanam of Ramnad estate, his permanent appointment under Government being that of Deputy Tahsildar of Kodaikánal on Rs. 100.
(3) Ramier, Tahsildar of Mutukulatúr taluk, his permanent appointment under Government being a clerk's post on Rs. 30 in the Collector's office.

certainly be undone, and the estate will certainly be in debt again very soon. It is well known to the Court of Wards and to Government that the administation of this zemindari is peculiar and very difficult. In one of my reports I have called it a "speciality," and this is just what it is. The manager in charge of this estate must have "special" aptitudes and special knowledge. The revenue of all wet lands is paid in kind and in consequence special and peculiar arrangements for estimating, harvesting and disposing of the grain coming to the share of the estate must be made. These arrangements are necessarily very complicated, and a manager new to the estate and its management, however good his intentions, will be unable to prevent considerable loss to the revenues of the estate for the first two or three years of his management. This must be said even if the young zemindar obtains the services of a competent and zealous manager in place of Mr. Rajaram Row, but if Government refuse to lend one of their own servants for a few years, the zemindar must try and obtain a good manager elsewhere, in which case he will probably choose one of his own relations with the inevitable result, as experience of previous managements has taught—widespread corruption and ruin. The present manager, Mr. Rajaram Row, is thoroughly acquainted with every detail of the administration; he has it at his fingers' ends; he has, moreover, visited every village and tank in the estate, and knows the requirements and condition of the people, and where improvements can and should be carried out for the good of the tenants and the general improvement of the estate. Fortunately, Rajaram Row consents to continue his management for three years more, and I earnestly request that his services may be retained. From my long acquaintance with the estate and its management, I can speak with authority on the subject of Mr. Rajaram Row's adminstration. Not only has he succeeded in saving much money for the zemindar, but also his administration is most popular amongst the ryots and indeed amongst all classes throughout the zemindari.

As to Samavier, it is very necessary to retain his services also. He is in charge of the chattrams and devastanams of the estate, a very difficult charge for the reasons already given. He has been two years in his present appointment and has learnt the work. I strongly advise his retention for three years. Ramier is in charge of Mutukulatúr taluk. He also knows his work and may be retained with great advantage to the estate for three years.

4. As to the third request, I will make arrangements.

Resolution—dated 6th July 1889, No. 1570.

The proposed tour of the Ramnad minor zemindar and his attendance at the Collector's office to learn his duties are sanctioned. The following telegram has been despatched to him :—

"Your letter 19th ultimo to Collector, Madura. Proposed tour sanctioned."

2. The request that the three officials named in paragraph 3 of the Collector's letter be lent to the zemindar for a time is reasonable. The Government are of course aware of the peculiar position in which the Ramnad estate stands. In 1876 the Government advanced Rs. 12,72,830, and subsequently a further sum of Rs. 1,50,000 to meet the zemindar's liabilities. Owing chiefly to the excellent management of the estate by its present manager, the whole of this debt with the interest on it, in all Rs. 23,29,892, has been paid off and a fair balance accumulated with which the young zemindar can begin his own management. The zemindar's letter to the Court does, the Court think, credit to him, and they are very anxious that he should be given all the assistance possible at the commencement of his career. The sudden replacement of the experienced and capable officials now in charge by new men, whom the young and inexperienced zemindar will, for a time at least, be unable to control efficiently, can hardly fail to undo to a great extent the good that has arisen from the Government management and may lead to early oppression and corruption. The zemindar's present knowledge of the men, who will be applicants for the vacant posts, must be very small, and to leave him to commence his management with the very serious and responsible task of choosing new advisers, is to court failure.

3. As pointed out by the Collector, the estate is a large and important one, its tenures complicated and its administration peculiar and difficult. The Court consider that these circumstances justify exceptional treatment, and accordingly strongly support the Collector's recommendation that the services of the officials, referred to above, be lent to the zemindar for 3 years from the date of his assumption of charge of his estate.

4. The orders of Government are requested.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) M. HAMMICK,
Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with the minor zemindar's letter in original to be returned.
,, the Collector of Madura.
Copy to J. Creighton, Esq.

Exd. W. H. French.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

1889.

Court of Wards,

MADRAS.

Printed July 10th.

Proceedings, 6*th July* 1889, *No.* 1570.

23/7/9

Passing orders on letter from the Collector of Madura submitting certain requests of the minor zemindar of *Ramnad*, and requesting the orders of Government for the loan of the services of certain officials, already lent to Ramnad estate, for a further period of 3 years from the date of the minor's assuming charge of his estate.

94

Proceedings of the Court of Wards

Dated 8th July 1889

No. 1597

The Honble J Grose M.A.

Read letter from the Collector of Madura dated 22nd June 1889 No 161.

Resolution

The two estimates for Rs 1175 are sanctioned.

(True Extract)

(Signed) M. Hammick

Secretary

"Estimate for the Alagapuri road & a culvert of 3 vents over the Surplus channel of Kadambanthan kunthu."

True Copy

2479 / 7 Ap. 1

Communicated to the Dy Collector Madura Division for information & guidance with reference to his endorsement

No. 314 / 2-6-89 The two estimates and the plan are returned.

[illegible]
For Collector

Ind [illegible]

[illegible]

No 58
4
22.7.89

(True copy)

Communicated to the Overseer of Saptur Estate for information & guidance with the return of Plans.

(Ac)

[illegible]

24-7-89
Terringham

D Hollister

Proceedings of the Court of Wards.
Dated 9th July 1889.
No. 1606.

22.7.89

The Honorable J. Grose M.A.

Read endorsement from the Collector of Madura d/ 29th June 1889 No. 174 requesting sanction for the payment of Rs 200 from the Saptur Estate funds for the improvement of the village site of Kamapatti.

Resolution

Sanctioned Rs 200
(True extract)
(Signed) M. Hammick
Secretary.

2478 / 21.7.89.

(True copy)

Communicated to the Deputy Collector Madura Division for information and guidance with reference to his letter No 103 / 13.6.89.

[signature]
for Collector

95

No. $\frac{183}{22-7-89}$

(True copy)

Communicated to the President Taluk Board Tirumangalam for information with reference to his footnote No. $\frac{101}{5\cdot6\cdot89}$

(Sd)

23-7-89

Wd
D Collector

Similar to the Manager & Overseer Kapptur -

Proceedings of the Court of Wards.

Dated 9th July 1889. 96

No. 1608.

The Honorable J. Grose M.A

Read again Progs dt 7 June 89 No. 1346
Read also letter from the Collector of Madura dt 21st June 1889 No. 47 and petition from T. Subbasami Row Manager of Saptur Estate dt 23-6-89.

Resolution

The Court consider that T. Subbasami Row must go back to his permanent appointment of second Clerk in the Deputy Collector's office.

(True extract)

(Signed) M. Hammick
Secretary.

2412
17.6.89 (True Copy)

Communicated to the Deputy Collr Madura Division for information and guidance and communication to T. Subbasami Row.

[signature]
for Collector

Endt [illegible]

GL No 1362/1889

(True Copy)

~~[illegible]~~ Communicated to ~~the~~ J Lubbeman now for information &c Wd

Dy Clerk

19.7.89.

Proceedings of the Court of Wards.

Dated 10th July 1889 97

No 1619.

D 227 81
ref

The Honorable J. Grose Esq.

Read Endorsement from the Collector of Madura, dated 25th June 1889, No 167 of the

Resolution

The Sanction asked for is accorded.

(True Extract)

(Sd) M. Hammick
Secretary

Dis No 2461 / 20.7.89

(True copy)

Communicated to the Dy Collector, Madura Dn for information and guidance with reference to his letter No 101 / 12-6-89.

[signature]
for Collector

[initials]

[initials]
Ex d[illegible]

No. 181/12.7.89

(True copy)

Communicated to the Manager Sapotur for information & guidance. The old bullocks should be sold by public auction after due notice as directed by the Collector in his footnote no $\frac{2053}{25.6.89}$, communicated in this office no $\frac{46}{26.6.89}$.

Sd/-
(Ol) D. Collector
26.7.89
[illegible]

Proceedings of the Court of Wards

Dated 11th July 1889.

No 1624.

The Honorable J Grose M.A,

Read letter from the Collector of Madura, dated 29th June 1889 No 171.

Resolution.

\+ Repair of Periadlam tank.

The estimates* for Rs 5550 are sanctioned

True Extract,

(Signed) A Hammick Secretary.

Encs. 8 Estimates & plans

No 2535, True Extract)

Communicated to the Dy Collector Madura Division, for information and guidance with reference to his endorsement No 157 / 17.6.89. The sanctioned estimates & plans are returned herewith.

24/7/89

[signature] for Collector

87
27/7/97

(True copy)

Communicated to the overseer Sapthur for information & guidance with the return of the Estimates & plans. Tenders should be invited for the execution of the work. A notice for the same is under preparation ~~& will be~~

27.7.97
Madras

C.C. [signature]
Collector

Proceedings of the Court of Wards.
Dated 17th July 1889
No. 1669.

99

W.S. 27 5

N. S. Whiteside Esquire

Read - letter from the Collector of Madura dated 10th July 1889. No 182 recommending that the Sub Judge's decision in A. S. No $\frac{496}{88}$ may be accepted.

Resolution

The Court agree with the Collector.

(True extract)

(Signed) W. Hammick
Secretary

2589
26.7.89.

(True Copy)

Communicated to the Deputy Collector Madura Dn. for information & guidance

2. Copies of the Sub Judge's decree & Judgment are herewith sent.

3. He is requested to arrange with the Govt. Pleader for payment into the Court Rupees (88) eighty eight only being the proportionate mortgage amount on the land purchased

into Court.

4. The Treasury Deputy Collector has been requested to place at his disposal Rupees (88) eighty-eight for payment into Court through the Government Pleader.

No. a/188
27-7-85

Ed

[signature]
For A. G. Collector

Copd D Ker
Exd
[illegible]

(True copy)

Communicated to the Manager Sapters for information. ~~R[illegible]~~ The Government Pleader has been requested to ~~referred to has been~~ ~~paid~~ pay Rs 88 herein referred into the Court. He will return the Judgment & decree after perusal

[initials]

(Sd) [illegible] Collector

Proceedings of the Court of Wards 1
Dated 22nd July 1889.
31-7-89 No. 1695.
The Hon. J. Grose M.A.

Read - letter from the Collector of Mad
dated 30th June 1889 No 175, requ
Sanction for an estimate for Rs 2
for the repair of the Palayur temp
in the Saptur Estate

Resolution.

The Estimate for Rs 200 is Sanctione

(True extract)

(Signed) M. Hammick
Secretary

2638 / 30.7.89 (True Copy)

Communicated to the Deputy Colle
Madura Dt. for information & guidan
with reference to his endorsement N
169 / 20.6.89

2. The estimate is returned.

[signature]
for Ag. Collect

Exd Compared

No. 60
31.7.84

(True copy)

Communicated to the
Overseer Sapther for
information & guidance.

2-8-84
Trivandrum

(R) P.
Collector

[illegible]

101

PROCEEDINGS OF THE COURT OF WARDS.

MEMORANDUM—dated 6th August 1889, No. 1814.

Ganjam—
- Parlákimedi.
- Chinna Kimedi.
- Urlám.
- Jarada.
- Bodogodo.
- Kurla.
- Aska.

Vizagapatam—
- Merangi.
- Chípurupalle.
- Srípuram.
- Chidikada.
- Appikonda.
- Mádugula.

Godávari—
- Lakkavaram.
- Nadavapalle.
- Meduru.

Kistna—
- Kapileswarapuram.
- Mailavaram.

Cuddapah—
- Chitticherla.

North Arcot—
- Kangundi.

Trichinopoly—
- Peramur.

Madura—
- Ramnad.
- Gandamanáyakanúr.
- Sáptúr.
- Vadagarai.

Tinnevelly—
- M. D. Kumarasami Mudaliar's estate.

Salem—
- Erumaipati.

South Canara—
- B. Sarvothama Rao's estate.
- Puttamma's estate.

Malabar—
- Kavalpara.
- Mankara.

An abstract statement showing the receipts and disbursements by the Court of Wards on account of the marginally-noted estates during the half-year ending 30th June 1889, and also the balance to the credit of each estate on that date, is forwarded for information to the Collectors concerned.

APPENDIX.

ABSTRACT statement showing receipts and disbursements by the Court of Wards on behalf of certain estates during the half-year ending 30th June 1889.

PARLAKIMEDI.

Cr.	PRO. NOTES.	RS. A. P.
Balance brought forward	26,92,000	1,16,241 9 7
Interest on 4 per cent. loan, deducting commission and income-tax.	..	41,265 9 8
Do. on 4½ do. do. do.	..	12,417 6 11
Recoveries from certain estates of audit charges of the Court of Wards' establishment	..	357 11 4
Amount recovered from the Shulagiri estate	..	52 8 0
Amount transferred from— Chinna Kimedi RS. 30,000 0 0; Jarada 456 2 11	..	30,456 2 11
Total ..	26,92,000	2,00,791 0 5

Dr.	PRO. NOTES.	RS. A. P.
Cost of stationery purchased on account of all the estates in the Ganjam district	..	658 8 10
Cost of silver badges	..	158 5 3
Fees, &c., paid to the Hon. V. Bhashiyam Aiyangar in connection with the friendly suit	..	15,000 0 0
Cost of 100 stamped cheques	..	6 4 0
Amount paid to the Accountant-General for auditing Court of Wards' accounts for the two half-years of 1888	..	150 0 0
Salary of the Court of Wards' establishment for 5 months, from January to May 1889	..	1,754 2 3
Amount paid on account of the Kallikōt estate	..	401 8 0
Balance on 30th June 1889	26,92,000	1,82,662 4 1
Total ..	26,92,000	2,00,791 0 5

CHINNA KIMEDI.

Cr.	PRO. NOTES.	RS. A. P.
Balance brought forward	67,100	3,253 5 0
Interest on 4 per cent. loan, deducting commission and income-tax.	..	527 6 5
Do. on 4½ do. do. do.	..	572 11 0
Amount realized by the sale of Promissary Notes of the value of Rs. 27,000	..	27,520 5 4
Total ..	67,100	31,873 11 9

Dr.	PRO. NOTES.	RS. A. P.
Cost of shipping the pony purchased	..	50 0 0
Amount transferred to Parlákimedi	..	30,000 0 0
Amount of Promissory Notes sold	27,000	..
Balance on 30th June 1889	40,100	1,823 11 9
Total ..	67,100	31,873 11 9

URLAM.

Cr.	PRO. NOTES.	RS. A. P.
Balance brought forward	2,78,000	12,063 7 0
Interest on 4 per cent. loan, deducting commission and income-tax.	..	4,799 0 1
Do. on 4½ do. do. do.	..	677 9 8
Total ..	2,78,000	17,540 0 9

Dr.	PRO. NOTES.	RS. A. P.
Balance on 30th June 1888	2,78,000	17,540 0 9
Total ..	2,78,000	17,540 0 9

JARADA.

Cr.	PRO. NOTES.	RS. A. P.
Balance brought forward	..	466 10 11
Total ..	..	466 10 11

Dr.	PRO. NOTES.	RS. A. P.
Cost of a pair of knee-caps, &c., bought for the horse	..	10 8 0
Amount transferred to Parlákimedi	..	456 2 11
Balance on 30th June 1889	..	..
Total ..	..	466 10 11

BODOGODO.

Cr.	PRO. NOTES.	RS. A. P.
Balance brought forward	10,000	505 9 5
Interest on 4 per cent. loan, deducting commission	..	39 14 5
Total ..	10,000	545 7 10

Dr.	PRO. NOTES.	RS. A. P.
Amount of Promissory Notes sold	8,000	..
Balance on 30th June 1889	2,000	545 7 10
Total ..	10,000	545 7 10

102

KURLA.					
Balance brought forward	34,600	2,691 12 11	Amount paid to K. Sundaramma for her maintenance allowance for May and June 1889 and for the Upanayanam ceremony of her eldest son	..	120 0 0
Interest, deducting commission and income-tax	..	1,471 13 8	Balance on 30th June 1889	34,600	4,043 10 7
Total	34,600	4,163 10 7	Total	34,600	4,163 10 7
ASKA.					
Balance brought forward	44,800	1 11 5	Balance on 30th June 1889	44,800	2,133 8 1
Interest, deducting commission and income-tax	..	2,131 12 8			
Total	44,800	2,133 8 1	Total	44,800	2,133 8 1
MERANGI.					
Balance brought forward	1,09,900	3,532 13 3	Fees, &c., to Mr. Michell in connection with the Privy Council appeal	..	513 1 0
			Amount of Promissory Notes sent to the Collector to be handed over to the proprietor	1,09,900	..
			Balance on 30th June 1889	..	3,019 12 3
Total	1,09,900	3,532 13 3	Total	1,09,900	3,532 13 3
CHIPURUPALLE.					
Balance brought forward	..	552 1 9	Cost of village manuals supplied	..	1 10 0
			Balance on 30th June 1889	..	550 7 9
Total	..	552 1 9	Total	..	552 1 9
SRIPURAM.					
Balance brought forward	8,000	953 8 11	Cost of village manuals supplied	..	3 4 0
Interest, deducting commission	..	159 9 7	Balance on 30th June 1889	8,000	1,109 14 6
Total	8,000	1,113 2 6	Total	8,000	1,113 2 6
CHIDIKADA.					
Balance brought forward	30,000	2,651 0 5	Cost of village manuals supplied	..	3 4 0
Interest, deducting commission and income-tax	..	586 0 0	Balance on 30th June 1889	30,000	3,233 12 5
Total	30,000	3,237 0 5	Total	30,000	3,237 0 5
APPIKONDA.					
Balance brought forward	..	1,284 9 0	Cost of village manuals supplied	..	1 10 0
			Balance on 30th June 1889	..	1,282 15 0
Total	..	1,284 9 0	Total	..	1,284 9 0

Abstract statement showing receipts and disbursements by the Court of Wards on behalf of certain estates during the half-year ending 30th June 1889—*cont.*

Cr.	PRO. NOTES.	RS. A. P.	*Dr.*	PRO. NOTES.	RS. A. P.
MADUGULA.					
Balance brought forward	..	10 1 11	Balance on 30th June 1889	..	10 1 11
Total ..	..	10 1 11	Total ..	..	10 1 11
LAKKAVARAM.					
Balance brought forward	43,500	4,171 12 8	Balance on 30th June 1889	43,500	4,984 5 3
Interest, deducting commission and income-tax	..	812 8 7			
Total ..	43,500	4,984 5 3	Total ..	43,500	4,984 5 3
NADAVAPALLE.					
Balance brought forward	..	5 5 8	Balance on 30th June 1889	..	5 5 8
Total ..	..	5 5 8	Total ..	..	5 5 8
MEDURU.					
Balance brought forward	77,000	1,750 0 0	Fees to the Government Pleader for revising a draft answer ..	..	87 8 0
Interest, deducting commission and income-tax	..	155 6 11	Fees, &c., to Mr. Michell	..	320 0 0
Amount realized by the discharge of the Government Promissory Notes of the value of Rs. 1,000 of the $4\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. loan of 1870 and by the purchase of Promissary Notes of the value of Rs. 1,000 of the 4 per cent. loan of 1865	..	72 2 4	Balance on 30th June 1889	77,000	1,570 1 3
Total ..	77,000	1,977 9 3	Total ..	77,000	1,977 9 3
KAPILESWARAPURAM.					
Balance brought forward	41,000	575 15 5	Balance on 30th June 1889	41,000	2,169 2 5
Interest, deducting commission and income-tax	..	1,593 3 0			
Total ..	41,000	2,169 2 5	Total ..	41,000	2,169 2 5
MAILAVARAM.					
Balance brought forward	24,800	..	Balance on 30th June 1889	24,800	481 13 6
Interest, deducting commission and income-tax	..	481 13 6			
Total ..	24,800	481 13 6	Total ..	24,800	481 13 6
CHITTICHERLA.					
Balance brought forward	11,000	798 0 4	Balance on 30th June 1889	11,000	972 14 2
Interest, deducting commission and income-tax	..	174 13 10			
Total ..	11,000	972 14 2	Total ..	11,000	972 14 2

KANGUNDI.

Receipts		RS. A. P.	Charges		RS. A. P.
Balance brought forward	31,500	2,371 3 7	Cost of a carriage	..	2,200 0 0
Interest, deducting commission and income-tax	..	612 0 4	Cost of a teak almirah	..	29 10 0
Amount received from the Collector	..	10,000 0 0	Cost of harness	..	275 0 0
			Cost of photos	..	30 0 0
			Cost of clothes	..	291 8 0
			Cost of a trypograph machine	..	42 8 0
			Cost of preparing a survey plan of Landon's Gardens	..	13 14 4
			Charges for breaking in horses to harness	..	30 0 0
			Cost of building a new kitchen	..	37 8 0
			Tickets for Madras races	..	62 8 0
			Municipal licenses for vehicles	..	40 12 0
			Fees to Government Pleader	..	977 11 0
			Fees to the Veterinary Surgeon	..	54 0 0
			Fees for medical attendance	..	65 0 0
			Expenses in connection with the annual ceremony of the minors' father	..	100 0 0
			Expenses on the Telugu New Year's Day	..	50 0 0
			Travelling expenses to Coonoor	..	375 0 0
			Amount transferred to Ramnad and Sáptúr, being the proportionate cost of furniture in Landon's Gardens	..	1,315 0 0
			Educational and maintenance charges from January to May 1889..	..	5,181 2 5
			Balance on 30th June 1889	31,500	1,812 2 2
Total ..	31,500	12,983 3 11	Total ..	31,500	12,983 3 11

PERAMUR.

Receipts		RS. A. P.	Charges		RS. A. P.
Balance brought forward	66,000	4,092 3 4	Balance on 30th June 1889	66,000	5,408 12 7
Interest on 4 per cent. loan, deducting commission and income-tax	..	1,008 6 0			
Do. on 4½ do. do. do. do. ..	..	308 3 3			
Total ..	66,000	5,408 12 7	Total ..	66,000	5,408 12 7

RAMNAD.

Receipts		RS. A. P.	Charges		RS. A. P.
Balance brought forward	..	1,891 3 6	Cost of harness	..	275 0 0
Amount received from the Collector of Madura	..	5,000 0 0	Cost of photos	..	69 12 0
Amount transferred from the funds of the following estates:—			Cost of clothes	..	206 10 0
Gandamanáyakanúr .. .. RS. 4 A. 13 P. 2			Cost of Durbar suits	..	2,166 8 0
Sáptúr 6,000 0 0			Cost of a double-barrel gun	..	84 2 0
Kangundi 1,225 0 0			Cost of a dinner set and a pair of gold sleeve links	..	95 0 0
	..	7,229 13 2	Cost of photographic apparatus	..	413 2 9
			Cost of a magic lantern	..	17 4 0
			Cost of preparing a survey plan of Landon's Gardens	..	13 14 4
			Cost of building a new kitchen	..	37 8 0
			Charges for breaking in horses to harness	..	30 0 0
			Fees to Government Pleader	..	372 8 0
			Fees to the Veterinary Surgeon	..	54 0 0
			Medical charges	..	205 0 0
			Tickets for the Madras races	..	62 8 0

Abstract statement showing receipts and disbursements by the Court of Wards on behalf of certain estates during the half-year ending 30th June 1889—*cont.*

Cr.	PRO. NOTES.	RS. A. P.	*Dr.*	PRO. NOTES.	RS. A. P.
			Poojah expenses	..	94 14 9
			Municipal licenses for vehicles	..	40 12 0
			Srimandam ceremony expenses	..	350 0 0
			Travelling expenses of the elder minor	..	324 2 0
			Travelling expenses to Coonoor	..	375 0 0
			Educational and maintenance charges from January to May 1889	..	5,181 2 7
			Balance on 30th June 1889	..	3,652 4 3
Total ..	..	14,121 0 8	Total ..	..	14,121 0 8
GANDAMANAYAKANUR.					
Balance brought forward	..	4 13 2	Amount transferred to Ramnad	..	4 13 2
			Balance on 30th June 1889	..	..
Total ..	..	4 13 2	Total ..	..	4 13 2
SAPTUR.					
Balance brought forward	1,47,500	6,702 15 5	Amount transferred to the Ramnad estate	..	6,000 0 0
Interest on 4 per cent. loan, deducting commission and income-tax.	..	874 5 0	Balance on 30th June 1889	1,47,500	3,951 6 9
Do. on 4½ do. do. do.	..	2,284 2 4			
Amount transferred from the funds of the Kángundi estate	..	90 0 0			
Total ..	1,47,500	9,951 6 9	Total ..	1,47,500	9,951 6 9
VADAGARAI.					
Balance brought forward	5,000	390 10 5	Balance on 30th June 1889	5,000	390 10 5
Total ..	5,000	390 10 5	Total ..	5,000	390 10 5
M. D. KUMARASAMI MUDALIAR'S ESTATE.					
Balance brought forward	..	11 4 4	Balance on 30th June 1889	..	11 4 4
Total ..	..	11 4 4	Total ..	..	11 4 4
ERUMAIPATI.					
Balance brought forward	..	11 8 8	Balance on 30th June 1889	..	11 8 8
Total ..	..	11 8 8	Total ..	..	11 8 8

104

B. SARVOTTAMA ROW'S ESTATE.					
Balance brought forward	5,000	359 4 9	Balance on 30th June 1889	5,500	494 7 9
Interest on 4 per cent. loan, deducting commission and income-tax.	..	89 12 5			
Do. on 4½ do. do. do do. ..	..	11 3 7			
Unexpended balance returned by the Accountant-General ..	..	34 3 0			
Amount of Promissory Notes purchased	500	..			
Total ..	5,500	494 7 9	Total ..	5,500	494 7 9
PUTTAMA'S ESTATE.					
Balance brought forward	..	236 2 11	Cost of translating records in A.S. No. 80 of 1887	..	31 8 0
			Balance on 30th June 1889	..	204 10 11
Total ..	..	236 2 11	Total ..	..	236 2 11
KAVALPARA.					
Balance brought forward	76,000	5,305 0 5	Balance on 30th June 1889	76,000	6,837 8 3
Interest on 4 per cent. loan, deducting commission and income-tax.	..	1,029 11 11			
Do. on 4⅓ do. do. do. do. ..	..	502 11 11			
Total ..	76,000	6,837 8 3	Total ..	76,000	6,837 8 3
MANKARA.					
Balance brought forward	11,200	60 12 2	Amount of Promissory Notes sent to the Collector of Malabar to be handed over to the proprietor	11,200	..
			Balance on 30th June 1889	..	60 12 2
Total ..	11,200	60 12 2	Total ..	11,200	60 12 2

(A true Extract.)

(Signed) M. HAMMICK,
Secretary.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) C. CLARK,
Registrar.

To the Collectors of Ganjam, Vizagapatam, Godávari, Kistna, Cuddapah,
North Arcot, Trichinopoly, Madura, Tinnevelly, Salem, South Canara and Malabar.

Exd. W. J. Lewis.

No. 234/30-9-89

1889.
Court of Wards,
MADRAS.

(True Extract)

Communicated to the Manager Saptur for information & guidance

6/1989 (OL) of Collector Madura

Printed August 26th.

Proceedings, 6*th Aug.* 1889, *No.* 1814.

Communicating to the Collectors concerned the abstract statement showing the receipts and disbursements by the Court of Wards during the half-year ending 30th June 1889.

105

Proceedings of the Court of Wards.

Dated 7th August 1889

No 1833.

W. S. Whiteside, Esquire

The Hon. J. Grose. M. A.

Read endorsement from the Collector of Madura dated 1st August 1889 No 210.

Resolution

The proposal to remit the sum of Rs 69-7-" is sanctioned

(True extract)

(Signed) M. Hammick
Secretary.

No 2849
16/8/89 (True Copy)

Communicated to the Deputy Collector, Madura Division, for information with reference to his letter dated 28th July 18[illegible] No 129 C. W, the enclosures to which are herewith returned.

2. Rs 69-7-" should be writ[ten] off

off the accounts.

No. 201/17.8.89

Ag. Collector.

(True copy)

Forwarded to the Manager of Daftar for communication to the parties concerned with records.

O.C. [illegible]
Dy. Collr.

20.8.89
[illegible]

Proceedings of the Court of Wards.

Dated 8th August 1889

No. 1846.

106

23 8 ?

The Hon: J. Grose. M. A.

Read endorsement from the Collector of Madura, d/ 9th June 1889, no 151.

Resolution.

Sanctioned.

(True extract)

Sd. M. Hammick
Secretary

No. 79/19
22/8/89.

(True copy)

Communicated to the Dy Collector, Madura Dn, for information and guidance, with reference to his letter C. W. No. 89/8.5.89.

[signature]

For ag Collector

[signature]

Exd [illegible]

No. 210
23/8/89.

(True copy)

Communicated to

The Manager Saptur for
information & guidance.
The sanction is for the
variations in the pay
&c of the Establishments
for Fasli 1299. The increase
or decrease in the pay of the
Establishments is to have
effect from 1st July 1889.

McWilliam [illegible]
Collector

26/8/89
Madurai.

Proceedings of the Court of Wards.

Dated 8th August 1889

No 1848.

The Hon: J. Grose. M. A.

Read endorsement from the Collector of Madura, d/12th July 1889. No 185

Resolution.

Sanctioned Rs 56-15-10.

(True extract.)

Sd. M. Hammick
Secretary

No 2903. 20/8/89. (True copy)

Communicated to the Ag Collector Madura Dt, for information and guidance, with reference to his letter No. 115 / 7.7.89.

M. [illegible]

For Ag Collector

No. 212
22/8/89

(True Copy)

Forwarded to the
manager of Loften
for information [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

D. Jacobs
23 8 89

These are the [illegible]
[illegible] Linjuban

Proceedings of the Court of Wards

Dated 8th August 1889.

108

No. 1851.

The Hon J Grose. M.A.

Read Budget estimates from the Collector of Madura. d/ 21st May 1889, No 128.

Resolution

The estimates are sanctioned.

(True extract)

Sd M. Hammick
Secretary

(True copy)

Communicated to the Dy Collector, Madura Dn., for information and guidance, with extracts from Budget estimates.

[illegible]

For Ag Collector

No. 211.
23/8/89.

(True Copy)

Communicated to the Manager Sapter for information & guidance, with copies of the extracts from Budget Estimates.

[initials]

26/8/89 (Sd.) [illegible] Collector

Extracts to Sapter and [illegible] L[illegible]or for their information & guidance

26/8/89

[signature]

Extract from the Budget Estimate of Charges for the Chattram and Devastanams of the Saptur Estate for Fasli 1299.

109

No.	Items of Charges	Amount sanctioned by the Court		
	1			
	Devastanams			
I	Establishment & Contingencies	750		
II	Assessment and Land Cess.	101		
III	Maintenance of the endowments	1272		
IV	Government Commission.	32		
V	(a) Irrigation works	500		
	(b) Buildings	1000		
VI	Miscellaneous	195		
		3850		
	Chattram.			
I	Establishment	54		
II	Maintenance	238		
		292		

(True extract)

[illegible]
for Ag. Collector

[illegible]

Extract from the Budget-Estimate of Receipts for the Chattrams and Devastanams of the Saptur Estate for Fasli 1299.

110

No.	Items of Receipt	Amount sanctioned by the Court		
	Devastanams.			
I	Land Revenue	1960		
II	Rent of Buildings	..		
III	Debts	..		
IV	Miscellaneous	616		
		2576		
	Chattrams.			
	Income consisting of Sothanthram at the rate of 1 3/8 measures of paddy per Kottai on the whole Nunjah outturn in the Estate	292		

(True extract)

[signature]

For Collector.

Extract from the Budget Estimate of Receipts for the Saptur Estate for Fasli 1299.

111

No.	Items of Receipts	Amount sanctioned by the Court.		
I	**Land Revenue**			
	(a) Ryotwar { (1) Money Rent	27000		
	(2) Rent of Topes	3500		
	(3) Fish rent	500		
	(b) Amani or value of produce received in kind	18000.		
	(c) Income from Pannai lands	3200		
		52200		
II	Land Cess	1400		
III	Forest Revenue	4000		
IV	Rent of buildings	100		
V	Interest on Government securities	6200		
VI	Debts recoverable	1000		
VII	Loans	"		
VIII	**Miscellaneous.**			
	(a) Deposits	250		
	(b) Interest on arrears of Revenue	100		
	(c) Sale proceeds of dead & live stock	"		
	(d) Do of decayed trees	200		
	(e) Revenue fines	30		
	(f) Recovery of law charges	300		
	(g) Sundries	100		
	Total	980		
	Grand Total	65880		
	Opening balance	173023.		

(True extract) [signature]

For ag Collector.

[illegible]

Extract from the Budget Estimate of Charges for the Saptur Estate for Fasli 1299.

XI

X

No	Items of Charges.	Amount sanctioned by the Court.		112
I	Establishment & Contingencies			
	(a) Collector's Establishment	869		
	(b) Manager's do	3782.		
	(c) Garden do	1248		
	(d) Temporary do	846		
	(e) Forest do	2084		
	(f) Travelling allowance	450		
	(g) Sadarward & Contingencies.	1200		
		10479		
II	Maramat.			
	(a) Establishment & contingencies — Permanent	1596		~~1050 / 200 / 82 / 44 / 1780~~ ~~1084 / 144 / 1224~~
	Temporary	1008		
	Contingencies &c	396.		
	(b) Irrigation works	13000		
	(c) Road & communications	7000		
	(d) Buildings	3000		
		26000		
III	Peishcush	8812		
IV	Assessment & Quit rent.	615		
V	Land cess	2000		
VI	~~General~~ Agent Commission	1700		
VII	Maintenance & Education of the minor:			
	(a) Maintenance of the minor	500		
	(b) Education of the minor	380		
VIII	Allowance to other members of the family.	1056.		

IX	Payment of debts	"		
X	Miscellaneous.			
	(a) Allowance to village officials	5000		
	(b) Law Charges	2100		
	(c) Annual ceremonies & festivals	400		
	(d) Repayment of deposits	250		
	(e) Allowance to Bungalow Guards & Sweepers	108		
	(f) Forest Commission	170		
	(g) Maintenance of a dispensary	300		
	(h) Income tax & Municipal tax	500		
	(i) Sanitation, improvement of village sites & water supply	500		
	(j) Reserve	1000		
	(k) Sundries	510		
	Total	10,838		
	Grand total	65380		

(True extract)

[signature]
Foreign Collector

[signature]
Secy F.R.

113

Proceedings of the Court of Wards.
Dated 16th August 1809

No. 1941.

Fd 27/8/09 dy

The Honorable J. Grose M.A.

Read endorsement from the Collector of Madura, dated 27th July 1809, No 206.

Resolution.

Sanctioned Rupees 30-6-6.

True Extract,

(Signed) H. Hammick.
Secretary

To the Collector of Madura.

No 2975
28/8/09

(True Copy)

Communicated to the Dy Collector, Madura Division, for information & guidance with reference to his letter, No 125 of the 17th July 1809.

[signature]
For Ag Collector

2

glus 215/27-8-89

(True copy)

Forwarded to the manager of Saptur for information and guidance. This is the Contribution to be paid to Tirumangalam Taluk Board for the Sanitary Expenses incurred during the Adramavasai festival on the Sadurgheri Hills.

31-8-89 O.C. (Sd) Madura D. Cooles

Similar to President Taluk Board Tirumangalam.

Proceedings of the Court of Wards
Dated 29th August 1889.
No. 2057.

159 [illegible]

114

The Hon. J. Grose M.A.

Read Endorsement from the Collector of Madura, dated 16th August 1889, No. 223, requesting Sanction for Rs 100 incurred by the Senior rani of Saptur in the performance of pilgrimage and vows to the Mahalingam temple.

Resolution:

Sanctioned Rs 100/

(True Extract)

(Sd) M. Hammick
Secretary.

No. 3224 / 14/9/09

(True copy)

Communicated to the Dy Collector Madura Dn, for information and guidance, with reference to his letter No. 132 C.W. / 6-8-89

M. [illegible]
for Ag. Collector.

No. 223 / 15-9-89

(True copy)

Communicated to the Manager Lapther for information & guidance

By

(Sd) S. Colr.

16.9.89
Madura

Proceedings of the Court of Wards
Dated 13th September 1889
No. 2181.

wd 25 9 89

115

The Honorable J. Grose M.A.

Read endorsement from the Collector of Madura dated 8th August 1889 No. 218.

Resolution.

Subbusami Row should draw pay from the Saptur Estate funds at Rs 70 per mensem from the date of suspension to the date on which he rejoined his appointment in the Deputy Collector's office. This period will also count for pension.

(True extract)

(Signed) M. Hammick
Secretary.

No. 3378 / 24/9/89

(True copy)

Communicated to the Deputy Collr. Madura Dn. for information and communication to Mr. T. Subbusami Row.

wd

M. Srinivasa Rao
for Collector

No 230
18/9/189

(True copies)

Communicated to
the Manager Captain
& Mr. T. Subbusama
Rao for information.

25/9/189 (OC) [illegible]
Madras.

Proceedings of the Court of Wards
Dated 14th September 1889.
No. 2201

W.T.
24 9 89

W. S. Whiteside, Esq.
The Honorable J. Grose, M.A.

Read letter from the Collector of Madura, dated 9-9-89, No. 237.

Resolution

The Government Pleader, Mr Subbaramania Ayyar, Rao Bahadur, will be requested to undertake the case on behalf of the minor.

(True extract)
(signed) [illegible]
Secretary.

No. 2301
23/9/89

(True copy)
Communicated to the Deputy Collector, Madura Division, for information.

2. He is requested to expedite his report on the claim of Nagammal to the Saptur Estate.

M. Srinivasa Rao
ag Collector

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

No 227
24-9-89

(True copy)
Forwar
manage after
submit the rep
without any
delay
O.C. W
Dy
Similar to guardian
for information

The case having been called on for hearing to-day, the day fixed for the appearance of the Defendant and the Complainant having failed to appear, the Magistrate acquits the accused under Section 247 o[f] the Code of Criminal Procedure and

117

Proceedings of the Court of Wards.
Dated 16th October 1867
No. 2467

Read ^Progress reports from the Collector of Madura, dated 20th Septr. & 17th September 1867. Nos 210 & 240.

Resolution:
Recorded.

2. The short expenditure in the months of July & August should now be explained and information on this point invariably given in future.

3. Only incomplete works of previous fuslies need be shown in future statements.

(True Extract)
(Signed) M. Hammick
Secretary

No. 3826 / 24/10/67 True Copy

Communicated to the Dy Collector Madura Division for information & guidance and report.

M. [illegible]
for Collector

Compared

C.W. No. 80/27/1989

(True copy)

Forwarded to the Collector after ~~report~~ who will explain the cause of the short expenditure noticed by the Court of Wards.

A.C. [initials]
Dy Coll.

Proceedings of the Court of Wards.
Dated 5th October 1889.
No. 2374.

Read letter from the Collector of Madura, dated 28th September [illegible] requesting to return the enclosure [illegible] this office letter No. 289 dated 13th July 1875 to enable the Collector to prepare the written statement to be put in on behalf of minor Zemindar of Saptur

---

Resolution.

The draft statement received with the Collector's letter of the 13th July 1875, No. 289, will be returned as requested. But the other enclosure viz. the translation of the plaint is not in the Court's office.

(True extract)

(Signed) M. Hammick
Secretary.

No. 3714
15/10/89. (True copy)

Forwarded to the Deputy Collector Madura division with the enclosure.

2. His report on Nagammal's suit is awaited.

[signature]
for Collector

KSB

Thangaraju[?] 19-10-89

[illegible]

Proceedings of the Court of Wards.

Dated 24th October 1889.

No. 2522.

119

Read letters from the Collector of Madura dated 4th July 89 no 179 and 25th September 89 No 249 regarding the lessee of the garden produce in the [illegible] village Saptur Estate.

Resolution

In the Circumstances stated the Collector's recommendation to write off the sum of Rs 100 is sanctioned.

(True extract)

(signed) M. Hammick

Secretary

No. 3998/8/11/89 (True copy)

Communicated to the Deputy Collector Madura Division for information and guidance with reference to his letter dated 16th September 1889 No. 162, the enclosures to which (one file) are herewith returned.

2. The sum of Rs 100 referred to, should be written off the Accounts under the authority of this Proceedings.

M. Srinivasa [illegible]

for Collector

NB.

No. 265
9.11.89

(True copy)

Communicated to the Manager Sapt...
for information and
guidance, with records. The ...
bond executed by the
petitioner may be
cancelled & returned to him.

[initials]

12.11.89 (Sd) [illegible]
Madura

Proceedings of the Court of Wards.
Dated 24th October 1889.
No. 2523.

Read Endorsement from the Collector of Madura dated 2nd October 1889 No 26[illegible] requesting sanction for Rs 490 to be paid to the Saptur Ranis on account of presents made by them to the Zemindar of Peraiyur[illegible]

Resolution

Sanctioned Rs 490

(True extract)

(signed) M. Hammick
Secretary.

No 3997/[illegible]

(True Copy)

Communicated to the Deputy Collector Madura Division for information and guidance with reference to his letter No 165 C.W. of the 24th September 89.

M. [illegible]
for Collector

No. 267
9-11-87

(True copy)

Communicated to the manager Sapter for information & guidance. The amount sanctioned ~~should be distribute~~ is detailed below ~~is follows:~~

The Senior Rani — Rs 30

The other two ~~light~~ junior Rani ~~wifes~~ } Rs 75

Muthukamatchi Ammal } Rs 4[illegible]

12-11-87
Madura

(Sd) W. [illegible]
[illegible]

Proceedings of the Court of Wards.

Dated 29 October 1889

No 2580

121

Read letter from the Collector of Madura dated 2nd October 1889, No 261, requesting sanction for Rs 280 to provide doors to the entrance of the Palayur temple in Saptur.

Resolution.

The Collector's proposal is approved, and the Estimate for Rs 280 sanctioned.

(True Extract)

(Signed) M Hammi...

Secret...

No 4002 / 9/11/89

(True Copy)

Communicated with the estimate to the Deputy Collector, Madura Division, for information and guidance with reference to his No. 317 / 24. 9. 89

M. Srinivasa ...

for Collector

No. 92
9-11-59

(True copy)

Communicated to the
Overseer Sapter for
information & guidance

WD

12-11-59
Madura (Col) Dist Madura

Copy to
Inamdar for
information

Proceedings of the Court of Wards

Dated 29 October 1889

No 2582.

122

Read letter from the Collector of Madura dated 25th September 1889, No. 248, requesting sanction for Rs 390 for repairing Choultry at Saptur.

Resolution

The Estimate for Rs 390 is sanctioned

(True Extract)

(Signed) [illegible]

Secretary

No 4003/[illegible]

(True Copy)

Communicated with the sanctioned estimate to the Deputy Collector, Madura Division for information and guidance with reference to his No. 307/13.9.89.

M. [illegible]

For Collector.

59.

No 93
9-11-89

(True copy)

Communicated to the
Overseer Sasthri for
information & guidance

Sd
12-11-89 Sd) Madura
A Meek

Copy to Manager
for information

Endorsement of the Collector of Madura on Madura Division Deputy Collector's letter No. 150 / 23-8-87.

123

No. 263 / 27-9

Submitted to the Board of Revenue. It is requested that sanction may be accorded for the payment of the excess contribution of Rs 50, demanded by the Taluk Board. The increased cost of the dispensary is due to the increase of the pay of the establishment, and the reduction of the Provincial Contribution.

(Signed) V. A. Walsh.
Ag. Collector.

(True Copy)

M. Srinivasa Rao
for Collector.

Proceedings of the Court of Wards

Dated 29th October 1889

No. 2583.

124

Read endorsement from the Collector of Madura, dated 27th September 1889, No. 252 requesting sanction for excess contribution of Rs 50 from the Saptur funds to maintain a dispensary at Saptur.

Resolution.

The payment of the additional contribution of Rs 50 is sanctioned.

(True Extract)

(Signed) M. Hammick

Secretary

No. 4006

(True Copy)

Communicated with copy of endorsement read within, to the Dy. Collector, Madura Dt. for information and guidance with reference to his letter No. $\frac{158\ C.W.}{17.9.89}$.

M. [illegible]

For Collector

No. 270.
9.4.87.

(True copy)

Communicated to the manager Saspher for information. The amount of Contribution for the upkeep of the dispensary is sanctioned, thus amounting to Rs 350. He will remit this Rs 350 in the Taluq Treasury at Tirumangalam to the credit of the Local Funds.

[illegible]
(C.) Dt. Med.

A copy to the President Taluk Board Tirumangalam.

12-11-8[illegible]
Madura

Proceedings of the Court of Wards.

W.3 2483 / Dated 29 October 1889 125

No. 2584.

Read letter from the Collector of Madura dated 11th October 1889, No. 271, requesting sanction for Rs 1570 to renew the compound walls of Saptur Palace, and for erecting a tiled verandah.

Resolution

The Estimate for Rs 1570 is sanctioned.

(True Extract)

(Signed) M. Hamnick

Secretary

No. 4006 / 8/11/89 (True Copy)

Communicated with the estimate and plan to the Deputy Collector, Madura Dn., for information and guidance with reference to his No. $\frac{326}{22.9.89}$.

M. [signature]

For Collector

18.

No. 74
9-11-89

(True copy)

Communicated to
Order Saptur[?] fo[r]
information & guid[ance]

P.

12-11-89 (OE) [illegible]

Coby to Manager
for information

Proceedings of the Court of Wards.
Dated 29 October 1889.

126

W.S. 2483 / No 2585.

Read endorsement from the Collector of Madura, dated 6th October 1889, No 268, requesting sanction for the transfer of two plots of land from the Zemindar's Pannai in Kodicolam and Koomapatti villages to cattle stands Poramboke in the abovesaid two villages, as the absence of cattlestands is much felt in the villages

Resolution

The Deputy Co[...] prop[...] [...]ported by the Col[...]tor, is approve[...]

(True Extract)

(Signed) M Hammi[...]
Secretary

No 4005
[illegible] (True Copy)

Communicated to the Dy. Collector, Madu[ra] Do. for information and guidance, with reference to his letter No $\frac{171\ C.W.}{30.9.89}$

M. [illegible]

No. 269
9-11-87

(True copy)

Communicated to the ~~[illegible]~~ Manager Sapher for information & guidance. [illegible] Application will be ma[de] for the ~~[illegible]~~ additional extent ~~[illegible]~~ [illegible] ~~asked~~ applied for by the tenants.

(Sd.) [illegible]
(Sd.) Dt. Officer

21-11-07
Madras

"3" / [illegible] 15 [illegible]

126A

TREASURY DY. COLLECTOR
RECD 25 DEC 88
MADURA

Proceedings of the Court of Wards

Dated 15th December 1888

No 2946

The Honorable [illegible]

Read — Endorsement of the Collector of Madura dated 5th December 1888, No 356

Resolution

No 274 Madura
14.10.03

127

Fr. [illegible]

From. The Ag. Collector of Madura.

To. The Secretary, Court of Wards
Madras.

Sir,

I have the honor to state that the services of the Estate Clerk on Rs 40 per mensem entertained in the Huzur to do the work connected with the Ramnad Estate have to be dispensed with from November next as the Estate is to be handed over to the proprietor at the end of October 1803.

2 On the handing over of that estate the number of Estates under the Courts management in this District is reduced to three viz. Sapturi, Vadagarai & Mambarai.

3. Sapturi, although it has already had 3 years of the Courts management has not yet been put into thorough working order. The correspondence that has to be gone through between this and the Office of the Dy Collector in charge of that Estate continues as heavy as ever while the work in connection with Civil Suits involves as much correspondence between the Collector and the Divisional Officer and between the Collector and the Government Pleader and Court of Wards so as to occupy nearly the whole time of one Clerk. As an instance I may

refer

refer to the Suit instituted by Nagammal
Vide Courts Proceedings No $\frac{1701}{3085}$ - The old
records in the Huzur are being ransacked
for finding references about the rule ~~of~~
& order of Succession observed in the Zemindaris
in this District & 3 hands are at present
engaged in searching for papers to be produced
before the Court at the instance of Nagammal
the Plaintiff. Further next year will be
just about the time during which the debts
secured to the Estate under about a hundred
~~mortgage~~ bonds executed in favor of the
late Zemindar ~~may~~ fall due & have to be
recovered and many Suits may have to
be filed in that connection.

4. The Vadagarai Estate has no Clerk in the Huzur but its work is being done in the Huzur by the Huzur Estate Clerks maintained by the Ramnad Estate.

5. The Kambarai Estate which has recently come under our management has likewise no Clerks in the Huzur and its work is being done by the Ramnad Clerks. But the heavy indebtedness of the Estate and

the

the necessarily slow process of the liquidation of the debts will entail much work and correspondence between the Collector & the Divisional Officer on the one hand and between the Collector & the Court of Wards on the other.

6. In the foregoing circumstances a Clerk in the Huzur will be necessary to get through the works of the three estates after Ramnad is handed over to the proprietor and I beg to suggest for the Court's consideration and sanction the entertainment of an Estate Clerk on Rs 25 in the Huzur the charges being borne by the 3 Estates as below.

Saptur	Rs 20
Vadagarai	" 2
Nambarai	" 3
	25

7. There is already an Accountant on a monthly salary of Rs 17½ in the Huzur who is attending to the accounts business on account of Saptur Estate and whose pay is debited to the funds of that estate. He is working under the Treasury Dy Collector while the Clerk asked for above is required to work in the Vernacular correspondence Department of my Office and the duties of both the Clerks

and

and the accountant cannot be disposed of by a single hand.

8. I beg also to state that an attender is employed in the Huzur his pay of Rs 7 being paid as per margin. On the delivery of the Ramnad Estate to the Proprietor the attender will be dispensed with.

Ramnad Estate - 3
Sapter - 4

I have &c.
(Sigd) W. H. Welsh
Ag Collr

True Copy
M. [illegible]
for Collector

18.

Proceedings of the Court of Wards.

Dated 30 October 1889

No 2602.

129

Read letter from the Collector of Madura dated 14 October 1889 No 274

Requesting sanction the entertainment of Estate clerk on Rs 25 the Huzur, the charge ...g borne by Saptur, ...lagarai & Mambarai ...tes.

Resolution

The Collector's proposals are approved and sanctioned.

(True extract)

(Signed) M. Hammick

Secretary

No 4007 9/11/89

[True Copy]

Communicated to the Deputy Collector Madura Division with copy of this office letter to Board.

M. Swaminath...

for Collector.

No. [illegible] 11-11-89

(True copy)

Forwarded to

the Manager Septa[illegible]

for information

with a copy of the

Collr's letter.

[illegible]

Dy. Collector

13-11-89

Madura

Proceedings of the Court of Wards.
Dated 31 October 1889
No. 2607.

[illegible] 12 1183 [illegible]

Read Endorsement from the Collector of Madura dated 30th September 1889 No. 260 reporting on the claim of the Chinnazemindar of Saptur for a piece forming a portion of the Saptur Zemindar's Palace compound.

Resolution.

The Deputy Collector's proposals supported by the Collector are approved and sanctioned.

(True extract)
(signed) M. Hammick
Secretary.

No. 4031
17/11/89

(True Copy)

Communicated to the Deputy Collector Madura Division, for information and guidance with reference to his letter C. W. No $\frac{166}{24\text{-}9\text{-}89}$

M. [illegible]
for Collector

[illegible]

[illegible]

No 274
12-11-89

(True copy)

Communicated to the Manager Saptur for information & guidance ~~with the relation of the records.~~

[illegible]

13-11-89 (OC) D. Collr
Madurai

Court of Wards, 11th October 1889, No. 2428.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE COURT OF WARDS.

Read—the following G.O., dated 9th August 1889, No. 686, Revenue:—

Read—Proceedings of the Court of Wards, dated 16th May 1889, No. 1151.

Order—dated 9th August 1889, No. 686, Revenue.

Miscellaneous.

With these Proceedings the Court of Wards submit their report on the administration of the estates under their charge during fasli 1297 (1887-88).

2. During the year under report four estates were handed over to their proprietors on their attaining their majority, while six were newly taken up. The number of estates under management at the end of the year was fifty, or two more than at the beginning. Of the wards, forty were incapacitated by minority, four by sex alone, and six by lunacy or imbecility.

3. Of the four estates restored during the year, Gandamanáyakanúr was longest in the hands of the Court and has profited most by their management. The demand of this estate rose by 57 per cent.; debts amounting to Rs. 78,000 were paid off; about Rs. 14,000 was invested in the purchase of lands; Rs. 77,000 was expended on irrigation works; Rs. 33,000 on demarcation and survey; and Rs. 20,000 on other permanent improvements; and the balance to the credit of the estate rose from Rs. 7,579 at the time of its assumption to Rs. 2,25,588 at the date of its restoration. It is a matter for regret, however, that the minor, though reported to have always displayed considerable intelligence, did not make that progress in his studies which might have been expected of him. The financial condition of the other three estates and the educational progress of their wards were alike creditable to the management, but there is one point which requires explanation, namely, the falling off in the current collections of the Mankara estate.

4. Of the fifty estates under management, thirty-five (not thirty-two as stated in the Court's report) were proprietary and the rest ryotwari. The details of holdings and cultivation given in paragraphs 12 and 13 of the report call for no remark.

5. From the marginal abstract it is observed that the arrear collections were very unsatisfactory, the percentage of the balance to the demand being 65·3 as against 44·9 in the previous year. The attention of the Court of Wards is drawn to the instructions in paragraph 6 of G.Os., dated 18th October 1887, No. 985, and 23rd July 1888, No. 506, which, if duly carried out, should have enabled them to have long ago ascertained and written off the accounts the irrecoverable portion of the arrears. The current collections were more satisfactory, though there was no improvement as compared with the previous year.

—	Demand.	Collections and remissions.	Balance.	Percentage of balance to demand.	Percentage of balance to demand in fasli 1296.
	RS.	RS.	RS.		
Arrears	12,13,272	4,20,831	7,92,441	65·3	44·9
Current	26,28,453	21,50,705	4,77,748	18·2	15·6

6. The cash receipts of the year aggregated Rs. 32,00,512 and the charges Rs. 31,45,159. The latter include a sum of Rs. 4,29,009 invested in Government paper. The total balance to the credit of the estates at the close of the year was Rs. 50,70,534 as detailed in the margin. The bulk of this sum appertains to the Parlákimedi estate which has had the benefit of the Court's management for upwards of half a century. The percentage of establishment charges and Government commission to the total receipts has, as compared with the figures of the previous year, considerably increased in several cases, notably in the case of the eight estates specified in the margin. The Court will explain the cause of the increase.

	RS.
Value of Government securities ...	39,86,900
Cash	9,65,897
Value of grain on hand	1,17,737
Total ...	50,70,534

1. Jarada.	5. Srípuram.
2. Kurla.	6. Sarvottama Row's estate.
3. Aska.	7. Puttamma's estate.
4. Merangi.	8. Bimbalam.

7. The expenditure on public works during the year under review compares favorably with the outlay in the year preceding as shown below :—

	Fasli 1296. RS.	Fasli 1297. RS.
1. Irrigation works	1,02,925	1,60,463
2. Buildings	18,772	33,914
3. Communications	10,652	2,640
4. Cost of supervision	19,484	17,175
5. Expenditure in the Kapileswaram estate, for which no classification has been furnished	...	5,370
Total ...	1,51,833	2,19,562

The bulk of the outlay under item No. 1 was devoted to repairs in Parlákimedi and Ramnad and the expenditure under item No. 3 was comparatively small. The proportion of the aggregate outlay under all the items to the total charges shows very little improvement on the figures of the previous year, and this is attributed to many of the estates being small and heavily encumbered and their consequent inability to spare any very large sums of money for expenditure on public works. Another reason is stated to be the difficulty experienced in securing the necessary agency to execute the work. The attention of the Public Works Department will be drawn to the short outlay in the estates under its charge in view to an explanation being obtained from the officers concerned. The Local and Municipal Department will be similarly requested to obtain an explanation of the small expenditure in the Kangundi estate which is ascribed to slack supervision on the part of the District Board's Engineer. In several of the estates, the public works in which were under the charge of Collectors, the expenditure was likewise considerably short of the allotments, especially in the cases noted in the margin, but no explanation has been furnished as to the cause of the short outlay. The Collectors concerned should be called upon to explain.

	Percentage of expenditure to allotment.
Srípuram	20·3
Appikonda	15·8
Chitticherla	16·0
Singavanam	16·7
Tyagasamudram	6·2
Yelagiri	3·2

8. Paragraphs 31 and 32 of the report deal with particulars of the debts due to, and by, the several estates. It is satisfactory to note that the estate of Ramnad which is about to be restored to its proprietor has been made perfectly solvent. The complete liquidation of the loan due by this estate to Government, amounting with interest to the large sum of over 23 lakhs of rupees, which was chiefly effected during the eight years of Mr. Rajaram Row's management is very creditable to him: his successful administration of the estate is further evidenced by the considerable increase in its rent-roll and the formation of extensive fuel reserves estimated to be worth about six lakhs of rupees and capable of yielding an annual income of about Rs. 68,000.

9. With some exceptions the educational progress of the wards appears to have been, on the whole, fair; but it is not stated whether any attempt has been made to carry out the suggestion of Government in paragraph 10 of G.O., dated 18th October 1887, No. 985, namely, to instruct the wards in the law governing the relations between landlord and tenant.

10. The Government is glad to observe that, in the majority of the estates, the rents are not oppressive and that, where excessive, relief has been afforded so far as it lay in the power of the Court to do so.

11 The consolidated report on the subject of alienations in wards' estates having been long delayed, the Court should use every endeavour to expedite its submission.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. A. GALTON,
Secretary to Government.

To the Court of Wards.
„ Public Works Department.
„ Local and Municipal Department.

RESOLUTION—dated 11th October 1889, No. 2428.

Communicated to the Collectors in charge of wards' estates.

2. With reference to the last sentence of paragraph 3 of the Government Order the Collector of Malabar is requested to explain the cause of the falling off in the current collections of the Mankara estate. The explanation will, on receipt, be submitted to Government.

C. P., 17th March 1888, No. 553	} para. 3.	
„ 19th Sept. „ „ 2112		
„ 16th May 1889, „ 1151	„ 20.	

3. The attention of Collectors is drawn to paragraph 5 of the Government Order read above and to the marginally-noted Proceedings of the Court. A statement should be submitted along with the quarterly demand, collection and balance statements, showing the progress made in the determination of irrecoverable arrears and the steps taken for the collection of such amounts as may be recoverable. If proper attention is given to the subject, the collections in minors' estates should be brought into the same satisfactory state as in Government villages generally. The administration reports for fasli 1298, now due, should also contain full information on the subject of the adjustment of arrear balances.

4. With reference to paragraph 6 of the Government Order, the Court beg to submit the following explanation. The receipts of the Jarada estate in fasli 1296 amounted to Rs. 33,897, which included Rs. 10,781, realized by the sale of Government promissory notes. The charges of the year amounted to Rs. 1,984, or 5·8 per cent. of the receipts. If the amount realized by the sale of Government promissory notes are deducted, the percentage will be 8·6 against 10·2 in fasli 1297. The difference is due to the larger expenditure in consequence of the grant of horse allowance to the manager of the estate in fasli 1297. In Kurla the receipts of fasli 1296, inclusive of a sum of Rs. 19,761, being the cash balance in the treasury, amounted to Rs. 37,146 and the charges to Rs. 870. If the cash balance referred to be excluded, the charges will amount to 5 per cent. of the receipts against 10·1 per cent. in fasli 1297. This is due partly to decreased receipts in fasli 1297 under Land and Miscellaneous Revenue (the cause of which the Collector should now fully explain), partly to the disbursement of the salary of the establishment at increased rates for the whole year, and partly to the payment of Government commission for fasli 1296 in the year under review.

In Aska and Puttamma's estate the increase in the percentage of charges to the receipts is due to the disbursement of the salaries of the establishment for the whole year as against a portion only in the preceding year and to the payment of Government commission.

In Merangi and Srípuram the variation is due to the payment, in the year under report, of Government commission for the two years—faslis 1295 and 1296—and of arrears of salary.

In Sarvottama Row's estate the payment of a contribution of Rs. 106 towards the salary of the estate clerk in the Collector's office and of arrears of commission led to the increase.

In Bimbalam the receipts of the previous year included an extraordinary item of Rs. 3,674, being the value of trees, &c., sold. If this sum be excluded, the percentage of the charges will be 17·5 against 26·1 in fasli 1297. This increase is due to (1) the larger commission that had to be paid on account of the extraordinary item above adverted to and (2) a slight fall in the price of paddy having diminished the receipts of the year under review.

5. Referring to paragraph 7 of the Government Order the Court beg to submit the following explanation:—

The short expenditure in the Chitticherla estate has been reported to be due to the estimates for two large tanks not having been prepared in time. On a report from the Public Works Department that they could not prepare them, the work was entrusted to the District Board's Engineer, who, however, was not able to submit them before the close of the fasli. In Singavanam and Yelagiri, the short outlay is explained as being due to the late receipt of the budget sanction. In Tyagasamudram the allotment under "irrigation works" was not utilized as the other mirasidars failed to contribute their share, whilst that under "buildings" was allowed to lapse owing to the postponement of the minor's marriage, for which it was intended to enlarge the house. The short expenditure in Srípuram and Appikonda has not been explained by the Collector, who is now requested to supply the omission.

6. The Collectors concerned are requested to state in their administration report for fasli 1298, which should be submitted punctually, what steps have been taken to impart to such minors as are old enough to profit by it instruction in the law governing the relation between landlord and tenant, &c. Arrangements have recently been made for instructing the minor proprietor of Ramasetuswamiar's estate, and Mr. Creighton will be requested to submit proposals in regard to the Kangundi minors.

7. The Collector of Ganjam should submit, without further delay, the report regarding alienations, called for in the Court's Reference, dated 4th July 1888. It is observed that several reminders* have already been sent to him for this report.

* 20th November	1888,	No.	2697.
28th December	"	"	3020.
11th June	1889,	"	1369.
2nd July	"	"	1535.
5th September	"	"	2103.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) M. HAMMICK,
Secretary.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) C. CLARK,
Registrar.

To all Collectors except Madras, Nellore, Bellary, Anantapur, South Arcot and Kurnool.
" the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.
" J. Creighton, Esq.

Exd. A. D. Xavier.

133

Rc 4073
13/11/89 No. 285

Communicate ...
Dy Collector, Ma...
Do. for informat...

M. ...
For Col...

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

1889.

Court of Wards,

MADRAS.

Printed October 30th.

Proceedings, 11*th October* 1889, *No.* 2428.

Recorded
18/11/89

(True copy)
Communicated to ...
Manager ...
information.

3-12-89
Madras

(OC) ...

Communicating, with remarks, to the Collectors in charge of wards' estates Government Order reviewing the administration report of estates under management of the Court of Wards for fasli 1297.

Magistrate acquits the accused under Section 247 of the Code of Crimi...
... and direc...

134

W 14 Proceedings of the Court of Wards.
Dated 7th November 1889.
No 2645.

Read letter from the Collector of Madura dated 15th October 1889 No 477 requesting sanction for an additional allotment of Rs 184 for the current year for the upkeep of the two ponies presented to the Sapter Minor.

Resolution.

The additional allotment asked for (Rs 184) is sanctioned)

(True Extract)

(Signed) M. Hammick
Secretary

To

The Collector of Madura.

No 4044
17/11/89

True Copy)

Communicated to the Dy Collector Madura Division, for information & guidance with reference to his letter No. 176 C.W. 7. 10. 89

M. [illegible]
Dy Collector

[illegible]

PR.

No 284
16-11-89

(True copy)

Communicated ~~to the~~ Manager Saptur for information & guid[ance]

[illegible signature]
D. Collector

18-11-89
Madura

Proceedings of the Court of Wards. 135

Dated 7th November 1889.

No. 2646.

Read - letter from the Collector of Madura dated 21. 10. 89. No. 283, requesting sanction for Rs 50 for the purchase of a harness for the use of the Sapter Minor.

Resolution.

Sanctioned Rs 50/-.

(True Extract)

(Signed) M. Cammick
Secretary.

To The Collector of Madura

(True Copy)

Rc 4045 / 13/11/89

Communicated to the Dy Collector, Madura Division, for information & guidance with reference to his endorsement No. 523 / 11. 10. 89.

M. [illegible]
for Collector

No 280
15-11-89

(True copy)

Communicated to
the Manager Sapa[illegible]
for information
& guidance.

Sd
(or) D Collector

15.11.89
Madura

136

W
10059

Proceedings of the Court of Wards.

Dated 8th November 1889

No. 2647.

Read — letter from the Collector of Madura dated 21st October 1889 No 286.

Resolution

The estimate for Rs 800 is sanctioned

2. It is observed that the Overseer's report speaks of two surplus weirs, while the estimate submitted provides for only one. It should be stated whether the other is not necessary.

3. Information regarding the revenue of the tank and budget provision should have been given with reference to para 9 of B. S. O No. 191. It should now be submitted.

(True extract)

(Signed) M. Hammick
Secretary

W.T. 16th No 409 × 15/11/89 (True copy)

Communicated to the Deputy Collector, Madura Division for information and guidance with reference to his letter no 72 / 11. 10. 89.

× with plans & Estimates

2. He is requested to furnish at an early date, the information called for by the Court in para 3 of their Progs.

(Sd.) [illegible]

Collector.

Velumani
You have a letter ... because you are ... this letter ...

No 98 / 16.11.89

(True copy)

Forwarded to the Overseer ... for information & guidance with the return of the sketches. He is requested to submit at an early date the information called for by the Court in para 3 of their Progs. ~~...~~ i.e. the highest revenue derived during the last five years ~~&~~ ~~the budget provision~~ ~~He is also~~ informed that ~~this information~~ ... should be furnished in all cases of ...

works in the ~~Estate~~

(Sd.) Dy Collr

18-11-89
madura.

Proceedings of the Court of Wards
Dated 13th November 1889
No. 2694. 137

Read — petition from Rani Muthu Veeranmal mother of the Sapta... minor d/ 25-9-89

Resolution

The Collector of Madura will inform the petitioner that the Court of Wards suspended ... Subbasamiflas pending enquiry into his conduct in connection with Manicka Anantha Raman Chetti's claim against the Sapta... Estate and that it sees no reason to reconsider its orders regarding the disbursement of the ... of his pay from the funds of the Estate.

(True Extract)

(Signed) M. Hammick
Secretary.

No. 219 / 21-11-89 (True copy)

Communicated to the Rani Muthu Veeranmal of Saptur through the Dy Collr. Madura Dn. for ... with reference to her petition to the Court of Wards dated 25-9-89

(Signed) M. Srinivasa...
For Collector

(True copy)

[illegible signature]

25-11-89
Madras

[illegible]

138

Proceedings of the Court of Wards
Dated 14th November 1889.
No 2698.

Read — Progress report from the Collector of Madura, dated 17.10.89 No 28/

Progress report Public Works in Sapter Estate September 89

Resolution

Recorded.

2. It should be explained what the short expenditure up to the month is due to.

(True extract)

(Signed) M. Hammick
Secretary

No. 4153.
20/11/89 (True Copy)

Communicated to the Deputy Collector, Madura Division, for information.

2. The explanation called for in para 2 has been submitted to the Court of Wards.

[signature]

Collector.

No 291

21-4-89

(True copy)

Communicated to the
[illegible] Sapters for
information & due
attention. It is hoped the
he will show a better prog[ress]
in this month.

[illegible]
[illegible]

25-4-89
Madras

No. 314

Madura Collector's off.
11th November 1889.

From

The Collector of
Madura.

139

To

The Court of Wards.
Madras.

Sir,

I have the honor to enclose copy of letter dated 11th Instant from the Honorary Secretary to His Royal Highness Prince Victor's reception Committee requesting me to obtain a Contribution from the Court of Wards to the Reception Fund on behalf of the minors under Wardship in this District.

2. Such request for contribution is not without precedent. Under similar Circumstances on a previous occasion when the Viceroy visited this town in 1886, the Court of Wards were pleased to sanction Rs 250 and Rs 25 from Saptur and Vadagarai Estate funds respectively towards expenses of reception, vide Court's Proceedings dated 2nd November 1886 No. 1384. Vide also Board's Proceedings Misc. No. 9104 / 8-10-75. (His Royal Highness the Prince of Wales)

3. I have no doubt but that the minors

are

anxious to contribute to the Reception
Fund and I request that a sum of Rs
275 may be sanctioned as below towards
the contribution to the fund.

Saptur	Rs	250
Vadagarai		25
		275

I have &c
(signed) E. Turner
Collector

(True Copy)

M. [illegible]
for Collector

a. J. V.

...edings of the Court of Wards
Dated 16th November 1889.
No. 2757.

Read letter from the Collector of Madura
d/ 11th November 1889, No. 314.

140

Resolution.

Sanctioned Rs 275.

(True extract)

(signed) M. Hammick
Secretary.

(True copy)

Communicated with copy of the letter read within to the Deputy Collector Madura Division who is requested to disburse the amount in communication with the Treasury Deputy Collector.

Collector.

a.t.p.

24-11-09

(True copy)

Forwarded to the Deputy Collector in Charge of the Treasury Madura, with copy of Collector's letter ~~ad within~~, with a request that he will be good enough to place at his disposal the sum of Rs 275 referred in the Proceedings.

(Sd.)

25/11/89 (ol)

Copy to Manager 24th Saptur for information.

25/11/89 (ol)

Madura

Proceedings of the Court of Wards
Dated 21st November 1889
No. 2778.

Read letter from the Collector of Madura dated 11-11-89. No 313 submitting for sanction two estimates for repairing the bungalow &c at Madura belonging to Saptur.

Resolution

The two estimates for Rs 609 are sanctioned

(True extract)

(Signed) M. Hammick
Secretary

No 4292 / 20th 11/89 (True Copy)

Communicated to the Deputy Collector Madura Division for information and guidance (with the enclosures 2, returned) with reference to his Endt. No. 354/1889.

[illegible]
Collector.

No. 305
29-11-89

(True Copy)

Forwarded to the Tahsildar of Madras for information & guidance. He will ~~let the works~~ get the work ~~on contract & have them~~ completed as early as possible. If the work ~~will~~ get on contract or [illegible] the contract [illegible] agreement ~~executed~~ by the Contractor, providing fine for default or negligence & submit the same to this office for approval. An agreement executed by a ~~[illegible]~~ contractor is sent here with for your ~~[illegible]~~ information & [illegible] in drafting the agreement to be returned.

Sd. [illegible]
Sub-Collector [illegible]

(W)

29-11-89
Madras

Proceedings of the Court of Wards.

Dated 22nd November 1889.

No. 2785.

142.

Read: Endorsement from the Collector of Madura, dated 17-11-89, No. 310.

...requesting sanction ...Rs 70 for payment ...the Senior Rani ...presenting to the ...zemindar of Peraiyur ...the occasion of ...funerals of his ...ent.

Resolution

Sanctioned Rs 70.

(True Extract)

(Sd) M. Hammick
Secretary.

No. 4200 / 20/11/89

(True copy)

Communicated to the Deputy Collector, Madura Division, for information and guidance with reference to his letter No. 192 C. 26-10-89.

Collector

a.7 K

No. 303
29-11-89

(True copy)

Communicated to the manager Deputies for information & guidance

(W)

29-11-89 (OC) S[illegible]
Madras

Confidential

Proceedings of the Court of Wards.

Dated 11th November 1889

No. 2672

Read letter from the Collector of Madura dated 2d November 1889 Cir No. 32.

Resolution

The Collector's letter with its enclosure will be forwarded to Mr. S. Subramania Aiyer who is requested to prepare a draft written statement as soon as possible and submit it for the Court's approval.

2. The Court agree with Mr. Turner that the Advocate General should be asked to accept a brief in the case as well as Mr. Subramania Aiyer. Mr. Subramania Aiyer will be requested to state if he sees any objection to this course. He is also requested to state if he agrees that the services of Mr. Muthu Aiyer may be retained to look after the case in Madura.

(True extract)

(signed) M. Hammick
secretary.

Confidential No. 70
15-11-89.
(True copy)

Communicated to the Deputy Collector Madura Division for information.

Turner
Collector

No. 287
20-11-85

(True copy)

Communicated to
the manager of ...
for information ...
W. Cunningham ...

S. Allen ...
21

21-11-85
[illegible]

Proceedings of the Court of Wards.

Dated 15th November 1889.

No 2741.

W[illegible]

1XX

Read endorsement from the Collector of Madura, dated 8th November 1889 Confidential no. 69.

Submitting translation of the bond in connection with S no 21 of 1889 on the file of the Sub. Court, Madura West re Saptur

Resolution

Forwarded to Mr S. Subramania aiyar, in continuation of Court's Proceedings dated the 11th instant no 2672.

(True extract)

(Signed) M. Hammick
Secretary

No 4152
20/11/89

(True Copy)

Communicated to the Deputy Collector, Madura Division, for information

[signature]
Collector.

K[illegible]

[illegible]

No 290
21-11-89

Recorded
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

145

Proceedings of the Court of Wards

Dated 18th November 1889.

No 2768.

Read - - the following letter from Rai Bahadur S. Subramania Aiyar C.I.E. dated 16th November 1889 No 2.

I beg to acknowledge the receipt of the Courts' Proceedings dated 11th Instant No 2672. I am glad that the Court proposes to retain the services of the Advocate General. I shall be happy to place myself in communication with him as soon as the Court retains his services. I see no objection whatever to Mr. Mathu Aiyar's services being retained. The guardian of the minor and the manager of the Estate are now here with some more papers and I shall prepare the written statements directly I go through the papers with them. —

Resolution

Resolution

Recorded.

The Hon: the Advocate General will be requested to state whether he will accept a brief on behalf of the Court of Wards in O. S. No 21 of 1889 on the file of the Sub Judge of Madura (West) and if so, what his fees will be. This is a claim to recover from the present Zemindar – a minor under Wardship – the Zemindari of Saptur. Mr. Subramania Aiyar has already been engaged by the Court of Wards.

3. The Collector's proposal to engage Mathu Aiyar in connection with the case is approved and sanctioned.

(True Copy and Extract)

(Signed) M. Hammick
Secretary

No 4266
27/11/89

(True Copy)

Communicated to the Deputy Collector Madura Division for information

[illegible]

Forwarded to the [illegible] Supdt for information & guidance.
[illegible]
[illegible]

Wd 2 Nov 89 Proceedings of the Court of Wards. 146

Dated 20th November 1889

No. 2773.

Read the following letter from Rai Bahadur S. Subramania Iyer C.I.E. dated 17-11-89, No. 3.

In connection with the preparation of the written statement in original suit No. 21 of 1889 on the file of the Subordinate Court of Madura (West) I beg to state that on going through the papers brought to me by the guardian and manager of the Saptur minor, I find a perusal of the previous correspondence as to the custom of succession in the Zemindaries in Madura and Tinnevelly will be useful before preparing the draft statement in this suit. The manager brings to my notice that sometime between 1799 and 1805, the Collector of Madura sent reports to the Board giving an account of the custom in the Zemindaries of Madura and that in 1849 there was a similar communication regarding the succession to the Peraiyur Zemindari. There is reason to think that in connection with O.S. No. 21 of 1875, papers were sent to the Board regarding the succession to the Madura Zemindaries. It would be advantageous if copies

copies of the several correspondence could be furnished to the Manager who will formally apply to the Board for the same. I may add that, if practicable, he may be furnished with copies of correspondence relating to the status and position of the alleged dagger wives of the Raja of Tanjore.

Resolution

The Manager of the Saptur Estate is requested to submit a list of the records referred to. In cases in which the No. and date of the papers cannot be given, their full description and approximate dates should be furnished.

(True copy & extract)

To The Saptur Manager, Mailapur.

(Sd) M. Hammick
Secretary.

Copy to {The Collector of Madura
{Mr Subramania Iyer

(True copy)

Rc 4290/20/11/87

Communicated to the Deputy Collector, Madura Division.

No 304 / 29-11-87

[signature]
Collector

Recorded
[signature]
29-11-87
Madura

A.T.K.

[147]

Proceedings of the Court of Wards.
Dated 29th November 1889.
No. 2839.

Read endorsement from the Collector of Madura dated 2d November 1889 No. 301 K requesting sanction for Rs 500 for the expenses of the marriage of the Chinnadorai [illegible]

Resolution

The Same reason which prevented the Court in 1865 from making a grant to the applicant's father for his marriage prevents it now from making any grant towards his.

(True extract)

(signed) M. Hammick
Secretary

No. 4403 / 10/12/09 (True copy)

Communicated to the Deputy Collector Madura Division for information and guidance with reference to his letter No 191 / 24.10.89

[illegible signature]
for Collector

[illegible]

[illegible]

No. 313/11.12.89.

(True copy)

Communicated to the Manager Sapter for information & for communication to the petitioner.

[illegible]
D. C.

12-12-89
Madras

Proceedings of the Court of Wards
Dated 29th November 1889
No. 2849

Read the following letter from the Advocate General dated 25th November 1889.

In reply to the Proceedings of the Court of Wards dated the 18th Instant No. 2768, I have the honor to state that I am willing to accept a brief on behalf of the Court of Wards in O.S. No 21 of 1889 on the file of the Sub Judge of Madura (West). My fee for appearing at the final hearing is Rs 7000.

2. There is no necessity for my appearing in the case at the settlement of issues. Mr Subramania Iyer and I can send a draft of proposed issues to the local pleader.

Resolution

The Advocate General's proposals are accepted.

(True copy & extract)
(signed) M. Hammick
Secretary

No. 4396
10/11/89

(True copy)
Communicated to the Deputy Collr Madura Division for information

[illegible]

148
11/12/89

No 312
11.12.87

(True copy)

Communicated to the ~~Asst~~ Manager [illegible] for information.

[illegible]
Collector

12.12.87
Madura

W 1287/ B1
Part

Proceedings of the Court of Wards

Dated 3rd December 1889

No 2876.

149

Read endorsement from the Collector of Madura dated 14. 11. 89 no 317.

...questing sanction ~~for the~~ sale ~~of the~~ certain land the ryots of ...agapuri for house sites.

Resolution

The sale of the land as proposed is sanctioned.

(True extract)

(Signed) M. Hammick
Secretary

No 4401/15/12/89. (True copy)

Communicated to the Deputy Collector Madura Division for information and guidance with reference to his letter no 173 C.W. / 3. 10. 89

[signature]

for Collector

A.T.P.

No 316
16/12/89

(True Copy)

Communicated to the
Manager [illegible] for
information & guidance

[illegible]

17-12-89
Madras

[illegible]

Proceedings of the Court of Wards.
Dated 7th December 1889.
No 2926.

for October/89.

Read— Progress report from the Collector of Ma=dura dated 21-11-89. No 329.

Resolution

Recorded with the remark that the works should be pushed on with.

(True Extract)

(Signed) H. Hammick
Secretary.

D. 4510/17/12/89.

(True Copy)

Communicated to the Dy Collector Madura Division for information and guidance.

Sd/ [illegible]
Jnt. Collector

No 60/20-12-89

A. T. V.

(True copy)

Forwarded to the Overseer Sapothu for information & guidance. He should push on with the works.

Sd/
(ol) Dy Collector

19-12-89
Madura

151

Proceedings of the Court of Wards

Dated 9th December 1889

No 2941.

Read letter from the Collector of Madura dated 18. 11. 89 no 319.

× Requesting sanction for Rs 100 for the purchase of Palmyra seeds to be planted along the borders of the hills near the village of Krishnapuram Sapthur Estate.

Resolution

Sanctioned Rs 100.

(True extract)

(Signed) M. Hammick

Secretary.

Re 4573 / 17/12/89

(True Copy)

Communicated to the Deputy Collector Madura Division for information and guidance with reference to his endorsement no 589 / 8. 11. '89.

[illegible signature]

for Collector

No 318 / 18/12/89

A. T. P.

(True copy)

Forwarded to the Manager Sapthur for information & guidance.

[initials]

19-12-89

Madura

(OC) D. Collector

திருப்பரங்குன்றம் 152 பின்னர் ஜோப்பர்ட்டு 153

"W.D. 18 12/89 Jam"

Proceedings of the Court of Wards

Dated 9. December 1889

No 2942.

requesting sanction for the purchase of certain new vessels for use in the Chuttram at Sapture.

Read endorsement from the Collector of Madura dated 14-11-89 No 316.

Resolution

Sanctioned

(True extract)

(Signed) M. Hammick
Secretary

No 4574 / 17/12/89

(True copy)

Communicated to the Deputy Collector Madura Division for information and guidance with reference to his letter no 203. ca / 9.11.89

M. S. Mathew
for Collector.

No 319 / 17/12/89 (True copy)

G.T.

Communicated to the Manager Saptur for information & guidance

W.D.
(O.C.) Dy Collector

19-12-89

154

154

Proceedings of the Court of Wards

Dated 9th December 1889

No 2946.

requesting sanction
for utilizing a
certain portion
of the contribution
raised for performance
of Brahmanabhojanam
towards the repair
of a chavadi in Kodi
colam.

Read endorsement from the Collector of Madura dated 18-11-89 No 320.

Resolution

The Deputy Collector's recommendation supported by the Collector is sanctioned

(True extract)

(Signed) M. Hammick
Secretary

No 4572 / 17/12/89

(True copy)

Communicated to the Deputy Collector Madura Division for information and guidance with reference to his letter No $\frac{208\ C.W}{12.11.89}$

[illegible signature]

for Collector.

No 317 / 15-12-89

A. T. V.

(True copy)

Forwarded to the manager Saptur for information & guidance.

19-12-89

Proceedings of the Court of Wards
Dated 21st December 1889
No 3068.

Read:- Endorsement from the Collector of Madura
dated 8th December 1889 No 345.

Resolution

The Deputy Collector's proposal - supported by the Collector is approved and sanctioned.

(True Extract)
(Signed) P. Rajaratnam
For Secretary.

No 49 / 7/1/90

(True Copy)

Communicated to the Deputy Collector Madura Division for information and guidance with reference to his letter No 222 C.W / 29-11-89.

Williamson
for Collector

25

18

No. 1 / 10-1-90

(True Copy)

Forwarded to the Manager Sapher for information & guidance

Sd/

13-1-90 [illegible]

(Ol.) Collector

156

PROCEEDINGS OF THE COURT OF WARDS.

READ—the following letter from W. A. HAPPELL, Esq., Acting Collector of Tanjore, to the Secretary to the Court of Wards (Land Revenue Department), dated Tanjore, 15th November 1889, No. 1927 :—

I have the honor to report that Vasudava Row, Manager of Ramasethuswamiar's estate, requests that the cost of the security bond to be executed by him may be paid by the estate, and the Deputy Collector, Patukóta Division, recommends that his request may be complied with.

2. In the case of Abkári renters and Government servants, the cost of executing security bonds is borne by Government under Board's Proceedings, No. 1145, dated 21st May 1886. But this concession does not appear to have been extended to the Managers of estates.

3. I solicit the Court's orders as to whether the cost of the security bond to be executed by the Managers, including registration fees, may be paid from the funds of the estates concerned.

RESOLUTION—dated 19th December 1889, No. 3026.

The cost of the security bond should be borne by the Manager—Court's Proceedings, dated 30th November 1882, No. 2946. It is only in cases where the Manager's services are given gratis that the Court pays the cost of the stamp.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) M. HAMMICK,
Secretary.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) C. CLARK,
Registrar.

To the Collector of Tanjore.
Copy to all other Collectors.

Exd. W. J. Lewis.

1889
Court of Wards,
MADRAS.

No 305
21/1/90

Communicated to the Dy Collector Madura Division to the Manager of [illegible] with 1 spare copy for information.

[illegible]
for Collector

AG

[illegible]

Printed January 16th, 1890.

Proceedings, 19*th Dec.* 1889, *No.* 3026.

No 35
2-2-90

(True copy)

Forwarded to the Manager [illegible] for information

4-2-90
Madura.

[illegible]
Dy Collector

Informing the Collector of Tanjore that the cost of the security bond to be executed by the Manager of Ramasethuswamiar's estate should be borne by him; and stating that in cases where the Manager's services are rendered gratis, the cost should be met from estate funds.

157

PROCEEDINGS OF THE COURT OF WARDS.

MEMORANDUM—dated 14th November 1889, No. 2730.

The charges, amounting to Rs. 4,634-10-9,* incurred by the Court of Wards on account of the estates under their charge during the fasli ending 30th June 1889, have been distributed among the several estates with reference to their receipts, as shown in the statement appended to this Memorandum.

2. The Collectors concerned are requested to remit to the Court of Wards, at an early date, the amounts due by the marginally-noted estates. The amounts due by the other estates will be adjusted in this office.

*	RS.	A.	P.
The pay of the audit and correspondence establishments of the Court of Wards' office	4,321	12	9
Value of stamps for 200 cheques	12	8	0
Fees paid to the Accountant-General for auditing Court of Wards' accounts for the years 1887 and 1888	300	0	0
Cost of three slips of corrections to the Civil Account Code	0	6	0
Total ..	4,634	10	9

Ganjam—
- Jarada.
- Dharákót.
- Kallikót.

Vizagapatam—
- Madugula.
- Belgaum.

Godávari—
- Nadavapalle.

North Arcot—
- Tirumajiamma's estate.
- Pulicherla.

Chingleput—
- Panaiyur.

Tanjore—
- Gandharvakóta.
- Vadapathimangalam.
- Mathur.
- Singavanam.
- Tiruvalanjuli.
- Ramasethuswamiar's estate.
- Palayavanam.
- Edamanal.

Trichinopoly—
- N. Dorasawmi Iyer's estate.
- Marungapuri.

Trichinopoly *cont.*—
- N. Rungasawmi Iyer's estate.
- Singam Iyengar's estate.

Madura—
- Mambarai.

Coimbatore—
- Metráthi.

Nílgiris—
- Neliyalam.

Salem—
- Shulagiri.
- Yelagiri.

Malabar—
- Bimbalan.

(A true Extract.)

(Signed) M. HAMMICK,
Secretary.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) C. CLARK,
Registrar.

To the Collector of Ganjam.
" " of Vizagapatam.
" " of Godávari.
" " of North Arcot.
" " of Chingleput.
" " of Tanjore.
" " of Trichinopoly.
" " of Madura.
" " of Coimbatore.
" " of Nílgiris.
" " of Salem.
" " of Malabar.

Copy to the Collector of Kistna.
" " " of Cuddapah.
" " " of South Canara.

Exd. A. Aubert.

APPENDIX.

Names of estates.	Income of fasli 1297 as per administration reports.	Proportionate amount.			Remarks.
	RS.	RS.	A.	P.	
Ganjam.					
Parlákimedi	5,00,646	672	14	1	
Chinna Kimedi	79,819	107	4	5	
Jarada	21,048	28	4	7	
Urlám	62,009	83	5	6	
Dhárákót	81,356	109	5	6	
Bodogodo	27,317	36	11	5	
Kurla	13,019	17	8	0	
Aska	37,718	50	11	1	
Kallikót and Atagadá	(a) 3,00,000	403	3	4	(a) Approximate annual receipts as per paragraph 9 of the Collector's letter in Court's Proceedings, dated 27th October 1887, No. 1654.
Vizagapatam.					
Merangi	(b) 31,163	41	14	2	(b) $\frac{7}{12}$ths of the receipts of fasli 1297
Mádugula	(c) 8,218	11	0	9	(c) $\frac{5}{12}$ths of do. do.
Chidikada	30,991	41	10	5	
Sripuram	19,660	26	5	9	
Chipurupalle	10,141	13	10	1	
Appikonda	(d) 18,091	24	5	0	(d) Exclusive of sale-proceeds of promissory notes.
Belgaum	(e) 12,142	16	5	1	(e) $\frac{3}{10}$ths of the approximate annual receipts.
Godávari.					
Lakkavaram	16,349	21	15	7	
Nadavapalle	(f) 6,033	8	1	9	(f) $\frac{7}{8}$ths of the receipts of fasli 1297.
Meduru (Kistna)	(p) 1,74,826	234	15	6	(p) Approximate annual receipts as per Collector's letter, dated 17th June 1889, No. 241.
Kistna.					
Kapileswarapuram	(g) 1,01,470	136	6	1	(g) Approximate annual receipts as per paragraph 3 of Court's Proceedings, dated 3rd February 1888, No. 220.
Mailavaram	(h) 17,108	22	15	11	(h) Approximate annual receipts as per paragraph 7 of the Collector's letter of August 1887.
Cuddapah.					
Chitticherla	5,017	6	11	11	
North Arcot.					
Kangundi	1,00,071	134	8	0	
Timmajiammah's estate	1,842	2	7	7	
Pulicherla	(i) 22,000	29	9	1	(i) Approximate annual receipts as per paragraph 6 of the Collector's letter, dated 7th June 1887, No. 261.
Chingleput.					
Panaiyur and Erumbedu	19,397	26	1	1	
Tanjore.					
Ukkadai	64,796	87	1	5	
Gandharvakóta	58,065	78	0	8	
Vadapathimangalam	1,27,979	172	0	1	
Mathur	5,605	7	8	6	
Singavanam	16,569	22	4	4	
Tiruvalanjuli	7,492	10	1	1	
Tiyagasamudram	14,895	20	0	4	
Ramasetuswamiar's estate	85,296	114	10	3	
Palayavanam	6,712	9	0	4	
Edamanal	(j) 9,591	12	14	3	(j) $\frac{11}{18}$ths of the approximate annual receipts.
Trichinopoly.					
Peramur	13,371	17	15	6	
Nangavaram Dorasami Aiyar's estate ..	18,913	25	6	9	
Marungapuri	(k) 61,246	82	5	1	(k) Approximate annual receipts as per paragraphs 3 and 4 of the Collector's letter, dated 25th January 1888, No. 36-4.
Nangavaram Rengasami Aiyar's estate.	(l) 25,869	34	12	3	(l) $\frac{281}{360}$ths of the approximate annual receipts.
Singam Aiyangar's estate	(m) 6,017	8	1	5	(m) $\frac{19}{30}$ths of the approximate annual receipts.

158

Names of estates.	Income of fasli 1297 as per administration reports.	Proportionate amount.	Remarks.
	RS.	RS. A. P.	
Madura.			
Ramnad	9,18,463	1,234 6 11	
Vadagarai	6,226	8 5 11	
Sáptúr	1,33,282	179 2 2	
Mambarai	(a) 2,551	3 6 10	(a) $\frac{167}{360}$ ths of the approximate annual receipts.
Coimbatore.			
Metráthi	8,255	11 1 6	
Nílgiris.			
Neliyalam	5,964	8 0 3	
Salem.			
Erumaipati	16,014	21 8 4	
Shulagiri	20,209	27 2 7	
Yelagiri	29,691	39 14 6	
South Canara.			
B. Sarvottama Row's estate	4,479	6 0 4	
K. Puttamma's estate	27,005	36 4 9	
Malabar.			
Kavalpara	35,993	48 6 0	
Bimbalan	359	0 7 9	
Total ..	34,48,358	4,634 10 9	

1889.

Court of Wards,

MADRAS.

Printed November 30th.

Proceedings, 14*th Nov.* 1889, *No.* 2730.

W
31-12-89

Requesting the Collectors concerned to remit the amount debitable against the estates in their districts on account of audit and correspondence establishments, &c., in the Court of Wards' office for the year ending 30th June 1889, and stating that the sums due by other estates will be adjusted in this office.

Court of Wards, 20th November 1889, No. 2769.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE COURT OF WARDS.

Read—the following letter from P. RAMACHANDRA ROW, Esq., Acting Sub-Collector of Madura, to the Collector of Madura, dated Dindigul, 25th September 1889, No. 562 :—

1. Dated 2nd July	1889,	No.	33.
2. " 25th August	"	"	60.
3. " 9th September	"	"	72.
4. " 16th "	"	"	74.

With reference to paragraph 4 of your foot-note, No. 597, of the 20th February 1889, to the Proceedings of the Court of Wards, No. 312, dated the 4th idem, I have the honor to submit the marginally-noted reports, with enclosures, from the manager of the Mambarai estate.

2. The only debt due to the late zemindar is by Kamara Periya Thambinayakar, the zemindar of Andipatti in the Coimbatore district, and amounts to Rs. 6,000. It was barred by time, but at my request the debtor has now executed a bond promising to repay the amount at the end of the seventh year hence, with interest at 4 per cent. per annum, which amounts to Rs. 240 a year. He is the maternal uncle of the eldest minor Venkitarama Nayak and his own estate of Andipatti is at present under the management of Government though he is likely, it is reported, to resume charge of it in five years more.

3. The debts due by the late zemindar amount to Rs. 16,111-10-1, as detailed in the enclosed statement since revised and furnished by the manager. The total liabilities including interest up to the end of June last amounted to Rs. 19,612-1-4, but some of the creditors have agreed in writing to forego portions of their claims in consideration of the reduced circumstances of the family. The amount thus waived is Rs. 3,500-7-3, which leaves a balance of Rs. 16,111-10-1, and for the liquidation of this amount the manager has submitted his proposals in the last column of the statement referred to. I will notice some of the more important items for special consideration and orders.

4. A sum of Rs. 6,189-15-9, which appears to be undisputed, has to be repaid to the creditors noted in the margin.

	RS.	A.	P.
Creditor No. 1	2,013	0	0
Do. " 2	1,010	0	0
Do. " 3	2,898	4	3
Do. " 5	70	15	0
Do. " 7	59	4	6
Do. " 8	138	8	0
Total ...	6,189	15	9

Creditor No. 3, with whom jewels worth Rs. 4,345 were pledged, may, I think, be allowed to appropriate them, as the amount now due to him already exceeds their estimated value by Rupees 62-13-0, and the actual value of the jewels may be eventually repaid to the widows of the late zemindar who claim the mortgaged jewels to be their private property and to have been given to them as dowry. The manager reports :—

" The ladies do not like to part with them, and they urge that those jewels being dowries, form part of their private property, and should not be made answerable for the debts of the estate. Most of the jewels belong to the senior and the third widows who are not the mother of

the minor Venkitarama Nayak. The former is childless and the latter has two son and two daughters. Under these circumstances, it is but just that the jewels must be redeemed and restored to the widows. If they are to go in satisfaction of the debt, it will be to benefit Venkitarama Nayak, at the expense of the senior widow and the third widow and her children."

5. I do not, however, see my way to the recovery of the jewels, at any rate for some years to come, unless preference is to be given to this debt. The manager reports, however, that the third widow, who is unwilling to part with the jewels, promises to obtain pecuniary help from her cousin, the zemindar of Kadvúr, for redeeming the jewels, and I have called on him to at once ascertain what sum the lady will be able to procure from her cousin and when, and as soon as his report is received I shall communicate the result. The manager brings to notice that in the budget submitted for fasli 1299, provision has been made to the extent of Rs. [illegible],000 for liquidation of debts and opportunity may then be taken to redeem the jewels if you consider that the wishes of the ladies should be acceded to.

6. The repayment of Rs. 4,763-13-4, due to the same creditor after deducting Rs. 2,000 since remitted by him from the total sum of Rs. 6,763-13-4, claimed under a deed of mortgage, without possession, of the village of Athappampatti, should, I think, be postponed pending decision of the question as to whether the deed executed by the late zemindar will bind the minor, in the circumstances already brought to notice in paragraphs 19 and 20 of the late Tahsildar Sundram Ayyar's report of the 24th August 1888, embodied in the Proceedings of the Court of Wards under report. I would recommend that competent legal advice be obtained in the matter.

7. There remains a sum of Rs. 750 due to the creditor No. 6 who is alleged to have lent the amount some 20 years ago without taking any bond. I would recommend that the party be referred to the civil court. Nothing can be done in the absence of any documentary evidence of the liability, and even if there was a document, it would be time-barred.

8. As to the disposal of moveable property belonging to the estate and referred to in paragraph 8 of your letter quoted in the Proceedings, I observe that, out of the jewellery valued at Rs. 5,736, the greater portion (valued at Rs. 4,345) is subject to encumbrances beyond its approximate value, as already noticed in paragraph 4 *supra*. The remaining jewels, valued at Rs. 1,391, are reported to be retained for the use of the children and widows.

9. The silver ornaments of the house, worth Rs. 98, which are under mortgage with Shaikh Muhammad Mira Lavai, creditor No. 1, may be disposed of as no longer required.

10. Of the "furniture and vessels," some are retained for the domestic use of the minor and widows, and the manager submits a list of such things as are not required and approximately valued at Rs. 73-11-2. I would sell all these as well as the carriages and palanquins not required by public auction at Dindigul, where they are likely to fetch a fair price.

Endorsement by W. H. WELSH, Esq., Acting Collector of Madura, dated Kodaikánal, 12th October 1889, No. 272.

Submitted to the Court of Wards with reference to their Proceedings, dated 4th February 1889, No. 312.

2. The Tahsildar's proposals may be accepted in the cases of creditors Nos. 1, 2, 5, 7 and 8. The Tahsildar's recommendations, concurred in by the Sub-Collector, may be accepted in the cases Nos. 4 and 6, and the Sub-Collector's proposal seems reasonable in the case of creditor No. 3.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Statement of debts due by the estate.

ENCLOSURE No. [illegible]—Remarks on the nature of debts due by the estate.

ion—dated 20th November 1889, No. 2769.

proposals contained in the paper read above in regard to the adjustment of ns of creditors 1, 2, 3, 5, 7 and 8 are approved, as also the suggestion to leave establish his claim in a court of law.

In regard to creditor No. 4, the Collector is requested to forward a full state- the circumstances under which the loan referred to was raised, together with a he hypothecation deed. It should also be reported whether the contention of ws that the jewels pledged with this creditor as their dowry has been satis- established, and if so, whether the third widow has succeeded in raising funds the jewels claimed by her.

t is presumed that the Sub-Collector's proposals in paragraphs 9 and 10 of of the 25th September last, No. 562, have the approval of the Collector.

he Collector should also report whether it would not be advisable to raise a oderate interest to meet all the debts, and if so, to report on what terms such ld be raised.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) M. HAMMICK,
Secretary.

To the Collector of Madura.

Exd A. Aubert.

1889.

Court of Wards,

MADRAS.

Printed December 3rd.

Proceedings, 20th Nov. 1889, *No.* 2769.

Passing orders on letter from the Collector of Madura submitting report and proposals regarding the debts due by the late zemindar of *Mambarai* estate.

Court of Wards, 6th August 1889, No. 1815.

161

PROCEEDINGS OF THE COURT OF WARDS.

The Hon. J. Grose, M.A.

AD—the following letter from H. H. O'FARRELL, Esq., Acting Collector of South Canara, to the Secretary to the Court of Wards, dated Mangalore, 14th June 1889, No. 2274:—

With reference to paragraph 3 of Court's Proceedings, dated 15th May 1889, 1142, I have the honor to state that the vakil reported the disposal of each of the tions on the day following that on which it was both heard and disposed of.

2. Assuming that the rule contained in Board's Standing Order No. 180 is licable to the cases in question, the fees could not have been paid to the vakil l the "pleadings were over," *i.e.*, until the hearing of the petitions was over. If, he other hand, the vakils are, as I hear, to certify receipt of fees within a week of disposal of the petitions, there was no time for the money to reach the vakil after ipt of his communication in this office to enable him to give the necessary ificate; for it takes not less than eight days for exchange of communication veen Madras and Mangalore; and I am of opinion that the vakil was clearly not lame and that he is entitled to the fees claimed by him.

Resolution—dated 6th August 1889, No. 1815.

The payment of Rs. 71-4-0 to the vakil is sanctioned. The attention of all Collectors is drawn to rule 31 of the rules under the Legal Practitioners' Act XVIII of 1879. It is important in Court of Wards' cases that fees to be recovered from the opposite party in a decree or order should be paid to the vakil representing the Court immediately the pleadings are finished. Such payments, where necessary, may be made in anticipation of sanction.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) M. HAMMICK,
Secretary.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) C. CLARK,
Registrar.

To the Collector of South Canara.
„ all other Collectors in charge of Wards' estates.

Exd. A. Aubert.

...ot Wards,

MADRAS.

Printed August 22nd.

Proceedings, 6th August 1889, *No.* 1815.

Sanctioning the payment of Rs. 71-4-0 to the vakil engaged in a suit relating to Puttamma's estate, and stating that fees to be recovered from the opposite party in a decree or order should be paid to the vakil immediately the pleadings are finished.